Risk factor	Level of exposure	OR, RR, or HR (95% CI)	Adjustments	Reference Study design
Oral cancer				
Cigarette smoking in never alcohol drinkers	Ever vs never	OR: 1.35 (0.9–2.01)	Age, sex, race/ethnicity, education level, study centre, years of cigar smoking (continuous), and years of pipe smoking (continuous)	Hashibe et al. (2007) Meta-analysis of 14 case–control studies in the INHANCE consortium, including 717 oral cancer cases and 4051 controls
	Ever vs never	OR: 3.2 (1.9–5.3)	Age, sex, area of residence, education level, family history of head and neck cancer, alcohol consumption, BMI 2 yr before interview, tea consumption, and history of candidiasis	Radoï et al. (2015) Multicentre case–control study (ICARE), conducted in 10 departments in France; 689 oral cancer cases and 3481 controls
Any smoked tobacco product in never alcohol drinkers	Ever vs never	OR: 2.37 (1.74–3.23)	Age, sex, country of residence, and education level	Anantharaman et al. (2011) Multicentre case–control study (ARCAGE), conducted in 14 centres in 10 European countries, not including France in this analysis; 477 oral cancer cases and 1959 controls
Cigarette smoking	Ever vs never	OR: 2.87 (2.60–3.18)	Age, sex, race, education level, frequency of alcohol consumption, duration of pipe smoking (for cigar smoking), and duration of cigar smoking (for pipe smoking)	Wyss et al. (2013) Pooled analysis of cases and controls of the INHANCE consortium; 4110 oral cancer cases and 18 691 controls
	Ever vs never		Age, sex, study, education level, and	Toporcov et al. (2015)
	Age \leq 45 yr	OR: 1.91 (1.53–2.38)	frequency of alcohol consumption	Pooled analysis of 25 case–control studies in the INHANCE consortium; 5573 oral cancer cases and
	Age > 45 yr	OR: 2.18 (1.99–2.39)		25 976 controls
Cigarette smoking	Current vs never		Age, sex, education level, BMI, alcohol	Agudo et al. (2012)
	Overall	HR: 3.53 (2.21–5.65)	consumption, physical activity, total energy intake, and consumption of fruits	Multicentre cohort EPIC study in 10 European countries; 350 oral cancers in 441 211 cohort members
	Men	HR: 4.21 (2.17–8.16)	and vegetables	
	Women	HR: 3.17 (1.52–6.61)		
Cigarette smoking	Current vs never	OR: 2.11 (1.23–3.62)	Age, sex, and alcohol consumption	Maasland et al. (2014) Netherlands Cohort study, including 110 oral cancers and 4288 randomly selected non-cancer cohort members
	Current vs never	RR: 3.43 (2.37–4.94)	Fully adjusted estimates included when available	Gandini et al. (2008) Meta-analysis of 11 case–control studies and 1 cohort study
Cigar smoking in never cigarette smokers	Ever vs never	OR: 2.83 (1.91–4.17)	Age, sex, race, education level, frequency of alcohol consumption, duration of pipe	

Table S2.1 Tobacco smoking and risk of oral and oropharyngeal cancers or OPMDs

Table S2.1 Tobacco smoking and risk of oral and oropharyngeal cancers or OPMDs

Risk factor	Level of exposure	OR, RR, or HR (95% CI)	Adjustments	Reference Study design
Pipe smoking in never cigarette smokers	Ever vs never	OR: 2.51 (1.68–3.75)	smoking (for cigar smoking), and duration of cigar smoking (for pipe smoking)	Wyss et al. (2013) Pooled analysis of cases and controls of the INHANCE consortium; 4110 oral cancer cases and 16 152 controls
Cigarette and bidi smoking	Ever vs never		Age, centre, education level, chewing	Balaram et al. (2002)
	Men	OR: 1.77 (1.2–2.7)	habits, and alcohol consumption	Multicentre case–control study in India; 591 oral cancer cases and 582 hospital controls
	Women	OR: 3.18 (0.6–17.5)		
Oropharyngeal cancer				
Any smoked tobacco product in never alcohol drinkers	Ever vs never	OR: 3.67 (2.53–5.34)	Age, sex, country of residence, and education level	Anantharaman et al. (2011) Multicentre case–control study (ARCAGE), conducted in 14 centres in 10 European countries, not including France in this analysis; 399 oropharyngeal cancer cases and 1959 controls
Cigarette smoking	Ever vs never	OR: 3.01 (2.71–3.35)	Age, sex, race, education level, frequency of alcohol consumption, duration of pipe smoking, and duration of cigar smoking	Wyss et al. (2013) Pooled analysis of cases and controls of the INHANCE consortium; 3834 oropharyngeal cancer cases and 16 152 controls
Cigarette smoking	Ever vs never		Age, sex, study, education level, and	Toporcov et al. (2015)
	Age \leq 45 yr	OR: 1.86 (1.47–2.37)	frequency of alcohol consumption	Pooled analysis of 25 case–control studies in the INHANCE consortium; 4373 oropharyngeal cancer
	Age > 45 yr	OR: 2.77 (2.50–3.08)		cases and 25 976 controls
Cigarette smoking	Current vs never		Age, sex, education level, BMI, alcohol	Agudo et al. (2012)
	Overall	HR: 5.95 (3.41–10.4)	consumption, physical activity, total energy intake, and consumption of fruits	Multicentre cohort EPIC study in 10 European countries; 203 oropharyngeal cancers in 441 211 cohort
	Men	HR: 6.67 (3.05–14.6)	and vegetables	members
	Women	HR: 5.03 (2.05–12.3)		
Cigarette smoking	Current vs never	OR: 8.53 (3.38– 21.55)	Age, sex, and alcohol consumption	Maasland et al. (2014) Netherlands Cohort study, including 83 oropharyngeal cancers and 4288 randomly selected non-cancer cohort members
Cigar smoking in never cigarette smokers	Ever vs never	OR: 2.31 (1.54–3.45)	Age, sex, race, education level, frequency of alcohol consumption, duration of pipe	Wyss et al. (2013) Pooled analysis of cases and controls of the INHANCE
Pipe smoking in never cigarette smokers	Ever vs never	OR: 1.65 (1.04–2.60)	smoking (for cigar smoking), and duration of cigar smoking (for pipe smoking)	consortium; 4110 oral cancer cases, 3834 oropharyngeal cancer cases, and 16 152 controls

Table S2.1 Tobacco smoking and risk of oral and oropharyngeal cancers or OPMDs

Risk factor	Level of exposure	OR, RR, or HR (95% CI)	Adjustments	Reference Study design
Oral cancer and oropharyngeal	cancer			
Cigarette smoking	Ever vs never	OR: 5.83 (4.40–7.54)	Age, sex, centre, education level, and frequency and duration of alcohol consumption	Lee et al. (2009) Multicentre case–control study in 14 centres in 10 European countries, not including France in this analysis; 993 cases and 2221 controls
Oropharyngeal and hypopharyng	geal cancer			
Cigarette smoking in never- drinkers	Ever vs never	OR: 2.02 (1.34–3.05)	Age, sex, race/ethnicity, education level, study centre, years of cigar smoking (continuous), and years of pipe smoking (continuous)	Hashibe et al. (2007) Meta-analysis of 14 case–control studies in the INHANCE consortium including 717 oral cancer cases and 4051 controls
Leukoplakia				
Tobacco smoking (cigarettes and bidis)	Ever vs never	OR: 3.0 (2.5–3.7)	Age, sex, education level, BMI, chewing habits, and alcohol consumption	Hashibe et al. (2000a) Nested case–control study in a randomized cancer screening study in India; 927 leukoplakia cases and 47 773 controls
Cigarette smoking	1–10 pack-years	OR: 3.3 (1.5–7.2)	Education level, occupation, alcohol consumption, and betel quid chewing	Lee et al. (2003) Population-based case–control study in Taiwan (China); 125 leukoplakia cases and 500 randomly selected age- and sex-matched community controls
OSF				
Cigarette smoking	1–10 pack-years	OR: 1.8 (0.7–5.1)	Education level, occupation, alcohol consumption, and betel quid chewing	Lee et al. (2003) Population-based case–control study in Taiwan (China); 94 OSF cases and 500 controls
Erythroplakia				
Tobacco smoking (cigarettes and bidis)	Ever vs never	OR: 1.6 (0.9–2.9)	Age, sex, education level, BMI, chewing habits, and alcohol consumption	Hashibe et al. (2000b) Nested case–control study in a randomized cancer screening study in India; 100 erythroplakia cases and 47 773 controls

ARCAGE, Alcohol-Related Cancers and Genetic Susceptibility in Europe; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; EPIC, European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; HR, hazard ratio; ICARE, Investigation of Occupational and Environmental Causes of Respiratory Cancers; INHANCE, International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology; OPMDs, oral potentially malignant disorders; OR, odds ratio; OSF, oral submucous fibrosis; RR, relative risk; vs, versus; yr, year or years.

Risk factor	Level of exposure	OR (95% CI)	Adjustments	Reference Study design
Oral cancer				
Cigarette smoking	Ever vs never:		Age, sex, study, education level, and	Toporcov et al. (2015)
	Pack-years:	Age > 45 yr:	frequency of alcohol consumption	Pooled analysis of 25 case–control studies in the INHANCE consortium;
	Never	1.00 (ref)		5573 oral cancer cases and 25 976 controls
	> 0-10	0.92 (0.79–1.08)		controis
	10-20	1.39 (1.20–1.61)		
	20-30	2.22 (1.94–2.54)		
	30–40	2.82 (2.47-3.21)		
	40–50	3.25 (2.82–3.74)		
	> 50	3.49 (3.10–3.92)		
		<i>P</i> < 0.001		
	Pack-years:	Age \leq 45 yr:		
	Never	1.00 (ref)		
	> 0-10	1.11 (0.83–1.49)		
	10-20	1.87 (1.39–2.51)		
	20-30	2.80 (2.06–3.81)		
	30–40	4.09 (2.75-6.07)		
	40–50	3.73 (2.28–6.11)		
	> 50	4.99 (3.22–7.73)		
		<i>P</i> < 0.001		
Cigarette smoking	vs never:		Age, sex, race, education level, fruit	Stingone et al. (2013)
	Intensity:		and vegetable consumption, alcohol consumption, and use of other tobacco	The Carolina Head and Neck Cancer population-based case–control study of
	1–19 cig/day	2.21 (1.13-4.31)	-	squamous cell carcinoma of the head and
	\geq 20 cig/day	4.95 (2.73-8.96)		neck, recruiting cases and controls from North Carolina; 192 oral cancer cases
	Duration:			and 1377 controls
	1–19 yr	1.36 (0.60–3.05)		
	\geq 20 yr	4.90 (2.74-8.77)		

Table S2.2 Tobacco smoking and risk of oral and oropharyngeal cancers – dose–response relationship

Risk factor	Level of exposure	OR (95% CI)	Adjustments	Reference Study design		
Bidi smoking	Current vs never:		Age, centre, education level, alcohol	Balaram et al. (2002)		
	< 20 bidis/day	2.04 (1.10-3.79)	consumption, and chewing	591 oral cancer cases (282 women) and 582 controls (290 women)		
	\geq 20 bidis/day	2.50 (1.41-4.42)				
Oropharyngeal cancer						
Cigarette smoking	Ever vs never:		Age, sex, study, education level, and	Toporcov et al. (2015)		
	Pack-years:	Age > 45 yr:	frequency of alcohol consumption	Pooled analysis of 25 case–control studies in the INHANCE consortium;		
	> 0-10	1.36 (1.16–1.58)		4373 oropharyngeal cancer cases and 25 976 controls		
	10–20	1.81 (1.56–2.10)				
	20–30	2.56 (2.22–2.95)				
	30–40	3.39 (2.95–3.89)				
	40–50	3.69 (3.17-4.29)				
	> 50	4.96 (4.37–5 <mark>.6</mark> 2)				
		<i>P</i> < 0.001				
	Pack-years:	Age \leq 45 yr:				
	> 0-10	1.01 (0.73–1.40)				
	10-20	1.81 (1.31–2.50)				
	20–30	2.69 (1.94–3.72)				
	30–40	4.55 (3.02–6.87)				
	40–50	4.09 (2.48–6.73)				
	> 50	3.99 (2.46–6.48)				
		<i>P</i> < 0.001				

Table S2.2 Tobacco smoking and risk of oral and oropharyngeal cancers – dose–response relationship

Risk factor	Level of exposure	OR (95% CI)	Adjustments	Reference Study design
Oral and oropharyngea	l cancers combined			
Cigarette smoking	Current vs never:		Age, sex, centre, education level, and	Lee et al. (2009)
	Intensity:		alcohol consumption	Multicentre case–control study (ARCAGE), conducted in 14 centres in
	1-2 cig/day	2.44 (1.27-4.69)		10 European countries, not including France in this analysis; 993 cases and 2221 controls
	3–4 cig/day	2.76 (1.51-5.02)		
	5-10 cig/day	'day 1.99 (1.44–2.75)		
	11-20 cig/day	4.24 (3.26–5.52)		
	> 20 cig/day	4.85 (3.64–6.47)		
		<i>P</i> < 0.01		
	Duration:			
	1–20 yr	1.31 (0.94–1.83)		
	21–40 yr	3.76 (2.90–4. <mark>8</mark> 7)		
	> 40 yr	6.81 (5.06–9.16)		

Table S2.2 Tobacco smoking and risk of oral and oropharyngeal cancers – dose-response relationship

ARCAGE, Alcohol-Related Cancers and Genetic Susceptibility in Europe; CI, confidence interval; cig, cigarettes; INHANCE, International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology; OR, odds ratio; ref, reference; vs, versus; yr, year or years.

