

**Table 2.1. Cohort studies of vinyl chloride monomer and polyvinyl chloride production workers and liver cancer**

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Mundt <i>et al.</i> (2000) USA	10109 male workers employed ≥ 1 year in jobs that entailed exposure to VCM in 1942–72; mortality follow-up, 1942–95; vital status, 96.8%; cause of death, 99%; 37 plants	JEM	Liver and biliary tract (ICD-9 155–156)		80	<b>SMR</b> (state rates) 3.59 (2.84–4.46) (state rates)		Reference rates: state and USA population In Cox model, duration of exposure strongest and significant versus age at and year of first exposure
				Job exposed to VCM				
				Duration of exposure (years)				
				1–4	7	0.83 (0.33–1.71)		
				5–9	10	2.15 (1.03–3.96)		
				10–19	39	6.79 (4.83–9.29)		
				≥ 20	24	6.88 (4.40–10.23)		
				Latency (years)				
				10–19	9	2.87 (1.31–5.44)		
				20–29	21	3.23 (2.00–4.93)		
				≥ 30	50	4.34 (3.22–5.72)		
				First exposure (year)				
				≤ 1950	48	4.99 (3.68–6.62)		
				1950–59	23	3.11 (1.97–4.67)		
			48 ASL (33 death certificate, 15 World Angiosarcoma Registry)	Duration of exposure (years)		<b>Hazard ratio</b>	Age at first exposure, duration of exposure and year of first exposure	
				1–4	3	Reference		
				5–9	6	3.7 (0.9–14.7)		
				10–19	26	15.9 (4.6–54.8)		
				≥ 20	13	9.7 (2.6–36.4)		

**Table 2.1. Cohort studies of vinyl chloride monomer and polyvinyl chloride production workers and liver cancer**

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Lewis <i>et al.</i> (2003) USA	2200 male workers employed $\geq 1$ year in jobs that entailed exposure to VCM in 1942–72; mortality follow-up, 1942–95; vital status, 98.5%	JEM	Liver and biliary tract (ICD-9 155-156)	Job exposed to VCM	24	<b>SMR</b> 4.00 (p< 0.05; 6 exp.)	Age, calendar period	Reference rates: states of Kentucky; part of study by Mundt <i>et al.</i> 2000.
				<i>Duration of exposure (years)</i>				
				1–4	2	0.91 (2.19 exp.)		
				5–9	2	2.20 (0.91 exp.)		
				10–19	14	10.85 (p< 0.05; 1.29 exp.)		
				$\geq 20$	6	3.64 (p< 0.05; 1.65 exp.)		
				<i>Latency (years)</i>				
				1–9	0	0 (0.27 exp.)		
				10–19	5	6.49 (p< 0.05; 0.77 exp.)		
				20–29	10	6.94 (p< 0.05; 1.44 exp.)		
				$\geq 30$	9	2.55 (p< 0.05; 3.53 exp.)		
				<i>First exposure (year)</i>				
				< 1950	12	3.57 (p< 0.05; 3.36 exp.)		
				950–59	10	4.76 (p< 0.05; 2.10 exp.)		
				1960–72	2	3.51 (0.57 exp.)		

**Table 2.1. Cohort studies of vinyl chloride monomer and polyvinyl chloride production workers and liver cancer**

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Ward <i>et al.</i> (2001) Italy, Norway, Sweden, UK	12700 male workers employed in 19 VCM/PVC plants for ≥ 1 year in 1950–85; mortality follow-up, 1955–97; incidence follow-up, 1955–96	Calendar period JEM for 13/19 factories grouped in 22 broad categories; factory-specific JEM with validated exposure estimates (ppm)	Liver cancer (ICD-9 155)		53	<b>SMR</b> 2.40 (1.80–3.14)	Age, calendar period	Reference rates: national Reference rates: national
					29	<b>SIR</b> 3.98 (2.67–5.72)		
					10	<b>SMR</b> 2.28 (1.09–4.18)		
				PVC production	41	2.85 (2.05–3.87)		
				VCM and PVC production				
				Duration (years)				
				1–9	15	1.00		
				10–16	17	2.58 (1.28–5.24)		
				17–20	9	3.48 (1.49–8.15)		
				21–25	18	8.21 (3.98–16.9)		
				≥ 26	12	9.39 (4.17–21.1)		
						Test for linear trend, $p < 0.001$		
				Latency (years)				
				0–20	17	1.00		Internal comparisons, poisson regression analysis
				21–25	13	2.44 (1.09–5.45)		
				26–30	12	2.99 (1.26–7.09)		
				31–36	17	5.58 (2.34–13.3)		
				≥ 37	12	6.20 (2.30–16.7)		
						Test for linear trend, $p < 0.001$		

**Table 2.1. Cohort studies of vinyl chloride monomer and polyvinyl chloride production workers and liver cancer**

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Ward <i>et al.</i> (2001) Contd.				<i>Cumulative exposure (ppm-years)</i>				
				0–734	13	1.00		
				735–2379	12	3.97 (1.81–8.71)		
				2380–5188	15	7.55 (3.57–15.9)		
				5189–7531	13	14.0 (6.43–30.7)		
				≥ 7532	15	28.27 (12.84–62.25)		
						Test for linear trend, $p < 0.001$		
				<i>Autoclave workers</i>				
				Never	22	1.00		
				Ever	38	6.61 (3.90–11.2)		
				Unknown	11	5.43 (2.63–11.2)		
			ASL	<i>Duration (years)</i>				
				1–9	7	1.00		
				10–16	8	3.01 (1.06–8.54)		
				17–20	2	2.04 (0.41–10.3)		
				21–25	12	15.7 (5.60–44.0)		
				≥ 26	8	19.67 (6.28–61.59)		
						Trend test, $p < 0.001$	Age, calendar period	
				<i>Latency (years)</i>				
				0–20	10	1.00		
				21–25	6	2.77 (0.89–8.69)		
				26–30	7	4.80 (1.47–15.7)		
				31–36	10	10.38 (3.09–34.9)		
				≥ 37	4	7.99 (1.71–37.3)		
						Trend test, $p < 0.001$		

**Table 2.1. Cohort studies of vinyl chloride monomer and polyvinyl chloride production workers and liver cancer**

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Ward <i>et al.</i> (2001) (contd)			HCC	<i>Cumulative exposure (ppm-years)</i>				
				0–734	4	1.00		
				735–2379	6	6.56 (1.85–23.3)		
				2380–5188	8	13.6 (4.05–45.5)		
				5189–7531	7	28.0 (8.00–98.2)		
				≥ 7532	12	88.2 (26.4–295)		
						Trend test, $p < 0.001$		
				<i>Autoclave workers</i>				
				Never	4	1.0		
				Ever	26	25.5 (8.86–73.2)		
				Unknown	7	19.3 (5.66–66.2)		
				<i>Duration (years)</i>				
				1–9	1	1.0		
				10–16	3	6.94 (0.71–67.5)		
				17–20	2	12.6 (1.11–143)		
				21–25	1	7.34 (0.44–122)		
				≥ 26	3	35.5 (3.34–377)		
						Trend test, $p = 0.002$		
				<i>Latency (years)</i>				
				< 26	2	1.00		
				26–30	1	3.72 (0.29–48.3)		
				31–36	3	15.9 (1.86–135)		
				≥ 37	4	35.7 (3.56–359)		
						Trend test, $p = 0.001$		
							Age calendar period	

**Table 2.1. Cohort studies of vinyl chloride monomer and polyvinyl chloride production workers and liver cancer**

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Ward <i>et al.</i> (2001) Contd.				<i>Cumulative exposure (ppm-years)</i>				
				0–734	3	1.0		
				735–2379	2	3.02 (0.50–18.1)		
				2380–5188	1	2.47 (0.26–23.9)		
				5189–7531	1	5.33 (0.54–52.5)		
				≥ 7532	2	20.27 (2.98–138)		
						Trend test, $p = 0.004$		
				<i>Autoclave workers</i>				
				Never	5	1.0		
				Ever	4	2.97 (0.80–11.1)		
Gennaro et al. (2008), Porto Marghera, Italy	1658 male workers employed in 1950–85; mortality follow-up, 1972–99	JEM	Liver cancer (ICD-9 155)	Unknown	1	2.04 (0.24–17.4)	Age, calendar time, employment duration, latency	Part of the study by Ward et al. (2001) and an update of Gennaro et al. (2003). Internal comparisons; ‘job title Groups’ versus Other workers
				Autoclave workers	7	9.57 ( <b>3.71–24.68</b> )		
				PVC baggers	1	0.82 (0.23–2.93)		
				PVC Compound workers	5	2.46 (0.94–6.42)		