Table S2.3 Alcoholic beverage consumption and risk of oral and oropharyngeal cancers

Risk factor	Level of exposure	OR or RR (95% CI)	Adjustments	Reference Study design
Oral cancer				
Alcohol consumption in never-users of tobacco	Ever vs never	OR: 1.17 (0.92–1.48)	Age, sex, race/ethnicity, education level, and study	Hashibe et al. (2007) Meta-analysis of 14 case–control studies in the INHANCE
Alcohol consumption	Never-drinkers	1.00 (ref)	centre	consortium, including 383 oral cancer cases, 369 oropharyngeal/hypopharyngeal cancer cases, and 5775
frequency in never-smokers	< 1 drinks/day	OR: 1.14 (0.80–1.63)		controls
	1-2 drinks/day	OR: 1.64 (1.19–2.25)		
	3–4 drinks/day	OR: 1.11 (0.57–2.15)		
	\geq 5 drinks/day OR: 1.23 (0.59–2.57)			
		$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.032$		
Alcohol consumption duration	Never-drinkers	1.00 (ref)		
	1–10 yr OR: 2.36 (1.43–3.88)			
	11–20 yr	OR: 1.09 (0.65–1.85)		
	21–30 yr	OR: 0.81 (0.49–1.33)		
	31–40 yr	OR: 1.29 (0.88–1.90)		
	> 40 yr	OR: 1.15 (0.77–1.73)		
		$P_{\rm trend} < 0.001$		
Alcohol consumption	Alcohol consumption alone vs never-smokers and never- drinkers	OR: 1.1 (0.4–2.6)	Age, sex, area of residence, education level, family history of head and neck cancer, alcohol consumption, BMI 2 yr before interview, tea consumption, and history of candidiasis	Radoï et al. (2015) Multicentre case–control study (ICARE), conducted in 10 departments in France; 689 cases and 3481 controls
Oropharyngeal and hypopharyn	ngeal cancer			
Alcohol consumption	Never-drinkers	1.00 (ref)	Age, sex, race/ethnicity,	Hashibe et al. (2007)
frequency	< 1 drinks/day	OR: 1.39 (0.99–1.96)	education level, and study centre	Meta-analysis of 14 case–control studies in the INHANCI consortium, including 383 oral cancer cases, 369
	1–2 drinks/day	OR: 1.66 (1.18–2.34)		oropharyngeal/hypopharyngeal cancer cases, and 5775 controls
	3–4 drinks/day	OR: 2.33 (1.37–3.98)		controls
	\geq 5 drinks/day	OR: 5.50 (2.26–13.4)		
		$P_{\rm trend} < 0.001$		

Risk factor	Level of exposure	OR or RR (95% CI)	Adjustments	Reference Study design
Alcohol consumption duration	Never-drinkers	1.00 (ref)		
	1–10 yr	OR: 1.76 (0.99–3.14)		
	11–20 yr	OR: 1.34 (0.81–2.11)		
	21–30 yr	OR: 1.95 (1.37–2.77)		
	31–40 yr	OR: 1.44 (0.78–2.66)		
	> 40 yr	OR: 1.51 (0.68–3.37)		
		$P_{\rm trend} = 0.003$		
Alcohol consumption in never-users of tobacco	Ever vs never	OR: 1.38 (0.99–1.94)		
Oral and pharyngeal cancers				
Alcohol consumption frequency	$\leq 1 \text{ drink/day}$	RR: 1.21 (1.10–1.33)	3 of 19 studies had no adjustment	Tramacere et al. (2010) Meta-analysis of 43 case–control studies and 2 cohort studies
	\geq 4 drinks/day	RR: 5.24 (4.36–6.30)	5 of 29 studies had no adjustment	
Demographics	Heavy drinking vs non- drinkers or occasional drinkers			Turati et al. (2013) Meta-analysis of 49 observational studies
	Men	RR: 5.49 (4.36–6.92)		
	Women	RR: 5.69 (3.74–8.66)		
	Asia	RR: 4.75 (3.14–7.17)		
	North America	RR: 5.36 (4.11–7.00)		
	South America	RR: 5.21 (3.77–7.19)		
	Europe	RR: 5.63 (4.09–7.77)		
	Incidence	RR: 5.51 (4.54–6.69)		
	Mortality	RR: 4.25 (3.03–5.96)		
Alcohol consumption intensity	Light drinking	RR: 1.13 (1.00–1.26)	Adjusted estimates included;	Bagnardi et al. (2015)
	Moderate drinking	RR: 1.83 (1.62–2.07)	adjustment factors not specified	Meta-analysis of 52 observational studies
	Heavy drinking	RR: 5.13 (4.31-6.10)	¥ 11 11	

Table S2.3 Alcoholic beverage consumption and risk of oral and oropharyngeal cancers

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; ICARE, Investigation of Occupational and Environmental Causes of Respiratory Cancers; INHANCE, International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology; OR, odds ratio; ref, reference; RR, relative risk; vs, versus; yr, year or years.

Organ site	Level of exposure	OR (95% CI)	Adjustments	Reference Study design
Type of alcoholic bever	age			
Oral cavity	Wine vs never (ref)		Age, sex, race/ethnicity, study centre,	Purdue et al. (2009)
	\leq 15 drinks/week	1.3 (0.7–2.2)	education level, pack-years of smoking, years of cigar smoking, and years of pipe	Pooled analysis of cases and controls from the INHANCE consortium; 344 oral cance
	>15 drinks/week	5.9 (2.3–15.4)	smoking	cases, 330 pharyngeal cancer cases, and
	Beer vs never (ref)	3487 controls		
	\leq 15 drinks/week	2.0 (1.4–2.8)		
	> 15 drinks/week	6.4 (3.9–10.3)		
	Liquor vs never (ref)			
	\leq 15 drinks/week	1.7 (0.9–3.3)		
	> 15 drinks/week	3.2 (1.6–6.4)		
Pharynx	Wine vs never (ref)			
	\leq 15 drinks/week	1.4 (0.9–2.2)		
	> 15 drinks/week	4.4 (2.0–9.6)		
	Beer vs never (ref)			
	\leq 15 drinks/week	2.3 (1.7–3.1)		
	> 15 drinks/week	4.3 (2.7–6.8)		
	Liquor vs never (ref)			
	\leq 15 drinks/week	2.0 (0.9-4.6)		
	> 15 drinks/week	3.6 (2.0-6.3)		

Table S2.4 Alcoholic beverage consumption and risk of oral and oropharyngeal cancers – supplementary data

Organ site	Level of exposure	OR (95% CI)	Adjustments	Reference Study design
Ethnic differences in alcoh	ol risk			
Oral cavity	Never to < 20 yr	1.0 (ref)	Age, sex, study centre, education level, pack-years of smoking, duration of cigar smoking (years), duration of pipe smoking	Voltzke et al. (2018)
	\geq 20– < 30 yr	White: 1.62 (1.36–1.94)		Pooled analysis of cases and controls from the INHANCE consortium; 2248 oral
		Black: 2.01 (1.07-3.79)	(years), ever snuff use, and ever chew use	cancer cases, 2154 oropharyngeal cancer
	\geq 30 yr White: 1.38 (1.20–1.58)		cases, and 9194 controls	
		Black: 2.20 (1.38-3.50)		
Oropharyngeal cancer	Never to < 20 yr	1.0 (ref)		
	$\geq 20 - \langle 30 \text{ yr} \rangle$ White: 1.83 (1.56–2.16)			
		Black: 4.12 (2.33-7.27)		
	\geq 30 yr	White: 1.81 (1.59–2.06)		
		Black: 4.60 (2.79–7.59)		

Table S2.4 Alcoholic beverage consumption and risk of oral and oropharyngeal cancers – supplementary data

CI, confidence interval; INHANCE, International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology; OR, odds ratio; ref, reference; vs, versus; yr, year or years.

OPMD	Level of exposure	OR (95% CI)	Adjustments	Reference Location, study design	
Multiple OPMDs	Ever vs never	0.63 (0.33–1.21)	Age, sex, education level, fruit and vegetable intake, and current smoking	Li et al. (2011) Puerto Rico (USA); case–control study; 95 OPMD cases, 155 benign oral lesion controls	
Multiple OPMDs	Current (monthly, weekly, daily)	2.7 (1.2–6.3)	Sex, age, socioeconomic status, β -carotene- containing fruit and vegetable portions, BMI, smoking, betel quid chewing, and alcohol consumption	Amarasinghe et al. (2010b) Sri Lanka; case–control study; 101 OPMD cases, 728 controls	
Leukoplakia	Current vs never	Men: 0.76 (0.42– 1.38)	Age, sex, tobacco smoking, and betel quid chewing	Yang et al. (2010) Taiwan (China): case, control study: 224 leukonlakia cases, 1365	
		Women: 1.10 (0.72– 1.69)	chewing	Taiwan (China); case–control study; 224 leukoplakia cases, 1365 controls	
Leukoplakia	Current vs never	1.8 (1.1–2.8)	Education level and occupation	Lee et al. (2003) Taiwan (China); case–control study; 125 leukoplakia cases, 500 controls	
Leukoplakia	Ever vs never	1.4 (1.2–1.7)	Age, sex, education level, BMI, smoking, and tobacco chewing	Hashibe et al. (2000a) India; case–control study; 927 cases, 47 773 controls	
Leukoplakia	Occasional vs never	0.28 (0.03-2.56)	Tobacco smoking and areca nut chewing	Shiu et al. (2000)	
	Frequent vs never	3.00 (0.27-33.5)		Taiwan (China); case-control study; 100 cases, 100 controls	
OSF	Current vs never	Men: 0.68 (0.28– 1.64)	Age, sex, tobacco smoking, and betel quid chewing	Yang et al. (2010) Taiwan (China); case–control study; 89 OSF cases, 1365 controls	
		Women: 0.98 (0.53– 1.82)			
OSF	Current vs never	1.8 (1.1–3.1)	Education level and occupation	Lee et al. (2003) Taiwan (China); case–control study; 94 OSF cases, 500 controls	
Erythroplakia	Ever vs never	3.0 (1.6–5.7)	Age, sex, education level, BMI, smoking, and tobacco chewing	Hashibe et al. (2000b) India; case–control study; 100 erythroplakia cases, 47 773 controls	
Multiple OPMDs	Ever vs never	1.4 (0.7–2.7)	Age, sex, education level, BMI, smoking, tobacco chewing, fruit intake, and vegetable intake	Thomas et al. (2003) India; case–control study; 115 multiple OPMD cases, 47 773 controls	

Table S2.5 Alcoholic beverage consumption and risk of OPMDs

BMI, body mass index; OPMDs, oral potentially malignant disorders; OR, odds ratio; OSF, oral submucous fibrosis; vs, versus.

Risk factor	RR or OR (95% CI) ^a	Adjustments Comments	Reference Location, study design
Oral cancer			
Several smokeless tobacco	Overall: 3.53 (2.75–4.51)	Overall estimates include products such as paan	Asthana et al. (2019)
products	Oral snuff $(n = 8)$:	tobacco, <i>gutka</i> , and <i>mainpuri</i> , which are areca nut products with added tobacco	Worldwide
	4.18 (2.37–7.38)	F	Meta-analysis of 37 studies (61 estimates) published until 2016 on oral cancers; excluded
	Snus/moist snuff ($n = 3$):		studies on oral and pharyngeal cancers combined.
	0.86 (0.58–1.29)		Authors restricted to studies of \geq 200 people where case ascertainment was by histology or cancer
	Nasal snuff/dipping $(n = 6)$:		registry and which were at least adjusted for smoking
	1.20 (0.80–1.81)		smoking
Naswar	Overall: 11.8 (8.4–16.4)	Estimates adjusted for tobacco smoking and alcohol	Khan et al. (2019)
	Men: 16.4 (10.7–25.1)	consumption	Pakistan
	Women: 18.8 (12.5–28.2)		Meta-analysis of 6 studies on oral and oropharyngeal cancers combined in the population in Pakistan published until mid-2017. Cases were ascertained by histological/medical records
Snuff	Oral cavity: 3.01 (1.63–5.55)	Data reported for never-smokers	Wyss et al. (2016)
	Gum: 12.7 (4.76–33.7)		USA
	Oropharynx: 1.07 (0.55–2.08)		Pooled analysis of 11 case–control studies in the USA (1981–2006) of oral cancer (2034), oropharyngeal cancer (2373), and 8375 controls in the INHANCE consortium
Chewing tobacco	Oral cavity: 1.81 (1.04–3.17)		
	Gum: 3.07 (1.10–8.59)		
	Oropharynx: 0.98 (0.57–1.68)		
Tobacco chewing ^c and <i>mishri</i>	Oral cancer	Estimates adjusted for age, sex, education level,	Gupta et al. (2017)
	Tobacco chewing ^c : 8.51 (4.90–14.77)	income, tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption, and dietary habits	Pune, India
	Mishri: 3.41 (1.90–6.12)	-	Hospital-based case–control study in Pune, India, in 2014–2015, which recruited 187 cases of histologically confirmed oral cancer and 240 hospital-based age- and sex-matched controls

Table S2.6 Smokeless tobacco use and risk of oral or oropharyngeal cancer or OPMDs

Table S2.6 Smokeless tobacco use and risk of oral or oropharyngeal cancer or OPMDs

Risk factor	RR or OR (95% CI) ^a	Adjustments Comments	Reference Location, study design
Shammah	Oral cancer	Estimates adjusted for khat chewing, cigarette	Quadri et al. (2015)
	20.14 (8.23–49.25)	smoking, and pipe smoking	Jazan, Saudi Arabia Hospital-based case–control study on 48
			histologically confirmed oral cancer cases and 96 controls. Shammah use, duration, khat chewing, cigarette smoking (number of packs per day), and pipe smoking were recorded via questionnaire
Shammah (snuff)	Oral cancer 39 (14–105) ^b	Estimates adjusted for age, sex, EBV status, and smoking	Nasher et al. (2014) Yemen
	37 (14-103)		Hospital-based case–control study in Yemen of 60 oral cancer cases and 120 hospital-based age- and sex-matched controls. Cases were confirmed by histopathology
<i>OPMDs</i>			
Chewing tobacco and snuff	Leukoplakia	Estimates adjusted for age, cigarette smoking, and	Tomar et al. (1997)
	Chewing: 2.5 (1.3–5.0) ^b	alcohol consumption	USA Cohort of 17 027 children aged 12–17 yr who participated in the 1986–1987 National Survey of
	Snuff: 18.4 (8.5–39.8) ^b		Oral Health in schoolchildren in the USA, of whom 3.1% used any smokeless tobacco, 2.0% used snuff, and 1.5% used chewing tobacco. Oral lesions were classified broadly as "white or whitish oral soft-tissue lesions" (leukoplakia)
Chewing tobacco and snuff	Leukoplakia		Ernster et al. (1990)
	Chewing: 60 (27.8–129.5) ^b		USA
	Snuff: 86.9 (39.9–189.5) ^b		Cohort of 1109 baseball players who underwent training in 1988 (median age, 18 yr), of whom 75% used snuff and 21% chewed tobacco; 42% were current users and 13% were former users as defined at entry. Leukoplakia identified by dentists on entry and biopsy-confirmed
Shammah	Leukoplakia	Estimates adjusted for age	Scheifele et al. (2007) Yemen
	Shammah use: 2.17 (0.95–4.96) ^b		Yemen Hospital-based case–control study of 54
			leukoplakia cases and 58 habit-matched controls

Table S2.6 Smokeless tobacco use and risk of oral or oropharyngeal cancer or OPMDs

Risk factor	RR or OR (95% CI) ^a	Adjustments Comments	Reference Location, study design
Shammah	Leukoplakia-like Former users: 3.65 (1.51–8.82) Current users: 16.70 (8.75–1.87)	Multivariate model adjusted for age and education level	Al-Tayar et al. (2015) Yemen Cross-sectional study in 2014 involving 346 male residents aged ≥ 18 yr. An interview-based questionnaire was used to collect information on sociodemographic characteristics, oral hygiene practices, shammah types, and patterns of use. Diagnosis of leukoplakia-like lesion based on Axell criteria

CI, confidence interval; EBV, Epstein–Barr virus; INHANCE, International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology; OPMDs, oral potentially malignant disorders; OR, odds ratio; RR, relative risk; yr, year or years.