**Table 2.1. Cohort studies of vinyl chloride monomer and polyvinyl chloride production workers and liver cancer**

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Pirastu <i>et al.</i> (2003) Italy	1658 male workers employed in 1956–99; mortality follow-up, 1973–99; vital status, 100%; cause of death, 99%	JEMs: job-and time-specific VCM estimates (ppm); ever/never autoclave worker	Primary liver cancer (ICD-9 155.0)	<i>Autoclave workers</i> ever/never	17	<b>SMR</b> 2.78 (1.86–4.14) <sup>a</sup> <b>Relative risk</b> 4.4 (1.9–10.0) <sup>a</sup>	Age, calendar time, latency	Part of the study by Ward <i>et al.</i> 2001. Reference rates: regional Internal comparison of rates
			ASL	Autoclave worker: ever/never	6	21.1 (3.5–128.7) <sup>a</sup>	Age, calendar time, latency	Best available clinical and pathological data; internal comparison of rates
			HCC	Autoclave worker: ever/never	12	3.5 (1.4–9.2) <sup>a</sup>		
			HCC and ASL	<i>Cumulative exposure (ppm–years)</i>		<b>Rate (x 100 000)</b>		
				0–735	3	10.0		
				735–2379	1	18.6		
				2379–5188	7	191.7		
				5188–7531	1	62.8		
				7531–9400	0	-		
						$\chi^2$ 14.52 Trend test, $p < 0.001$		

**Table 2.1. Cohort studies of vinyl chloride monomer and polyvinyl chloride production workers and liver cancer**

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Thériault & Allard (1981) Canada	451 male workers exposed to VCM for ≥ 5 years in a polymerization plant, employed in 1948–72; mortality follow-up, 1948–77	JEM	Digestive tract Cancer (ICD-7 150-159)		14 (6 deaths from liver cancer)	6.25 (2.69–14.52)		Reference rates: Canadian population in 1971; comparison population: 870 workers not exposed to VCM for ≥ 5 months
Weber <i>et al.</i> (1981) Germany	7021 male VCM/PVC production workers from beginning of operation to 1974; mortality follow-up, from beginning of operation to 1974; vital status, > 90%; cause of death unknown, 7–13%	JEM	Malignant tumour of the liver (ICD-8 155)	<i>Duration of exposure (months)</i>	<b>12</b>	<b>SMR</b> 15.23		Reference rates: national
				< 12	0	-		
				13-60	2	8.74		
				61-120	3	15.25		
				≥ 121	7	25.28		

**Table 2.1. Cohort studies of vinyl chloride monomer and polyvinyl chloride production workers and liver cancer**

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Smulevich <i>et al.</i> (1988) Soviet Union	3232 (2195 men, 1037 women) VCM/PVC production workers employed for $\geq 1$ month in VCM-exposed jobs; mortality follow-up, 1939–77	Exposure data in 1953–66 from JEM	Malignant liver neoplasm (ICD-8 155)	Estimated area Exposure: low, medium and high	0			City (Gorki) mean death rates in 1959, 1966 and 1975
Laplanche <i>et al.</i> (1992) France	1100 VCM-exposed and 1100 unexposed subjects; matched on age, plant, physician, aged 40–55 years, identified in 1980; mortality and morbidity follow-up, 1980–88	JEM	Liver cancer (ICD-9 155)		3 exposed 0 unexposed	NR		
Du & Wang (1998) Taiwan, China	2224 workers with occupational exposure to VCM; controls were optical or motor cycle equipment workers.		Liver cancer (ICD-9 155)	VCM versus optical workers VCM versus motor cycle workers		4.5 (1.5–13.3) 6.5 (2.3–18.4)		Proportionate morbidity analysis

**Table 2.1. Cohort studies of vinyl chloride monomer and polyvinyl chloride production workers and liver cancer**

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Wong <i>et al.</i> (2002) Taiwan, China	3293 male workers in 6 PVC polymerization plants exposed to VCM $\geq$ 1 year in 1950–92; mortality follow-up, 1985–97; vital status, 99%		Malignant neoplasm of the liver (ICD- 9 155)		25	<b>SMR</b> 1.78 (1.15–2.62)		Reference rates, national; cohort assembled from records of Labour Insurance Bureau
				<i>Duration of exposure (years)</i>				
				< 10	13	2.45 (1.30–4.19)		
				10–19	10	1.76 (0.84–3.24)		
				20	2	– (3.2 exp.)		
				<i>Latency (years)</i>				
				< 15	8	1.29 (0.56–2.54)		
				15–24	7	1.46 (0.58–3.61)		
				$\geq$ 25	10	3.13 (1.50–5.75)		
				<i>First exposure (year)</i>				
				$\leq$ 1970	11	4.82 (2.41–8.63)		
				1970–79	8	1.92 (0.83–3.79)		
				After 1980	6	0.78 (0.28–1.69)		
				<i>Age at first exposure (years)</i>				
				< 30	10	2.24 (1.07–4.12)		
				30–39	6	1.78 (0.65–3.88)		
				$\geq$ 40	9	1.43 (0.65–2.71)		