^a RR or OR (95% CI) for ever-users versus never-users or for users versus non-users, unless indicated otherwise.

^b Current chewers versus non-chewers.

^c May include areca nut products (including betel quid) with added tobacco.

Risk factor	RR or OR (95% CI)	Study design Adjustments Comments	Reference Location
Oral cancer			
Tobacco chewing and	Duration of chewing:	Hospital-based case-control study in 2014–2015 that recruited 187 cases of	Gupta et al. (2017)
mishri ^a	< 10 yr: 5.22 (1.95–13.90)	histologically confirmed oral cancer and 240 hospital-based age- and sex-matched controls	Pune, India
	11–20 yr: 6.65 (2.96–14.96)	Estimates adjusted for age, sex, education level, income, tobacco smoking, alcohol	
	21–30 yr: 8.33 (4.13–16.78)	consumption, and dietary habits	
	31-40 yr: 9.25 (4.47-19.16)		
	> 41 yr: 11.17 (5.37–23.24)		
	Frequency of chewing (times/day):		
	1-5: 2.18 (1.14-4.20)		
	6-10: 8.73 (4.23-18.02)		
	> 10: 41.87 (19.61–89.40)		
Shammah ^a	Duration of use (% of cases/% of controls):	Hospital-based case–control study on 48 cases of histologically confirmed oral cancer and 96 controls. <i>Shammah</i> use, duration, khat chewing, cigarette smoking (number of packs per day), and pipe smoking were recorded via questionnaire Estimates adjusted for khat chewing, cigarette smoking, and pipe smoking	Quadri et al. (2015) Jazan, Saudi Arabia
	< 10 yr: 4.16/8.33		
	10–20 yr: 14.58/0.69		
	<i>P</i> < 0.001		
Chewing tobacco ^a	Frequency of tobacco chewing (times/day):	Hospital-based case-control study that recruited 123 cases of histologically confirmed	Wasnik et al. (1998) Nagpur, India
	1–2: 1.72 (0.56–5.37)	oral and pharyngeal cancers combined and 246 hospital-based age- and sex-matched controls falling into 2 groups: non-cancer controls and other cancer controls.	
	3-5: 2.44 (1.08-5.52)	Although paan with added tobacco was the most commonly used product (42% of	
	6–10: 8.19 (3.73–17.99)	cases), exclusive tobacco use was reported to be prevalent in almost 20% of the cases	
	> 10: 20.02 (8.15–48.98)	Estimates adjusted for age, sex, occupation, income, tobacco smoking, and alcohol consumption	
	Duration of tobacco chewing:		
	< 20 yr: 1.78 (0.72–4.42)		
	20–40 yr: 12.78 (7.04–23.20)		
	> 40 yr: 8.10 (4.02–16.31)		

Table S2.7 Smokeless tobacco use and risk of oral cancer or OPMDs – dose–response relationship

Risk factor	RR or OR (95% CI)	Study design Adjustments Comments	Reference Location
	Retention time of tobacco in the mouth:		
	< 30 minutes: 2.57 (1.17–5.68)		
	30-60 minutes: 5.01 (2.61-9.59)		
	> 60 minutes: 33.64 (15.32–73.64)		
	Overnight: 35.88 (13.38-95.53)		
OPMDs			
Shammah	Relative risk per 1 incremental unit of use:	Cross-sectional study in 2014 involving 346 male residents aged \geq 18 yr. An	Al-Tayar et al. (2015)
	Frequency (times/day): 1.17 (1.02–1.36)	interview-based questionnaire was used to collect information on sociodemographic characteristics, oral hygiene practices, <i>shammah</i> types, and patterns of use.	Dawan Valley, Yemen
	Duration of being a user (in years):	Leukoplakia-like lesion based on Axell criteria was the outcome of interest	
	1.07 (0.98–1.17)	Multivariate model was adjusted for age and education level	
	Duration of retention in the mouth (in minutes):		
	1.02 (0.95–1.10)		
Shammah ^b	Frequency of use (times/day):	Hospital-based case-control study of 54 leukoplakia cases and 58 habit-matched	Scheifele et al. (2007)
	1–5: 1 (ref)	controls	Yemen
	5-10: 1.93 (0.55-6.74)	Estimates adjusted for age	
	> 10: 4.90 (1.99–12.08)		
	Duration of use per serving (minutes):		
	< 1: 1 (ref)		
	1-5: 2.71 (0.96-7.68)		
	> 5: 6.91 (2.66–17.95)		
	Mouth-rinse after use:		
	No: 1 (ref)		
	Yes: 0.39 (0.18–0.85)		

Table S2.7 Smokeless tobacco use and risk of oral cancer or OPMDs – dose–response relationship

Risk factor	RR or OR (95% CI)	Study design Adjustments Comments	Reference Location
Chewing tobacco ^b	Duration (months):	Cross-sectional study of 17 027 children aged 12–17 yr who participated in the 1986–	Tomar et al. (1997)
	1-12: 2.0 (0.6-6.1)	1987 National Survey of Oral Health in schoolchildren in the USA, of whom 3.1% used any smokeless tobacco, 2.0% used snuff, and 1.5% used chewing tobacco. Oral	USA
	13–24: 6.6 (1.7–25.2)	lesions were classified broadly as "white or whitish oral soft-tissue lesions"	
	> 24: 13.4 (6.1–29.5)	(leukoplakia)	
	Frequency (days/month):	Estimates adjusted for age, cigarette smoking, and alcohol consumption	
	1-14: 2.9 (1.1-7.9)		
	15–29: 4.8 (1.3–18.2)		
	30-31: 12.1 (5.5-26.5)		
	Exposure time (minutes):		
Snuff ^b	1-30: 2.8 (1.1-7.1)		
	31–105: 6.3 (2.7–14.5)		
	> 105: 11.1 (4.3–29.1)		
	Duration (months):		
	1–12: 8.1 (3.8–17.4)		
	13–24: 23.3 (10.5–51.4)		
	> 24: 58.9 (21.3–162.6)		
	Frequency (days/month):		
	1–14: 4.2 (1.6–11.4)		
	15–29: 7.9 (2.9–21.7)		
	30–31: 51.4 (19.7–133.7)		
	Exposure time (minutes):		
	1-30: 9.5 (4.3-20.7)		
	31–105: 14.6 (5.5–39.0)		
	> 105: 26.7 (9.8–72.9)		

Table S2.7 Smokeless tobacco use and risk of oral cancer or OPMDs – dose–response relationship

CI, confidence interval; OPMDs, oral potentially malignant disorders; OR, odds ratio; ref, reference; RR, relative risk; yr, year or years.

^a RR or OR (95% CI) for ever versus never.

Risk factors	RR or OR (95% CI) ^a	Reference Location Study design/comments
Betel quid + tobacco	Oral and oropharyngeal cancer: Overall meta-RR: 9.6 (5.9–15.6) Men meta-RR: 5.4 (3.9–7.4) Women meta-RR: 14.6 (7.6–27.8) Oral cancer: Meta-RR: 8.5 (6.5–11.1) Buccal mucosa, cheek cancer: Meta-RR: 13.6 (6.9–26.9) Tongue cancer: Meta-RR: 4.1 (2.6–6.5) Palate cancer: Meta-RR: 2.1 (1.0–4.1) Oropharyngeal cancer: Meta-RR: 4.4 (2.2–8.5)	Guha et al. (2014) Indian subcontinent Meta-analysis of 50 reports published in 1933–2013. Estimates reported for betel quid + tobacco use only; estimates adjusted for both tobacco use and alcohol consumption
Gutka, mawa, mainpuri	Oral cancer: OR: 1.2 (1.1–1.3)	 Azhar et al. (2018) Pakistan Hospital-based case-control study in 2015–2016; 62 oral cancer cases and 62 controls In the study, gutka, mawa, and mainpuri, 3 areca nut products with added tobacco, were categorized as "smokeless tobacco". Estimates are given of this group of products. No adjustments were reported
Chewing betel quid with added tobacco	Oral and pharyngeal cancers combined: OR: 1.56 (0.65–3.73)	Gunasekera et al. (2015) Sri Lanka Case–control study; 78 cases of oral and pharyngeal cancer and 51 controls. Estimates adjusted for alcohol consumption, tobacco smoking, and HPV16/18 status
Gutka	Oral cancer: OR: 5.1 (2.0–10.3)	Mahapatra et al. (2015) Manipal, India Hospital-based case–control study in 2003; 134 oral cancer cases and 268 controls. Estimates adjusted for other tobacco and oral dip products, alcohol consumption, diet, education level, social class, age, and sex

Risk factors	RR or OR (95% CI) ^a	Reference Location Study design/comments
Betel leaf, areca nut, lime, tobacco	Oral cancer: OR: 4.7 (1.1–19.9)	Amtha et al. (2014) Jakarta, Indonesia Hospital-based case–control study in 2005 and 2006; 81 oral cancer cases and 162
Chewing betel quid with added tobacco	Oral cancer: OR: 2.2 (1.3–3.7)	controls. Estimates adjusted for alcohol consumption, tobacco smoking, and diet Mondal et al. (2013) India Hospital-based case-control study in 2010–2012; 124 oral cancer cases and 140
Gutka	Oral cancer: ^e OR: 12.8 (7.0–23.7)	controls. Adjustments were not given Madani et al. (2012) Pune, India
		Hospital-based case–control study in 2005–2006; 350 oral cancer cases and 350 controls. Estimates adjusted for other tobacco and oral dip products, alcohol consumption, non-vegetarian dietary habits, education level, occupation, age, and sex
Gutka	Leukoplakia: OR: 2.5 (1.1–5.6)	Khan et al. (2020) Mangaluru, India
	OSF: OR: 17.7 (4.8–64.6) Oral cancer: OR: 1.6 (0.5–4.5)	Retrospective cohort study from hospital records in 2013–2017 of 1007 people. Estimates adjusted for alcohol consumption and tobacco smoking
Gutka chewing	Oral cancer: OR: 3.0 (1.3–7.4)	Anwar et al. (2020) Pakistan Cross-sectional study; 186 cases of OSCC diagnosed in 2007. Estimates adjusted for other tobacco and other types of chewing substances, occupation, marital status, age, and sex
Areca nut + betel leaf + lime + catechu + tobacco	Oral cancer and OPMDs: OR: 10.97 (7.93–15.17)	Rimal et al. (2019) Nepal Cross-sectional study in 2012–2014; 60 oral cancer cases and 468 OPMD cases. No information given about the adjustment Among the OPMDs, a high prevalence of tobacco pouch keratosis and of OSF was noted

Risk factors	RR or OR (95% CI) ^a	Reference Location Study design/comments
Smokeless tobacco + betel quid chewing	OPMDs: ^b	Worakhajit et al. (2021) Thailand
	OR: 4.65 (2.79–7.76)	Community-based case–control study in 2019–2021; 562 OPMD cases and 886 controls. Estimates calculated by multivariate logistic regression analysis
Chewing betel quid with added tobacco	OPMDs: OR: 2.5 (1.2–5.5)	Kadashetti et al. (2015) India
		Hospital-based case-control study; 100 OPMD cases and 100 controls. Estimates calculated by multivariate logistic regression analysis
Tobacco paan masala (gutka)/Tobacco paan	OSF: Gutka OR: 10.77 (8.18–14.18)	Mehrotra et al. (2013) India
	Tobacco <i>paan</i> OR: 7.89 (4.02–15.47)	Population-based case–control study; 448 OSF cases and 2688 controls. Estimates were adjusted for the effect of other factors (not further defined)
Areca nut category (included mostly gutka)	Leukoplakia: Male OR: 0.77 (0.22–2.92)	Ray et al. (2013) Kolkata, India
	Female OR: 3.63 (1.10–13.26) OSF: Male OR: 15.05 (2.19–298.01) Female OR: 18.17 (2.53–369.12)	Case–control study in 2010–2011; 698 OPMD cases and 948 controls The areca nut category included gutka and betel quid (not stated whether with or without tobacco); however, gutka was the most used product. Estimates were unadjusted
Betel quid composed of betel leaf, areca nut, lime and tobacco or betel leaf, lime and tobacco	OPMDs: OR: 14.9 (4.5–49.3)	Amarasinghe et al. (2010a) Sri Lanka
		Nested case–control study; 102 OPMD cases detected in the cohort of 1029 participants. Estimates adjusted for tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption, occupation, education level, diet, age, and sex
Betel leaves, areca, lime, tobacco	OSF: OR: 16.2 (5.9–44.9)	Ariyawardana et al. (2006) Sri Lanka
		Hospital-based case-control study; 74 OSF cases and 74 age- and sex-matched controls

	justed for tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption:	Leach et al. (2004)
Multipl Overall Women Men: 3 Leukop Overall Women Men: 4 OSF (<i>n</i> Overall Women Men: 43 Erythro Overall Women Men: 15 OR rest Multipl 71.0 (24 Leukop 72.2 (44 OSF (<i>n</i> 73.0 (32 Erythro	ble OPMDs: II: $50.7 (21.5-119.5)$ an: $61.5 (21.3-177.3)$ 37.6 (8.65-163.8) plakia $(n = 577)$: II: $10.0 (8.3-12.0)$ an: $197.0 (22.6-\infty)$ 4.1 (3.3-5.1) n = 124): II: $55.6 (27.4-112.7)$ an: $59.0 (27.7-125.7)$ 48.7 (6.3-374.7) oplakia $(n = 76)$: II: $28.6 (13.3-61.4)$ an: $50.5 (14.8-172.7)$ 15.8 (5.9-42.0) stricting to non-smokers and non-drinkers: ble OPMDs $(n = 67)$: 24.8-202.8) plakia $(n = 339)$: 44.1-118.1) n = 110): 32.9-162.2) oplakia $(n = 41)$: 18.7-217.2)	Jacob et al. (2004) Kerala, India Case-control study design with cross-sectional data from a trial; 927 leukoplakia cases, 170 OSF cases, 100 erythroplakia cases, 115 multiple OPMD cases, and 47 773 controls

Risk factors	RR or OR (95% CI) ^a	Reference Location Study design/comments
Areca nut or paan masala + chewed tobacco	OSF:	Ranganathan et al. (2004)
	OR: 6.1 (1.8–21.3)	Chennai, India
		Hospital-based case-control study in 2000–2003; 185 OSF cases and 185 controls. Adjustments not reported
Chewing paan with added tobacco	Any oral mucosal lesions	Pearson et al. (2001)
	OR: 1.4 (0.5–3.7)	Multicentre cross-sectional study in Bangladeshi adults aged ≥ 40 yr in the United Kingdom; 137 study participants. Estimates calculated by multivariate logistic
	Leukoplakia:	regression analysis
	OR: 5.2 (1.3–21.4)	

Table S2.8 Chewing of areca nut (including betel quid) with added tobacco and risk of oral cancer or OPMDs

CI, confidence interval; HPV16/18, human papillomavirus type 16/18; OPMDs, oral potentially malignant disorders; OR, odds ratio; OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; OSF, oral submucous fibrosis; RR, relative risk; yr, year or years.

^a RR or OR (95% CI) for chewers versus non-chewers or for users versus non-users, unless indicated otherwise.

^b Current and former chewers versus non-chewers.

Table S2.9 Chewing of areca nut (including betel quid) with added tobacco and risk of oral cancer or OPMDs – dose–response relationship

Risk factors	OR (95% CI)	Reference Location Study design/adjustments/comments
Chewing betel quid (containing areca nut, tobacco, or both)	Oral cancer:	Madathil et al. (2016)
	Cumulative use (chew-years ^a) vs no use:	India
	Overall: 12.4 (9.6–16.1)	Hospital-based case–control study; 331 oral cancer cases and 355 controls. Estimates adjusted for bidi and cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, missing teeth, and diet
	< 86: 5.3 (2.9–9.7)	Note from the authors: In India, tobacco is usually included in the betel quid
	86-170: 9.6 (6.0-15.4)	
	171–289: 16.1 (9.4–27.6)	
	290-404: 22.5 (11.9-42.7)	
	> 404: 22.7 (11.1–46.6)	
	Dose-response: quantity and duration vs none:	
	1 quid/day for 1 yr: 3.9 (1.9–8.2) to ≥ 10 quids/day for > 10 yr: 8.1 (5.0–13.2)	
Chewing betel quid composed	OPMDs:	Amarasinghe et al. (2010a)
of betel leaf, areca nut, lime, and tobacco or betel leaf, lime,	Daily use (quids/day) vs no chewing:	Sri Lanka
and tobacco	1-3: 2.6 (0.6-11.4)	Community-based nested case–control study in 2006–2007; 102 OPMD cases detected in the cohort of 1029 participants. Estimates adjusted for age, sex, tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption, education level, occupation,
	4-5: 10.2 (2.8-37.0)	BMI, and diet
	6–10: 17.7 (5.1–61.3)	The estimates reported here included both betel quid with and without tobacco
	> 10: 75.5 (17.6–324.7)	
	Age started chewing (yr) vs no chewing:	
	≤ 18: 15.2 (4.2–54.2)	
	> 18: 9.4 (3.0–29.4)	
	Duration (yr) vs no chewing:	
	≤ 10: 8.1 (2.3–28.5)	
	10-20: 8.2 (2.2-30.3)	
	> 20: 18.6 (4.9–69.6)	

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; OPMDs, oral potentially malignant disorders; OR, odds ratio; vs, versus; yr, year or years.

^a 1 "chew-year" was defined as the equivalent of chewing 1 quid every day for 1 year.

Risk factor ^a	OR (95% CI) ^b	Reference Location Study design/adjustments/comments
Oral cancer		
Betel quid without tobacco	Oral cancer (meta-RR): Indian subcontinent: 2.4 (1.8–3.2)	Guha et al. (2014) Indian subcontinent; Taiwan (China)
	Taiwan (China): 11.0 (4.9–24.8) Buccal mucosa, cheek cancer:	Meta-analysis of 50 reports published in 1933–2013. Estimates adjusted for both tobacco use and alcohol consumption
	Indian subcontinent: 2.1 (1.0–4.1) Tongue cancer:	
	Indian subcontinent: 1.6 (1.1–2.3) Palate cancer:	
	Indian subcontinent: 5.1 (1.1–24.9) Oropharyngeal cancer:	
	Indian subcontinent: 2.6 (1.7–3.9)	
	Oral and oropharyngeal cancer (meta-RR): Indian subcontinent overall: 2.9 (2.2–3.9)	
	Men: 2.1 (1.5–3.1) Women: 3.0 (1.8–5.1)	
	Taiwan (China) overall: 11.5 (4.6–29.0) Men: 8.6 (4.1–17.9)	
Betel quid chewing	Oral cancer: 9.2 (2.8–30.7)	Chang et al. (2011) Taiwan (China)
		Cohort study in 2005–2010; 282 oral cancer cases and 13 321 controls. Estimates reported here are for non-smokers and non-drinkers. Multivariate logistic regression model
Betel quid	Oral cancer: 12.0 (3.5–40.3)	Lin et al. (2011) Taiwan (China)
		Cohort study in 2005–2008; 10 657 study participants. Estimates reported for non-smokers and non-drinkers. Multivariate logistic regression

Risk factor ^a	OR (95% CI) ^b	Reference Location Study design/adjustments/comments
Betel quid without tobacco or paan	Oral cancer death:	Wen et al. (2010)
	HR: 12.5 (5.5–28.8)	Taiwan (China)
		Cohort study of adults; 177 271 study participants. Estimates adjusted for age and alcohol consumption represent total risk for chewers compared with non-smoking non-chewers
Dried fibre shell of processed betel	Oral cancer:	Hu et al. (2020)
quid	5.4 (3.3–8.8)	Hunan Province, China
		Case–control study in 2014–2015; 304 cases and 304 controls. Estimates adjusted for smoking, alcohol consumption, age, sex, education level, occupation, and marital status
Betel quid chewing	Oral cancer:	Yang et al. (2014)
	13.5 (9.7–18.6)	Taiwan (China)
	Oral and pharyngeal cancer (SCC):	Case–control study in 2004–2011; 463 oral cancer cases, 129 oropharyngeal and hypopharyngeal cancer cases, and 623 controls. Estimates are crude estimates
	14.0 (8.7–22.5)	
Betel quid chewing	Oral cancer:	Loyha et al. (2012)
	9.0 (3.8–21.2)	Thailand
		Case–control study in 2010–2011; 104 oral cancer cases and 104 controls. Estimates adjusted for occupation, tobacco smoking, betel quid chewing, and interaction term of sex and alcohol consumption
Supari	Oral cancer:	Madani et al. (2012)
	6.6 (3.0–14.8)	India
		Hospital-based case–control study in 2005–2006; 350 oral cancer cases and 350 controls. Estimates adjusted for other tobacco and oral dip products, alcohol consumption, non-vegetarian dietary habits, education level, occupation, age, and sex
Betel quid chewing	Oral cancer:	Chen et al. (2011)
	17.3 (9.0–33.2)	Taiwan (China)
		Case–control study; 247 oral cancer cases and 338 controls. Adjusted for age, education level, employment status, religion, and covariates (use of alcohol, betel quid, and cigarettes)
Tobacco-free betel quid: unripe areca nut, slaked lime, and a piece of betel leaf or inflorescence or stem of <i>Piper</i> <i>betle</i> Linn.	Oral cancer:	Lee et al. (2011)
	HR: 1.6 (1.3–2.0)	Taiwan (China)
		Case–control study in 2001–2007; 1522 study participants. Estimates adjusted for sex, ethnicity, education level, diet, cigarette smoking, and alcohol consumption
Areca quid chewing	Oral cancer:	Zavras et al. (2011)
	19.9 (11.5–34.3)	Taiwan (China)
		Case–control study in 2007–2009; 240 oral cancer cases and 347 controls. Adjusted for age, sex, tobacco, alcohol, and areca nut use

Risk factor ^a	OR (95% CI) ^b	Reference Location Study design/adjustments/comments
Betel quid chewing	Oral cancer:	Chen et al. (2009)
	20.1 (12.6–32.0)	Taiwan (China) Case–control study in 2007–2009; 174 oral cancer cases and 347 controls. Multiple logistic regression models after controlling for age, sex, alcohol consumption, tobacco use, and areca nut chewing
Betel quid chewing	Oral cancer:	Chung et al. (2009)
	45.4 (21.1–97.5)	Taiwan (China)
		Case–control study in 1999–2001; 160 oral cancer cases and 218 controls. Multiple logistic regression model after adjustment for age, cigarette smoking, and betel quid chewing
OPMDs		
Areca nut chewing	OPMDs: 25.3 (20.8–30.7)	Yen et al. (2011) Taiwan (China)
	25.5 (20.0-50.7)	Cohort study in 2003–2008; 79 940 study participants. Estimates adjusted for education level, tobacco smoking, and alcohol consumption
Chewing betel quid without tobacco	OPMDs:	Amarasinghe et al. (2010a)
	5.5 (1.6–19.2)	Sri Lanka
		Case-control study; 1029 study participants. Adjusted for sex, age, education level, occupation, BMI, diet, tobacco smoking, and alcohol consumption
Chewing areca/betel quid	OSF:	Yang et al. (2005)
	Overall: 4.5 (1.2–16.9)	Taiwan (China)
	Men: 2.9 (0.3–29.3)	Case–control study; 62 OSF cases, 62 oral mucosal lesion cases, and 62 controls. Estimates were for chewers who were not smoking vs non-chewers
	Women: 5.6 (1.1–28.0)	
Chewing betel quid without tobacco	OR adjusted for tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption:	Jacob et al. (2004) Kerala, India
	Leukoplakia:	Case-control study; 927 leukoplakia cases, 170 OSF cases, 100 erythroplakia cases, 115 multiple oral
	Overall: 4.0 (2.7–6.1)	precancer cases, and 47 773 controls. Estimates adjusted for age, sex, education level, BMI, tobacco smoking, and alcohol consumption
	Women: 16.8 (8.9–31.8)	smoning, and accoust consumption
	Men: 2.2 (1.1–4.5)	
	OSF:	
	Overall: 47.2 (20.2–110.4)	
	Women: 42.8 (17.0–107.7)	
	Men: 108.1 (11.0–∞)	

Risk factor ^a	OR (95% CI) ^b	Reference Location Study design/adjustments/comments
	Erythroplakia:	
	Overall: 12.5 (3.7-42.4)	
	Women: 22.7 (4.4–116.7)	
	Men: 6.6 (0.8–57.0)	
	OR restricting to non-smokers and non-drinkers:	
	Leukoplakia:	
	Overall: 22.2 (11.3–43.7)	
	OSF:	
	Overall: 56.2 (21.8–144.8)	
	Erythroplakia:	
	Overall: 29.0 (5.6–149.5)	
3 types: areca nut with a piece of	Leukoplakia:	Lee et al. (2003)
inflorescence of <i>Piper betle</i> Linn., areca nut with a piece of betel leaf, and	22.3 (11.3–43.8)	Taiwan (China)
both mixed	OSF:	Case–control study in 1994–1995; 219 leukoplakia or OSF cases and 876 controls. Estimates were for current chewers, adjusted for education level, occupation, cigarette smoking, and alcohol consumption
	40.7 (16.0–103.7)	r
Areca nut chewing	OPMDs:	Juntanong et al. (2016)
	8.8 (3.2–24.5)	Thailand
		Cross-sectional study in 2014; 2300 study participants. Estimates adjusted for tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption
Chewing betel quid without tobacco	Leukoplakia:	Yang et al. (2010)
	Men: 6.6 (3.5–12.3)	Taiwan (China)
	Women: 15.6 (8.3–29.4)	Cross-sectional study in October–December 2005; 2 cancer cases, 313 precancers, 340 OPMD cases, and 1365 healthy people. Adjusted ORs computed by multiple logistic regressions with sex, age, smoking, and
	OSF:	alcohol consumption as covariates
	Men: 22.9 (7.3–71.7)	
	Women: 13.0 (5.2–32.6)	

Table S2.10 Chewing of areca nut (including betel quid) without tobacco and risk of oral cancer or OPMDs

Risk factor ^a	OR (95% CI) ^b	Reference Location Study design/adjustments/comments
Betel quid chewing	Leukoplakia:	Chung et al. (2005)
	8.6 (0.8–88.2)	Taiwan (China)
	OSF:	Cross-sectional study in 1998–1999; 1075 study participants. Estimates for chewers who were not smoking or drinking
	65.9 (3.9–∞)	
Paan without tobacco (a mixture of	Leukoplakia:	Pearson et al. (2001)
betel leaf, areca nut, and lime)	3.7 (0.9–15.1)	Bangladesh
	· · ·	Multicentre cross-sectional study on Bangladeshi adults aged \geq 40 yr in the United Kingdom; 137 study participants

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; OPMDs, oral potentially malignant disorders; OR, odds ratio; OSF, oral submucous fibrosis; RR, relative risk; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; vs, versus; yr, year or years.