**Table 2.1. Cohort studies of vinyl chloride monomer and polyvinyl chloride production workers and liver cancer**

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Lewis & Rempala (2003), Louisville, KY, USA	Cases, 23 men, histologically confirmed. Nested case control study; 1817 white men hired before 1967 who had worked at least 1 year; Controls matched by year of birth and duration of employment	Semi-quantitative expert assessment	ASL	Ranked, six categories	23	Beta = 0.0039 (SE= 0.0014) <i>p</i> -value 0.0038; logistic regression	Other production chemicals	In Lewis <i>et al.</i> (2003), 28 ASL reported

**Table 2.1. Cohort studies of vinyl chloride monomer and polyvinyl chloride production workers and liver cancer**

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Wong <i>et al.</i> (2003) Taiwan, China	18 men; 5 histologically confirmed; 5 AFP > 1000 mg/L + at least one positive image from angiography, sonography, liver scan and/or CT; 8 from clinical manifestation and imaging studies 68 randomly selected from a pool of eligible workers; matched on age and specific plant employment	Job titles; high VCM-exposure jobs: tank cleaning, unloading PVC, adding catalyst; HBsAg status from medical surveillance records	Liver cancer; HCC	History of high-exposure job HBsAg-positive versus HBsAg-negative status History of tank cleaning versus no history HBsAg-negative status and history of tank cleaning HBsAg-positive status and history of tank cleaning HBsAg-positive status and no history of tank cleaning HBsAg-negative status and history of high exposure job HBsAg-positive status and history of high exposure job HBsAg-positive status and no history of high exposure job	18	2.9 (1.1–7.3) 15.7 (3.6–68.4) 3.6 (1.4–9.2) 4.0 (0.2–69. 396 (22.6–8) 25.7 (2.9–229.4) 2.9 (0.2–50.0) 184.5 (15.0–8) 26.1 (2.9–235.1)	Family history of chronic liver disease	For deceased individuals, validation of exposure by next of kin versus co-workers and industrial hygienist Reference: HBsAg-negative status and no history of tank cleaning

**Table 2.1. Cohort studies of vinyl chloride monomer and polyvinyl chloride production workers and liver cancer**

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)*	Adjustment for potential confounders	Comments
Mastrangelo <i>et al.</i> (2004) Italy	Nested case-control study; 13 men; 8 histologically confirmed; 5 focal hepatic lesions at sonography + AFP > 400 µg/L 139 workers with no clinical or biochemical evidence of chronic liver disease or cancer at any site from medical surveillance in 1999 - 2002	JEMs, job-and time-specific VCM estimates (ppm); information for cases from company file from medical surveillance data for controls; alcoholic beverage consumption from hospital/clinical records and surveillance data; serological markers for HBsAg and anti-HCV antibodies	HCC	<i>Cumulative VCM exposure (ppm-years)</i>		Reference	Adjusted for age, hepatitis infection	
				< 500		6.32 (0.48–336)		
				500–2500		29.3 (3.61–1298)		
				>2500		Reference		
				< 2500/alcohol < 60 g/day		18.8 (1.62–218.0)		
				> 2500/alcohol < 60 g/day		42.9 (3.41–540.0)		
				< 2500/alcohol > 60 g/day		409 (19.6–8553.0)		
				> 2500/alcohol > 60 g/day		Reference		
				<2500/HBsAg/HCV negative		25.0 (2.77–226.0)		
				> 2500/HBsAg/HCV negative		106.9 (4.43- 2578.0)		
				<2500/HBsAg/HCV positive		210.3 (7.13-6203.0)		
				>2500/HBsAg/HCV positive				

ASL, angiosarcoma of the liver; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; JEM, job exposure matrix; vinyl chloride monomer (VCM); polyvinyl chloride (PVC)