^a As reported in the publication.

^b OR (95% CI) for chewers versus non-chewers, unless indicated otherwise.

Risk factor ^a	OR (95% CI) ^b	Reference Location Study design/adjustments/comments
Dried fibre shell of processed betel quid	Oral cancer:	Hu et al. (2020) Hunan Province, China
	Frequency of use (times/day):	
	1–10: 4.7 (2.7–78.0)	Case–control study in 2014–2015; 304 cases and 304 controls. Estimates adjusted for smoking, alcohol consumption, age, sex, education level, occupation, and marital status
	11–19: 8.8 (2.3–34.8)	
	> 20: 8.0 (3.3–20.1)	
	Duration of use (yr):	
	< 10: 5.3 (2.9–9.9)	
	10–19: 4.8 (2.4–9.6)	
	> 20–29: 8.4 (2.4–29.0)	
	Age at start of chewing (yr):	
	< 30: 15.3 (3.4–68.3)	
	≥ 30: 4.7 (2.8–7.8)	
Betel quid chewing	OPMDs:	Yen et al. (2019)
	Intensity of chewing, HR:	Taiwan (China)
	High: 4.5 (2.7–7.6)	Cohort study based on both nationwide oral and colorectal cancer screening programmes implemented in 2004–2009; 235 234 study participants; OPMD, n = 33 082. Intensity
	Low: 2.4 (1.6–3.6)	corresponds to duration × quantity; low intensity (< median) and high intensity (> median
		Estimates were for chewing only compared with smoking only. Adjusted by multivariate analysis
Betel quid chewing	Oral cancer:	Yang et al. (2014)
	Quantity (packs of 20 quids/yr):	Taiwan (China)
	0.21–11.50: 5.3 (3.7–7.5)	Case–control study in 2004–2011; 463 oral cancer cases and 623 controls. Estimates adjusted for age, ethnicity, education level, tobacco smoking, and alcohol consumption
	> 11.50: 13.5 (9.7–18.7)	

Table S2.11 Chewing of areca nut (including betel quid) without tobacco and risk of oral cancer or OPMDs - dose-response relationship

Risk factor ^a	OR (95% CI) ^b	Reference Location Study design/adjustments/comments
Betel quid chewing	Oral cancer: Age at start of chewing (yr): 1–20: 9.4 (4.3–20.3) > 20: 15.0 (7.2–31.0) Duration of chewing (yr): 1–20: 20.0 (8.8–45.6) > 20: 9.0 (4.4–18.6)	Chen et al. (2011) Taiwan (China) Case–control study; 247 oral cancer cases and 338 controls. Adjusted for age, education level, employment status, religion, and covariates (use of alcohol, betel quid, and cigarettes)
Tobacco-free betel quid: unripe areca nut, slaked lime, and a piece of betel leaf or inflorescence or stem of <i>Piper betle</i> Linn.	Oral cancer: Age at start of chewing (yr), HR: < 20: 2.5 (1.9–3.4) ≥ 20: 1.5 (1.2–1.8) Daily use (quids/day), HR: 1–19: 1.6 (1.3–2.0) ≥ 20: 1.6 (1.3–2.0)	Lee et al. (2011) Taiwan (China) Hospital-based case–control study in 2001–2007; 1522 study participants. Estimates adjusted for sex, ethnicity, education level, diet, cigarette smoking, and alcohol consumption
Chewing betel quid without tobacco	OPMDs: Quantity chewed (quids/day): 1-3: 2.6 (0.6-11.4) 4-5: 10.2 (2.8-37.0) 6-10: 17.7 (5.1-61.3) > 10: 75.5 (17.6-324.7) Age at start of chewing (yr): $\leq 18: 15.2 (4.2-54.2)$ > 18: 9.4 (3.0-29.4) Duration of chewing (yr): $\leq 10: 8.1 (2.3-28.5)$ 10-20: 8.2 (2.2-30.3) > 20: 18.6 (4.9-69.6)	Amarasinghe et al. (2010a) Sri Lanka Case–control study; 1029 study participants Adjusted for sex, age, education level, occupation, BMI, diet, tobacco smoking, and alcohol consumption

Table S2.11 Chewing of areca nut (including betel quid) without tobacco and risk of oral cancer or OPMDs - de	lose–response relationship
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

Risk factor ^a	OR (95% CI) ^b	Reference Location Study design/adjustments/comments
Betel quid without tobacco	Oral cancer death:	Wen et al. (2010)
	Quantity (pieces/day), HR:	Taiwan (China)
	1–5: 5.3 (2.1–13.7)	Cohort study of adults; 177 271 study participants. Estimates adjusted for age and alcohol consumption represent total risk for chewers compared with non-smoking non-chewers
	6–9: 12.1 (4.3–33.6)	
	≥ 10: 25.6 (10.0–65.6)	
Betel quid chewing	Dose-response by quid chewed (pieces/day):	Yen et al. (2007)
	Leukoplakia:	Taiwan (China)
	1–10: 2.1 (1.6–2.8)	Cohort study in 1998–1999; 8360 study participants. Estimates vs occasional use of non- smoking, non-drinking chewers. Adjusted for age, education level, occupational level, and
	11–20: 3.0 (2.1–4.3)	quantity of the 3 risk factors (betel quid, cigarette, and alcohol use)
	≥ 20: 5.4 (3.8–7.5)	
	Erythroplakia:	
	1–10: 3.7 (1.6–8.8)	
	11–20: 13.8 (5.8–33.0)	
	≥ 20: 36.6 (15.9–84.2)	
	OSF:	
	1–10: 1.3 (0.9–1.7)	
	11–20: 3.9 (2.8–5.6)	
	≥ 20: 6.9 (5.0–9.6)	
Betel quid without tobacco	Leukoplakia:	Jacob et al. (2004)
	Duration of chewing (yr):	Kerala, India
	1–10: 16.3 (7.5–35.7)	Case–control study; 927 leukoplakia cases, 170 OSF cases, 100 erythroplakia cases, 115 multiple oral precancer cases, and 47 773 controls. Estimates adjusted for age, sex,
	> 11: 12.6 (4.2–38.0)	education level, and BMI, in non-smokers and non-drinkers
	Frequency of chewing (times/day):	
	1-10: 12.4 (5.7-27.2)	
	> 11: 57.6 (17.5–189.3)	

Risk factor ^a	OR (95% CI) ^b	Reference Location Study design/adjustments/comments
	OSF:	
	Duration of chewing (yr):	
	1–10: 39.1 (13.8–110.9)	
	> 11: 15.2 (2.68–86.3)	
	Frequency of chewing (times/day):	
	1–10: 28.9 (9.9–597.6)	
	> 11: 133.0 (29.6–597.6)	
	Erythroplakia:	
	Duration of chewing (yr):	
	1–10: 14.09 (2.2–91.8)	
	> 11: 28.0 (2.0–386.9)	
	Frequency of chewing (times/day):	
	1–10: 11.6 (1.7–78.3)	
	> 11: 68.9 (6.0–787.0)	
3 types: areca nut with a piece of inflorescence	Leukoplakia:	Lee et al. (2003)
of <i>Piper betle</i> Linn., areca nut with a piece of betel leaf, and both mixed	Duration of chewing (yr):	Taiwan (China)
	1–10: 15.9 (7.1–35.6)	Case–control study in 1994–1995; 219 leukoplakia or OSF cases and 876 controls. Estimates adjusted for education level, occupation, cigarette smoking, and alcohol
	11–20: 20.7 (8.9–48.2)	consumption
	> 21: 24.0 (10.8–53.4)	
	Dose-response: 3.0 (2.3-3.9)	
	Quantity of chewing (pieces/day):	
	1–10: 16.6 (8.2–33.8)	
	11–20: 21.0 (8.8–49.7)	
	> 21: 38.5 (14.1–105.1)	
	Dose–response: 3.8 (2.8–5.1)	

Table S2.11 Chewing of areca nut (including betel quid) without tobacco and risk of oral cancer or OPMDs – dose–response relationship

Risk factor ^a	OR (95% CI) ^b	Reference Location Study design/adjustments/comments
	OSF:	
	Duration of chewing (yr):	
	1–10: 30.9 (11.3–84.7)	
	11–20: 41.9 (14.1–124.9)	
	> 21: 39.3 (11.7–131.7)	
	Dose-response: 4.2 (2.9-5.8)	
	Quantity of chewing (pieces/day):	
	1–10: 31.4 (11.9–82.5)	
	11–20: 37.4 (12.6–110.4)	
	> 21: 53.5 (16.4–174.8)	
	Dose–response: 4.1 (2.9–5.8)	
Areca nut chewing	Leukoplakia:	Shiu et al. (2000)
	Intensity of chewing:	Taiwan (China)
	Low: 9.1 (1.0–81.6)	Case–cohort study in 1988–1998; 435 leukoplakia cases. Adjusted for alcohol consumption and cigarette smoking
	High: 22.5 (1.4–351.0)	and organotic shinking

Table S2.11 Chewing of areca nut (including betel quid) without tobacco and risk of oral cancer or OPMDs - dose-response relationship

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; OPMDs, oral potentially malignant disorders; OR, odds ratio; OSF, oral submucous fibrosis; vs, versus; yr, year or years.

^a As reported in the publication.

^b OR (95% CI) for chewers versus non-chewers, unless indicated otherwise.

Risk factor	Level of exposure	Range of RR or OR (95% CI) ^a	References
Oral cancer			
Oral/oropharyngeal HPV16	Positive vs negative	Case-control studies: ORs ranging from 1.0 to 3.4	Pintos et al. (2008); Gillison et al. (2012); Agalliu et al. (2016)
DNA		Cohort studies: RR of 4.5	
HPV16 L1 serum antibodies	Seropositive vs	Case-control studies: ORs ranging from 1.2 to 5.5	Herrero et al. (2003); Gillison et al. (2012); Anantharaman et al. (2013)
	seronegative	Cohort studies: RRs ranging from 1.2 to 3.6	Kreimer et al. (2013)
HPV16 E6 serum antibodies Seropositive vs seronegative	Case-control studies: ORs ranging from 0.9 to 4.9	Herrero et al. (2003); Gillison et al. (2012); Anantharaman et al. (201	
	Cohort studies: RR of 1.3	Kreimer et al. (2013)	
Dropharyngeal cancer			
Oral/oropharyngeal HPV16	Positive vs negative	Case-control studies: ORs ranging from 14.6 to 131.0	D'Souza et al. (2007); Gillison et al. (2008, 2012); Agalliu et al. (2016)
DNA		Cohort studies: RR of 22.4	Tota et al. (2019)
HPV16 L1 serum antibodies Seropositive vs seronegative	Seropositive vs	Case-control studies: ORs ranging from 1.1 to 182	Mork et al. (2001); Pintos et al. (2008); Gillison et al. (2012);
	seronegative	Cohort studies: RRs ranging from 2.3 to 14.4	Anantharaman et al. (2013); Kreimer et al. (2013)
HPV16 E6 serum antibodies	Seropositive vs	Case–control studies: ORs ranging from 9.2 to 231	Pintos et al. (2008); Gillison et al. (2012); Anantharaman et al. (2013);
S	seronegative	Cohort studies: RRs ranging from 98 to 274	Kreimer et al. (2013)

Table S2.12 HPV16 positivity and risk of oral and oropharyngeal cancers

CI, confidence interval; HPV, human papillomavirus; OR, odds ratio; RR, relative risk; vs, versus.

^a Estimates across studies are adjusted for age, sex, smoking, and alcohol consumption.

Prepared by the Working Group.

Table S2.13 Combined effects of established risk factors

Reference Study type and location	Outcome	Specific exposure	Individual effects, OR or RR, (95% CI)	Joint effects and interaction tests, OR or RR (95% CI)	Interpretation
Tobacco smoking × alcoho	ol consumption				
Anantharaman et al. (2011) Multicentre case–control study in Europe	Oral cancer	Smoking	Ever smoking: 2.4 (1.7–3.2) Ever alcohol consumption: 1.0 (0.5–2.2)	Smoking + alcohol consumption: 7.1 (5.0–10.0) Multiplicative interaction parameter: 2.8 (1.3–6.1)	Greater-than-multiplicative interaction
	Oropharyngeal cancer	Smoking	Ever smoking: 3.7 (2.5–5.3)	Smoking + alcohol consumption: 9.9 (6.7–14.8)	Greater-than-multiplicativ
			Ever alcohol consumption: 1.2 (0.5–2.8)	Multiplicative interaction parameter: 2.2 (0.9–5.2)	
Hashibe et al. (2009) Pooled analysis of 17 case–control studies in Europe and the USA	Oral cancer	Tobacco use (chewing + smoking)	Ever smoking: 1.7 (1.1–2.8)	Smoking + alcohol consumption: 4.8 (2.6–8.8)	Greater-than-multiplicativ interaction
			Ever alcohol consumption: 0.7 (0.6–1.0)	Multiplicative interaction parameter: 3.1 (1.8–5.2)	
	Pharyngeal cancer	Tobacco use (chewing + smoking)	Ever smoking: 1.9 (1.4–2.6)	Smoking + alcohol consumption: 5.4 (3.2–9.2)	Greater-than-multiplicativ
			Ever alcohol consumption: 1.3 (0.9–1.8)	Multiplicative interaction parameter: 1.9 (1.4–2.6)	
Petti et al. (2013) Meta-analysis of 14 studies, 7 in India and 7 in Taiwan (China)	Oral cancer	Smoking	Smoking: 3.6 (1.9–7.0)	Smoking + alcohol consumption: 6.3 (5.4–7.3)	Consistent with risk additivity
			Alcohol consumption: 2.2 (1.6–3.0)	RERI estimates: 1.5 (-2.1 to 3.4)	
Chewing betel quid with of	r without tobacco	imes other risk factors			
Petti et al. (2013) Meta-analysis of 14 studies, 7 in India and 7 in Taiwan (China)	Oral cancer	Chewing betel quid with or without tobacco	Ever chewing: 7.9 (6.7–9.3)	Chewing + smoking: 16.0 (13.7–18.8)	Greater-than-additive interaction with smoking and alcohol consumption
			Ever smoking: 3.6 (1.9–7.0)	Chewing + alcohol consumption: 10.4 (8.0–13.6)	
			Ever alcohol consumption: 2.2 (1.6–2.9)	Chewing + smoking + alcohol consumption: 40.0 (35.1–45.8)	
				RERI estimates:	
				Chewing + smoking: 5.5 (1.1–8.2)	
				Chewing + alcohol consumption: 1.3 (-1.3 to 4.5)	
				Chewing + smoking + alcohol consumption: 28.4 (22.9–33.7)	
Muwonge et al. (2008) Nested case–control in Trivandrum, India	Oral cancer	Chewing <i>paan</i> with or without tobacco	Ever chewing: 4.8 (2.2–10.5)	Chewing + smoking: 5.5 (2.6–11.4)	Consistent with risk additivity
			Ever smoking: 1.3 (0.6–2.6)	Chewing + alcohol consumption: 6.4 (2.8–14.6)	
			Ever alcohol consumption: 1.2 (0.3–6.0)	Chewing + smoking + alcohol consumption: 4.8 (2.5–9.3)	

Table S2.13 Combined effects of established risk factors

Reference Study type and location	Outcome	Specific exposure	Individual effects, OR or RR, (95% CI)	Joint effects and interaction tests, OR or RR (95% CI)	Interpretation
Subapriya et al. (2007) Case–control study in Chidambaram, India	Oral cancer	Chewing <i>paan</i> with or without tobacco	Ever chewing: 4.1	Chewing + smoking: 3.94	Consistent with risk additivity
			Ever smoking: 7.45	Chewing + alcohol consumption: 4.21	
			Ever alcohol consumption: 3.88	Chewing + smoking + alcohol consumption: 11.34	
Znaor et al. (2003) Case–control study in India	Oral cancer	Chewing betel quid without tobacco	Ever chewing: 3.4 (2.0–5.7)	Chewing + smoking: 4.8 (2.8–8.3)	Greater-than-multiplicativ interaction with smoking
			Ever smoking: 2.4 (1.9–3.1)	Chewing + alcohol consumption: 4.4 (1.5–12.3)	
			Ever alcohol consumption: 2.6 (1.4–4.6)	Chewing + smoking + alcohol consumption: 8.1 (4.7–14.0)	
		Chewing betel quid with added tobacco	Ever chewing: 9.3 (6.8–12.7)	Chewing + smoking: 8.5 (6.1–11.9)	Consistent with risk additivity
				Chewing + alcohol consumption: 24.3 (14.9–39.6)	
				Chewing + smoking + alcohol consumption: 16.3 (12.1–22.0)	
Znaor et al. (2003) Case–control study in India	Pharyngeal cancer	Chewing betel quid without tobacco	Ever chewing: 1.6 (0.6–4.2)	Chewing + smoking: 4.9 (2.3–10.4)	Greater-than-multiplicativ interaction with smoking
			Ever smoking: 3.5 (2.5–4.9)	Chewing + alcohol consumption: NR	
			Ever alcohol consumption: NR	Chewing + smoking + alcohol consumption: 10.7 (5.5–20.9)	
		Chewing betel quid with added tobacco	Ever chewing: 3.7 (2.2–6.3)	Chewing + smoking: 4.5 (2.7–7.6)	Greater-than-multiplicative interaction with smoking
				Chewing + alcohol consumption: 4.3 (1.7–10.6)	
				Chewing + smoking + alcohol consumption: 13.4 (8.9–20.3)	
Sankaranarayanan et al. (1989) Case–control study in Kerala, India	Gingival cancer	Chewing <i>paan</i> with or without tobacco	Ever chewing: 8.8 (3.6–21.5)	Chewing + smoking: 16.3 (6.5–40.9)	Greater-than-additive interaction with smoking and alcohol consumption
			Ever smoking (bidi): 3.8 (1.2–11.7)	Chewing + alcohol consumption: 21.3 (7.7–58.8)	
			Ever alcohol consumption: NR	Chewing + smoking + alcohol consumption: 21.4 (6.8–67.2)	
Lee et al. (2003) Case–control study in Taiwan (China)	Leukoplakia	Chewing betel quid without tobacco	Ever chewing: 10.0 (3.1–32.7)	Chewing + smoking: 40.2 (16.3–99.2)	Greater-than-additive interaction with smoking
			Ever smoking: 2.4 (1.0–5.5)	Chewing + alcohol consumption: 16.8 (7.2–39.5)	
			Ever alcohol consumption: 1.0 (0.4–2.6)	Synergy index estimates:	
				Chewing + smoking: 3.8 (1.4–10.5)	
				Chewing + alcohol consumption: 1.1 (0.6–2.1)	

Reference Study type and location	Outcome	Specific exposure	Individual effects, OR or RR, (95% CI)	Joint effects and interaction tests, OR or RR (95% CI)	Interpretation	
Lee et al. (2003)	Oral	Chewing betel quid	Ever chewing: 39.3 (7.5–206.9)	Chewing + smoking: 57.9 (16.0–209.6)	Consistent with risk	
Case–control study in Taiwan (China)	submucous fibrosis	without tobacco	Ever smoking: 2.3 (0.6–9.1)	Chewing + alcohol consumption: 31.7 (10.1–99.3)	additivity	
			Ever alcohol consumption: 0.7 (0.1–3.4)	Synergy index estimates:		
				Chewing + smoking: 1.4 (0.4–4.7)		
				Chewing + alcohol consumption: 1.2 (0.6–2.5)		
Hashibe et al. (2000b)	Erythroplakia	Chewing paan with	Ever chewing: 43.3 (13.3–141.1)	Chewing + smoking: 50.1 (14.1–178.4)	Consistent with risk	
Case–control study in Kerala, India		or without tobacco	Ever smoking: 5.8 (1.3–25.3)	Chewing + alcohol consumption: 43.1 (16.1–115.3)	additivity	
· · · · · · · · · ·			Ever alcohol consumption: 3.7 (0.9–16.1)	n: 3.7 (0.9–16.1)		
<i>HPV16</i> infection \times other is	risk factors					
Anantharaman et al. Oroph (2016) cance Joint analysis of a	Oropharyngeal	HPV16 L1 serology	HPV16: 5.8 (4.0–8.4)	HPV16 + smoking: 9.1 (5.9–14.1)	Consistent with risk	
	cancer		Ever smoking: 6.7 (4.0–11.2)	Synergy index: 0.7 (0.5–1.1)	additivity	
multicentre case–control study and nested case–		HPV16 E6 serology	HPV16: 235.7 (99.9–555.7)	HPV16 + smoking: 355.8 (177.0–715.3)	Consistent with risk additivity	
control study in Europe			Ever smoking: 6.8 (4.5–10.3)	Synergy index: 1.3 (0.5–3.4)	additivity	
D'Souza et al. (2007)	Oropharyngeal	geal HPV16 L1 serology	HPV16: 37.1 (15.6–88.4)	HPV16 + smoking: 27.8 (6.7–114.6)	Consistent with risk	
Case–control study in Maryland, USA	cancer		Smoking (> 20 pack-years): 2.8 (1.2–6.4)	HPV16 + alcohol consumption: 29.1 (7.4–115.3)	additivity	
			Alcohol consumption (> 15 drink-years): 2.5 (1.1–5.5)	HPV16 + smoking + alcohol consumption: 19.4 (3.3–113.9)		
		Oral HPV16 DNA	HPV16: 17.2 (6.4–46.3)	HPV16 + smoking: 13.2 (2.4–65.8)	Consistent with risk	
			Smoking (> 20 pack-years): 2.4 (1.2–4.7)	HPV16 + alcohol consumption: 16.6 (3.6–81.9)	additivity	
			Alcohol consumption (> 15 drink-years): 2.2 (1.1–4.3)	HPV16 + smoking + alcohol consumption: 11.0 (1.0–120.6)		
Smith et al. (2004)	Oral and	Oral hrHPV DNA	hrHPV: 1.4 (0.5–3.6)	hrHPV + smoking: 5.5 (2.1–14.1)	Consistent with risk	
Case–control study in Iowa, USA	oropharyngeal cancers		Smoking (> 30 pack-years): 1.6 (0.9–2.8)	hrHPV + alcohol consumption: 18.8 (5.1–69.5)	additivity for smoking. Greater-than-additive	
			Alcohol consumption (> 21 drinks/week):	Synergy indices:	interaction with alcohol	
			2.6 (1.4–4.8)	hrHPV + smoking: 4.5 (0.7–27.4)	consumption	
				hrHPV + alcohol consumption: 7.4 (1.7–33.4)		

Table S2.13 Combined effects of established risk factors

Table S2.13 Combined effects of established risk factors

Outcome	Specific exposure	Individual effects, OR or RR, (95% CI)	Joint effects and interaction tests, OR or RR (95% CI)	Interpretation
Oral and oropharyngeal	HPV16 L1 serology	HPV16: 1.7 (1.1–2.6)	HPV16 + smoking: 8.5 (5.1–14.4) HPV16 + clocked consumption: 4.4 (2.5, 7.6)	Greater-than-additive interaction with smoking.
cancer				Consistent with risk additivity for alcohol
		2.0 (1.1–3.6)		consumption
			e (,	
	Oral and oropharyngeal	Oral and HPV16 L1 serology oropharyngeal	Oral and oropharyngeal cancer HPV16 L1 serology Current smoking: 3.2 (2.0–5.2) Alcohol consumption (> 15 drinks/week):	Oral and oropharyngeal cancer HPV16 L1 serology HPV16: 1.7 (1.1–2.6) HPV16 + smoking: 8.5 (5.1–14.4) Urrent smoking: 3.2 (2.0–5.2) HPV16 + alcohol consumption: 4.4 (2.5–7.6) Alcohol consumption (> 15 drinks/week): Synergy indices:

CI, confidence interval; HPV, human papillomavirus; hrHPV, high-risk human papillomavirus; NR, not reported; OR, odds ratio; RERI, relative excess risk due to interaction; RR, relative risk.

Reference	Study type	Description of chronic mechanical irritation	OR (95% CI)
Velly et al. (1998)	Case-control	Oral sore from ill-fitting dentures	2.3 (1.2–4.6)
		Broken teeth	1.13 (0.75–1.69)
Lockhart et al. (1998)	Case-control	Dental and prosthetic factors	No significant differences
Rosenquist (2005)	Case-control	> 5 defective teeth	3.1 (1.2–8.2)
		Poorly fitting or defective complete dentures	3.8 (1.3–11.4)
Vaccarezza et al. (2010)	Case-control	Recurrent sores from ill-fitting denture	4.58 (1.52–13.76)
Piemonte et al. (2010)	Cross-sectional	Chronic mechanical irritation	<i>P</i> < 0.0001
Bektas-Kayhan et al. (2014)	Case-control	Chronic trauma	P = 0.0001
Manoharan et al. (2014)	Meta-analysis	Denture	1.42 (1.01–1.99)
		Ill-fitting denture	3.90 (2.48–6.13)
Huang et al. (2015)	Case-control	Bad prosthesis	2.33 (1.79–3.04)
		Recurrent oral ulcerations	3.96 (2.11–7.44)
		Recurrent oral ulcerations in non-smokers	5.21 (2.42–11.18)
		Recurrent oral ulcerations in non-drinkers	4.71 (2.37–9.36)
Li et al. (2015)	Case-control	Repetitive dental ulcers	5.12 (3.17-8.28)
Singhvi et al. (2017)	Meta-analysis	Denture	1.45 (1.28–1.64)
		Ill-fitting denture	2.62 (2.1–3.25)
Piemonte and Lazos (2018)	Case-control	Chronic mechanical irritation	4.84 (2.12–11.08)
Chen et al. (2018)	Case-control	Repetitive dental ulcer (women)	6.00 (3.67–9.80)
		Repetitive dental ulcer (men)	4.76 (2.75-8.21)

Table S2.14 Studies of chronic mechanical irritation and oral cancer

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

Reference Location Enrolment/follow-up period Study design	Population size, description Exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/contr ols	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Adjustments	Comments				
Coffee consumption											
He et al. (2020) Meta-analysis of 14 case–	6456 patients with oral cancer	Oral cavity	Highest vs lowest cat	egory of coffee	-	Each study had its own covariates for which the	Recall bias of case–control studies. The significant associations may be				
control studies and 5	Percentage male	cuvity	Case-control	4 studies	0.79 (0.40–1.58)	estimates were adjusted affecte public	affected by study design, year of				
cohort studies	ranged from 44.5%				P = 0.512		publication, country where the study took place, and lifestyle habits. The				
	to 100% among the studies Exposure				$I^2 = 81.2\%$		mean age of participants and the prevalence of oral cavity cancer in				
						$P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.001$		different age groups was lacking from			
	assessment method:		Cohort	0 studies	-		most studies. The current analysis is based on pooled data, and detailed				
	ггү	FFQ	FFQ	FFQ	FFQ		Intermediate vs low c				subgroup analyses were not
				(Case–control 3 studie	3 studies	0.76 (0.54–1.06)		conducted		
					P = 0.108						
					$I^2 = 47.8\%$						
									$P_{\rm heterogeneity} = 0.147$		
			Cohort	0 studies	_						
Miranda et al. (2017)	5151 patients		Highest vs lowest cat	egory of coffee	consumption:	covariates for which the taken into estimates were adjusted the inverse when case pharyngea account to	The exact location of the cancers was taken into account, highlighting that the inverse association was clearer when cases of pharyngeal or				
Meta-analysis of 13 case– control studies and4 cohort studies	Exposure assessment method:	- nnarvny	Overall	17 studies	0.69 (0.57-0.84) P = 0.009						
	FFQ		Case-control	13 studies	0.67 (0.53-0.84) P = 0.033		pharyngeal cancers were taken into account together with oral cancer				
			Cohort	4 studies	0.86 (0.69-1.08) P = 0.085		cases High-quality studies without				
			Americas	5 studies	0.80 (0.52 - 1.13) P = 0.049		publication bias observed Little information was provided on				
			Asia	4 studies	0.65 (0.44–0.97) <i>P</i> = 0.128		the coffee beans (Arabica or Robusta), the brewing procedure, the concentration of caffeine, or the size				
		Europ	Europe	8 studies	0.64 (0.48-0.85) P = 0.072		of the cups used Also, different categories of coffee				
			> 300 cases	7 studies	0.73 (0.60–0.90) <i>P</i> = 0.159		consumption in the studies do not allow quantification of the association				

Reference Location Enrolment/follow-up period Study design	Population size, description Exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/contr ols	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Adjustments	Comments
			< 300 cases	10 studies	0.64 (0.45-0.91) P = 0.006		The temperature of the coffee was n controlled
		Oral cavity	Overall	6 studies	0.82 (0.58-1.16) P = 0.056		Tobacco and alcohol consumption may differ across the selected article
		Pharynx	Overall	4 studies	0.72 (0.54-0.95) P = 0.188		
Galeone et al. (2010)	1191 oral cavity,	Oral	Consumption of caffe	einated coffee (c	cups/day):	Age, sex, race/ethnicity,	Large number of oral cancer cases included
Pooled analysis of 9 case– control studies of	2112 oropharynx/hypoph	cavity	Non-drinkers	177	1 (ref)	education level, study, cigarette smoking (pack-	
INHANCE cohort	arynx, 612 oral		Drinkers	953	0.62 (0.40-0.99)	years), duration of cigar	Lack of information on HPV infection. Lack of information on th
(Europe, North America, Central America)	cavity/pharynx NOS, 1224		> 0 to < 3	538	0.65 (0.42-1.02)	smoking, duration of pipe smoking, alcohol intake,	duration of coffee drinking. Lack of information on the type of beans
	laryngeal cancer cases, and 9028		3 to 4	259	0.52 (0.27-0.98)	weight, and vegetable and fruit intake	(Arabica or Robusta). Some of the case–control studies may overlap wit case–control studies included in the other meta-analysis studies, because references to the studies correspond to currently unpublished data
	controls		> 4	156	0.46 (0.30–0.71)		
	76% male for cancer of total oral		Increment of 1 cup/day		0.96 (0.92–0.99)		
	cavity/pharynx (70.3% controls),		$P_{\rm trend} < 0.01$				
	and 90.3% male for		$P_{\rm heterogeneity} < 0.01$				
	laryngeal cancer (70.4% controls)	Oral	Consumption of deca	ffeinated coffee	(cups/day):		
	Exposure	cavity	Non-drinkers	512	1 (ref)		
	assessment method:		Drinkers	89	1.17 (0.81–1.69)		
FFQ	FFQ		> 0 to < 1	37	1.18 (0.67–2.08)		
		≥ 1	52	1.51 (0.97–2.35)			
			Increment of 1 cup/day		1.04 (0.87–1.23)		
			$P_{\rm trend} = 0.17$				
			$P_{\rm heterogeneity} = 0.67$				

Reference Location Enrolment/follow-up period Study design	Population size, description Exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/contr ols	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Adjustments	Comments	
Tea consumption								
Ren et al. (2010) USA	481 563 participants;	Oral cavity	Hot tea consumption:		HR:	Age, sex, tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI,	Large prospective analysis with exposure information collected before	
Enrolment 1995–1996; follow-up to 2003	286 402 men and 195 161 women		None	153	1 (ref)	education level, ethnicity, usual physical activity	cancer diagnosis. Lag analysis was done to examine whether reverse causation affected the resultsSmall number of incident oral cancers (391). Lack of information on the drinking temperature of hot tea and	
Cohort	aged 50-71 yr in		< 1 cup/mo	80	0.96 (0.73–1.26)	throughout the day,		
	NIH-AARP Study		1-3 cups/mo	57	0.84 (0.61–1.14)	vigorous physical activity, daily intake of fruit, daily		
	Exposure assessment method:		1–6 cups/wk	57	0.88 (0.65–1.21)	intake of vegetables, daily intake of red meat, daily		
	FFQ			$\geq 1 \text{ cup/day}$	44	0.75 (0.53–1.06)	intake of white meat, and	the type of tea consumed (green, black, or herbal). Only 16% of
			$P_{\rm trend} = 0.083$			daily intake of calories	participants drank ≥ 1 cup/day of hot	
			Iced tea consumption	:			tea, which is lower intake than in most populations in Asia	
			None	79	1 (ref)		noot populations in risk	
			\leq 3 cups/mo	85	0.98 (<mark>0</mark> .72–1.34)			
			1–6 cups/wk	114	0.96 (0.72–1.29)			
			$\geq 1 \text{ cup/day}$	114	0.89 (0.67–1.19)			
			$P_{\rm trend} = 0.42$					
Zhou et al. (2018)	5920 patients and	Oral	Tea consumption:			The maximally adjusted	The risk of oral cancer decreased by	
Meta-analysis of 14 case– control studies: Asia (7	10 553 controls	cavity	Overall	14 studies	0.700 (0.609–0.805)	ratio was selected as the only evaluation index for	6.2% with each increase of 1 cup/day in the range 0–8.75 cups/day	
China, 1 India); Americas	Exposure assessment method:		Asia	8 studies	0.661 (0.560-0.780)	preventing possible	Detailed subgroup analysis was	
(2 Brazil); Europe (1 Denmark, 1 France, 1	FFQ		Americas	2 studies	1.250 (0.797–1.967)	confounders when studies reported multivariable	performed. First dose-response meta-	
Italy); Africa (1 Egypt)			Europe	3 studies	0.714 (0.573–0.891)	adjusted-effect estimates	analysis	
			Green tea	6 studies	0.656 (0.533-0.808)		Only case–control studies are included, which may lead to	
			Black tea	3 studies	0.940 (0.786–1.125)		publication bias and selection bias. Pooled data were used for the meta-	
			Oolong tea	4 studies	0.567 (0.420-0.766)		analysis, lacking individual data	
			Drinking vs no drinking	8 studies	0.647 (0.580-0.723)		In the dose–response analysis, 120 mL/day was transformed to	
			Highest vs lowest consumption	6 studies	0.836 (0.736–0.950)		1 cup/day	

Reference Location Enrolment/follow-up period Study design	Population size, description Exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/contr ols	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Adjustments	Comments	
			Male	3 studies	0.838 (0.723–0.971)		The dose–response analysis suggested	
			Female	4 studies	0.692 (0.514–0.931)		that high dietary dose, long-term and high concentration of tea intake may be associated with a reduced risk of oral cancer	
			$\leq 60 \text{ yr}$	3 studies	0.686 (0.520-0.852)			
			> 60 yr	3 studies	0.547 (0.384–0.709)		oral cancer	
Filippini et al. (2020) China (Asia)	2343 cases and 53634 controls	Oral cavity	Green tea consumption:			Age, smoking		
Meta-analysis of 1 cohort study and 4 case–control studies			Highest vs lowest exposure	5 studies	0.71 (0.62–0.82)			
Galeone et al. (2010)	1191 oral cavity,	Oral	Tea consumption (cu	ps/day):		Age, sex, race/ethnicity,	A large number of oral cancer cases	
Pooled analysis of 9 case– control studies of	2112 oropharynx/hypoph	cavity	Non-drinkers	604	1.00 (ref)	education level, study, cigarette smoking (pack- years), duration of cigar	from different countries	
INHANCE cohort	arynx, 612 oral		Drinkers	523	1.06 (0.88–1.27)		A pooled estimate based on case– control studies with inherent limitations of that study design. Lack	
(Europe, North America, Central America)	cavity/pharynx NOS, 1224		≤ 1	433	1.10 (0.92–1.33)	smoking, duration of pipe smoking, alcohol intake,		
,	laryngeal cancer		>1	90	0.94 (0.68–1.29)	weight, and vegetable and	of information on the duration of tea drinking. Lack of information on the	
	cases, and 9028 controls		Increment of 1 cup/day		0.98 (0.91–1.06)	fruit intake	type of tea consumed. Some of the case–control studies may overlap with	
	76% male for cancer of total oral		$P_{\rm trend} = 0.90$				case-control studies included in the other meta-analysis studies	
	cavity/pharynx (70.3% controls), and 90.3% male for laryngeal cancer (70.4% controls)		$P_{\rm heterogeneity} = 0.45$					
	Exposure assessment method: FFQ							

Reference Location Enrolment/follow-up period Study design	Population size, description Exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/contr ols	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Adjustments	Comments
Consumption of fruits and	vegetables						
Chuang et al. (2012) Pooled analysis of 22 case–control studies of INHANCE cohort	 14 520 cases and 22 737 controls (mixed hospital and non-hospitalized) 26.6% oral cavity, 32.7% pharynx, 10.4% oral/pharynx, 10.4% oral/pharynx, 2.2% HNC NOS 78.8% male cases All ages Exposure assessment method: questionnaire-based standardized interview 	Oral cavity	Consumption of vege Quartile 4 vs quartile 1 Consumption of fruit Quartile 4 vs quartile 1	3342/20 011	0.69 (0.61–0.79) 0.46 (0.38–0.56)	All studies: matched on age and sex Adjustments for the subgroups not clear	A large number of people from different geographical areas Non-standardized questionnaires but population-based. No quantitative information on food consumption. Total energy intake is not known for all studies. Heterogeneity across studies was detected for almost all food items
Pavia et al (2006) North America, South America, Europe, Asia Meta-analysis of 15 case– control studies and 1 cohort study	65802 cases and 60951 controls	Oral cavity	Per portion of fruits Per portion of vegetables	12 studies 11 studies	0.49 (0.39–0.63) 0.43 (0.31–0.59)	Age, sex, cigarette smoking, and alcohol consumption	
Galvão De Podestá et al.	847 cases (398 oral	Oral	Consumption of apple	es or pears:		Matched on age and sex	A large, multicentre study including 3
(2019) Brazil	cavity cancer cases, 249 oropharyngeal	cavity	Never or < 1/mo	103/87	1 (ref)	Adjusted for consumption	Brazilian states. Subtypes were examined separately
July 2011–July 2017	cancer cases), 893		1–3/mo	74/150	0.58 (0.35-0.98)	of vegetables (except potatoes), natural fruit juice,	Limitations are recall bias and the
			1–2/we	109/292	0.51 (0.31-0.82)	carrots, raw greens and	modification of the diets of the
	77.3% male among oral cancer cases		On most days but not every day Every day	42/147 20/92	0.41 (0.22–0.76)	vegetables, rice and beans, and alcohol	participants during the pre-diagnosis phase of the disease
	Ages 18–80 yr		,		(, 0.00)		
	Exposure assessment method:						

Reference Location Enrolment/follow-up period Study design	Population size, description Exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/contr ols	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Adjustments	Comments
	self-reported food- frequency questionnaires						
			Consumption of citru	s fruits (oranges	s, lemons, tangerines):		
			Never or < 1/mo	78/48	1 (ref)		
			1–3/mo	77/87	0.84 (0.44–1.59)		
			1-2/we	117/243	0.52 (0.29-0.93)		
			On most days but not every day	52/182	0.35 (0.18–0.67)		
			Every day	51/208	0.34 (0.17–0.66)		
			Consumption of fresh	tomatoes:			
			Never or < 1/mo	62/35	1 (ref)		
			1–3/mo	39/43	0.65 (<mark>0.</mark> 29–1.45)		
			1–2/we	105/193	0.43 (0.22–0.83)		
			On most days but not every day	94/234	0.32 (0.16–0.62)		
			Every day	75/263	0.28 (0.14-0.56)		
Maasland et al. (2015)	120 852	Oral	Total consumption of	vegetables and	fruits:	Adjusted for age (years),	Strengths are the prospective nature,
The Netherlands Enrolment in September	participants; 415 HNC cases (131	cavity	Quartile 1	47	1 (ref)	sex, cigarette smoking [status	the completeness and duration of follow-up, and subtype analysis
1986; follow-up for	oral cavity cancer,		Quartile 2	33	0.75 (0.47-1.19)	(never/former/current),	Limitations are that dietary habits
20.3 yr Cohort	88 oro/hypopharyngeal		Quartile 3	29	0.63 (0.38–1.04)	frequency (number of cigarettes per day;	may have changed through the years
	cancer, 3 oral		Quartile 4	22	0.46 (0.27–0.81)	continuous, centred), duration (number of years;	and that HPV status, pharmaceutical drugs, and oral hygiene were not
overlapping, a 193 laryngeal	unspecified or		$P_{\rm trend} = 0.005$			continuous, centred)], and	taken into account
	overlapping, and 193 laryngeal cancer);		Continuous, per 25 g/day increment	131	0.95 (0.92–0.99)	alcohol consumption (grams of ethanol per day; continuous)	Quartiles: Total vegetables (median, 175 g/day P25–P75, 126–233 g/day for all 415
	57.3% male among		Total consumption of	vegetables:		,	HNC cases)
	131 oral cavity		Quartile 1	39	1 (ref)		Total fruits (median, 113 g/day; P25 P75, 56–203 g/day for all 415 HNC
	cancer cases		Quartile 2	27	0.68 (0.41-1.13)		cases)

Reference Location Enrolment/follow-up period Study design	Population size, description Exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/contr ols	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Adjustments	Comments					
	Ages 55–69 yr		Quartile 3	33	0.86 (0.52–1.41)		Composition:					
	Exposure		Quartile 4	32	0.71 (0.41–1.24)		Total vegetables (cooked plus raw vegetables) Total fruits (apples/pears, bananas, grapefruits and fresh grapefruit juice, grapes, mandarins, oranges and fresh orange juice, raisins/other dried fruit,					
	assessment method: 150-item FFQ (self-		$P_{\rm trend} = 0.36$									
	administered), validated against a 9-day diet record and by annual repeated		Continuous, per 25 g/day increment	131	0.95 (0.89–1.02)							
			Total consumption of	fruits:		Additionally adjusted for	strawberries, and other fruits					
			Quartile 1	52	1 (ref)	total vegetable intake (g/day; continuous)	originating from an open-ended question on frequently consumed items not listed in the questionnaire) Citrus fruits (fresh lemon juice, grapefruits and fresh grapefruit juice, mandarins, and oranges and fresh orange juice)					
	measurements in a subgroup		Quartile 2	28	0.63 (0.39–1.03)	(g, du), continuous)						
			Quartile 3	30	0.79 (0.48–1.31)							
			Quartile 4	21	0.56 (0.33-0.97)							
								$P_{\rm trend} = 0.07$				orange julee)
					Continuous, per 25 g/day increment	131	0.95 (0.91–1.01)					
			Consumption of citru	s fruits:		Additionally adjusted for						
			Quartile 1	45	1 (ref)	total vegetable and fruit intake (g/day; continuous)						
			Quartile 2	31	0.73 (0.44–1.21)	intante (g. auj., continuous)						
			Quartile 3	28	0.67 (0.39–1.15)							
			Quartile 4	27	0.94 (0.52–1.71)							
			$P_{\rm trend} = 0.95$									
			Continuous, per 25 g/day increment	131	1.02 (0.92–1.13)							

Reference Location Enrolment/follow-up period Study design	Population size, description Exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/contr ols	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Adjustments	Comments		
Freedman et al. (2008)	490 802 participants	Oral	Total consumption of	f fruits and vege	etables:	Adjusted for age at entry	Questionnaire data were collected		
1995–1996; follow-up to and Health 2000 787 HNC Cohort	of NIH-AARP Diet and Health cohort; 787 HNC cases	cavity	Per serving per 1000	calories	HR: 0.93 (0.86– 1.00)	into cohort, alcohol intake, BMI, cigarette-smoke dose, education level, sex, total	before diagnoses, minimizing possibility of recall bias		
	77.3% male in the		Quintile 1	104	1 (ref)	energy intake, usual activity	Lack of information on smoking initiation, marijuana use, and past		
	787 cases		Quintile 2	64	0.81 (0.59–1.11)	throughout the day, and vigorous physical activity	alcohol consumption		
	Ages 50–71 yr		Quintile 3	57	0.81 (0.58–1.14)	Quintile 5 additionally	Fruit and vegetable constituents:		
	Exposure		Quintile 4	58	0.90 (0.64–1.28)	adjusted for continuous fruit	Total fruit and vegetables (no potatoes): total fruits and vegetables Total vegetables (no potatoes): spinach, turnip, collard greens,		
	assessment method: questionnaires by		Quintile 5	36	0.61 (0.41-0.93)	intake			
	mail		$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.052$				mustard, kale, coleslaw, cabbage,		
			Consumption of vege	etables:			sauerkraut, carrots, dried beans, string beans, peas, corn, broccoli, cauliflower, Brussels sprouts, mixed vegetables, tomatoes, sweet peppers, lettuce salad, sweet potatoes, yams, tomato juice, tomato sauce, chili and salsa Total fruits: whole fruits + 1 fruit juice		
			Per serving per 1000	calories	HR: 0.84 (0.73– 0.95)				
			Quintile 1	100	1 (ref)				
			Quintile 2	60	0.72 (0.52–1.00)				
			Quintile 3	64	0.84 (0.61–1.15)				
			Quintile 4	59	0.83 (0.59–1.16)		Whole fruits: apples, apple sauce, pears, bananas, dried fruit excluding		
			Quintile 5	36	0.56 (0.37-0.84)		apricots, peaches, nectarines, plums,		
			$P_{\rm trend} = 0.017$				cantaloupe, other melons, strawberries, oranges, tangerines,		
			Total consumption of	f fruits:			tangelos, grapefruit, and grapes		
			Per serving per 1000	calories	HR: 1.00 (0.90– 1.12)	Additionally adjusted for continuous vegetable intake	Fruit juice: orange and grapefruit juice and other fruit juices and drinks		
			Quintile 1	99	1 (ref)				
			Quintile 2	64	0.86 (0.63–1.19)				
			Quintile 3	53	0.83 (0.58–1.17)				
			Quintile 4	59	1.02 (0.72–1.45)				
			Quintile 5	44	0.84 (0.57–1.25)				
			$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.632$						

Population size, Organ Exposure category Risk estimate Adjustments Comments Reference Exposed Location site (95% CI) description or level cases/contr Enrolment/follow-up Exposure ols period assessment method Study design Consumption of whole fruits: Additionally adjusted for Per serving per 1000 calories HR: 0.96 (0.82-1.12) continuous vegetable intake and continuous fruit juice Quintile 1 98 1 (ref) intake Quintile 2 72 0.98 (0.71–1.33) Quintile 3 58 0.90(0.64 - 1.27)Quintile 4 50 0.87(0.60-1.27)Ouintile 5 41 0.81 (0.54-1.22) $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.268$ Consumption of fruit juice: Per serving per 1000 calories HR: 1.06 (0.90-Additionally adjusted for 1.25) continuous vegetable intake and continuous fruit juice Quintile 1 81 1 (ref) intake Quintile 2 62 0.90 (0.64–1.25) Quintile 3 62 0.91 (0.65–1.27) Quintile 4 49 0.78(0.54 - 1.12)Quintile 5 65 1.10 (0.78–1.53) $P_{\rm trend} = 0.556$ Rajkumar et al. (2003) 591 cases. 582 Oral Consumption of raw green vegetables: Matched on centre, Southern India matched (hospital) cavity quinquennium of age, and (Bangalore, Madras controls* (ICD-9 sex (Chennai) and 143 - 145) < 1/wk1 (ref) 52.3% male cases Adjusted for education Trivandrum) level, chewing, smoking, 1/wk-2/wk0.60 (0.41-0.90) 1996–1999 Men aged 22–85 yr, and alcohol consumption Case-control women aged 18- $\geq 3/wk$ 0.47 (0.31-0.73) 87 yr *In Bangalore and Madras, $P_{\rm trend} < 0.001$ controls were relatives or Exposure friends of patients with Consumption of citrus fruits: assessment method: cancer other than oral

1 (ref)

0.27 (0.18-0.41)

cancer. In Trivandrum,

controls were outpatients

Table S2.33 Studies on potentially preventive dietary agents for the development of oral cancer

questionnaire-based

standardized

< 1/wk

 $\geq 1/wk$

Table S2.33 Studies on potentially preventive dietary agents for the development of oral cancer

Reference Location Enrolment/follow-up period Study design	Population size, description Exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/contr ols	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Adjustments	Comments
Franco et al. (1989) Brazil February 1986–June 1988 Case–control	interview by social workers 232 cases, 464 matched controls 45.7% ICD-9 141 (tongue), 9.9% ICD-9 143 (gum), 18.1% ICD-9 144 (floor of the mouth), 26.3% ICD-9 145 (other specified parts of oral cavity) 86.6% male All ages Exposure assessment method: questionnaire-based standardized interview	Oral cavity (ICD-9 141 and 143–145)	Consumption of apple 0/wk < 1/wk $\ge 1/wk$ $P_{trend} < 0.001$ Consumption of carot pumpkins, papaya): < 1/mo 1/mo-3/wk $\ge 4/wk$ $P_{trend} = 0.0639$ Consumption of citrus < 1/mo 1/mo-3/wk $\ge 4/wk$ $P_{trend} = 0.0303$ Consumption of greents < 1/mo 1/mo-3/wk $\ge 4/wk$	tene-rich food g 62/101 154/310 16/53 s fruits food gro 77/92 98/233 57/137	1 (ref) 0.8 (0.5–1.4) 0.4 (0.2–1.0) pup: 1 (ref) 0.5 (0.3–0.8) 0.5 (0.3–0.9)	attending the clinics who were free of malignant diseases Matched on age, sex, study site, and admission period Adjusted for smoking and alcohol consumption	Regionally specific lifestyle and behavioural characteristics may interfere with the rate of cancer cases The 3 levels of average past consumption refer to regular-sized servings in each category Consumption of green vegetables was protective in the crude model, but not after controlling for smoking and alcohol consumption
Dietary fibre consumption Kawakita et al (2017) Asia, Europe, North America Meta-analysis of 10 case– control studies	559 cases and 12 248 controls	Oral cavity	Quintile 1 Quintile 2 Quintile 3 Quintile 4 Quintile 5 R	331 267 230 216 190	1 (ref) 0.70 (0.58–0.85) 0.61 (0.50–0.74) 0.51 (0.42–0.63) 0.39 (0.29–0.52)	Age, sex, race, study centre, education, cigarette smoking status, cigarette smoking intensity (number of cigarettes per day), cigarette smoking duration, cigar smoking status, pipe smoking status, alcohol	

 $P_{\rm trend} < 0.001$

Reference Location Enrolment/follow-up period Study design	Population size, description Exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/contr ols	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Adjustments Comments
		Oro/hypo	Quintile 1	560	1 (ref)	consumption intensity (number of drinks per day),
		pharynx	Quintile 2	380	0.67 (0.55-0.81)	and the product
			Quintile 3	379	0.65 (0.55–0.77)	(interaction) term for cigarette smoking intensity
			Quintile 4	354	0.57 (0.47–0.71)	and alcohol consumption
			Quintile 5	351	0.54 (0.45–0.64)	
			$P_{\rm trend} < 0.001$			
Lam et al (2011)	494 991 participants	Oral	Men:		HR (95% CI):	Age at entry, BMI,
North America NIH-AARP Diet and		cavity	Quintile 1	153	1 (ref)	education, physical activity, alcohol intake, cigarette
Health Study			Quintile 2	123	1.07 (0.83–1.40)	smoke dose, red meat
Cohort			Quintile 3	112	1.06 (0.82–1.38)	intake, total energy intake, total grains
			Quintile 4	82	0.81 (0.60–1.08)	
			Quintile 5	102	0.99 (0.75–1.32)	
			$P_{\rm trend} = 0.576$			
			Women:			
			Quintile 1	76	1 (ref)	
			Quintile 2	39	0.74 (0.49–1.11)	
			Quintile 3	38	0.82 (0.54–1.25)	
			Quintile 4	29	0.67 (0.42–1.07)	
			Quintile 5	25	0.62 (0.37-1.03)	
			$P_{\rm trend} = 0.055$			
Kawakita et al (2019)	101 700 participants	Oral	Total fibre (g/day):		HR (95% CI):	Age, sex, BMI, education,
North America Prostate, Lung, Colorectal,		cavity and pharynx	Quintile 1	42	1 (ref)	race/ethnicity, pipe smoking status, cigar smoking status,
and Ovarian (PLCO)			Quintile 2	41	0.80 (0.49–1.28)	cigarette smoking status,
cancer screening trial Cohort			Quintile 3	26	0.34 (0.16–0.71)	pack-year cigarette smoking, alcohol
			$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.007$			consumption status, alcohol consumption intensity,

Reference Location Enrolment/follow-up period Study design	Population size, description Exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/contr ols	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Adjustments	Comments
			Insoluble fibre (g/day):		marital status, non-alcohol total energy, and total	
			Quintile 1	48	1 (ref)	vegetable and fruit intake	
			Quintile 2	34	0.57 (0.36–0.93)		
			Quintile 3	27	0.31 (0.15–0.62)		
			$P_{\rm trend} = 0.001$				
			Soluble fibre (g/day):				
			Quintile 1	42	1 (ref)		
			Quintile 2	41	0.75 (0.47–1.22)		
			Quintile 3	26	0.29 (0.14-0.62)		
			$P_{\text{trend}} = 0.003$				

Table S2.33 Studies on potentially preventive dietary agents for the development of oral cancer

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; FFQ, food frequency questionnaire; HNC, head and neck cancer; HPV, human papillomavirus; HR, hazard ratio; ICARE, Investigation of Occupational and Environmental Causes of Respiratory Cancers; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; INHANCE, International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology; mo, month or months; NOS, not otherwise specified; OC, oral cancer; OR, odds ratio; P25–P75, 25th to 75th percentile; ref, reference; RR, relative risk; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; vs, versus; wk, week or weeks; yr, year or years.

Table S2.34 Observational studies of potentially preventive dietary agents for the development of OPMDs

Reference Location	Study population	Exposure assessment method	OPMD	Exposure level	RR or OR (95% CI)	Adjustments/comments	
		Home interviews blinded	OSF	Dietary fibre (on a	0.89 (0.81–0.99)	Matched on age (\pm 5 yr), sex, residence	
		to disease status; FFQ diet and nutrients (92 food	Leukoplakia	continuous scale, g/d)	0.87 (0.79–0.97)	and tobacco use	
		items representing > 95% of total energy, fat, fibre,	Leukoplakia	Ascorbic acid (10 mg/d):	0.95 (0.89–1.01)	Adjusted for socioeconomic status, tobacco exposure, and total dietary	
		iron, copper, zinc,		Quartile 2	0.82 (0.45–1.49)	energy	
		calcium, ascorbic acid, β - carotene, and B vitamins)		Quartile 3	0.48 (0.25–0.95)	Lesions suspicious for oral cancer were	
	Age > 15 yr	carotene, and D vitamins)		Quartile 4	0.45 (0.21–1.00)	confirmed histologically and excluded	
	Only tobacco				$P_{\rm trend} < 0.01$		
	users and measure of exposure to		Leukoplakia	Tomato	0.32 (0.12–0.87)		
	tobacco products			Quartile 2	0.95 (0.53–1.69)		
				Quartile 3	0.66 (0.35–1.23)		
				Quartile 4	0.42 (0.22–0.81)		
					$P_{\rm trend} < 0.01$		
Gupta et al.	226 individuals	Home interviews blinded to disease status; FFQ diet and nutrients (81 food items representing 95% of total energy, fat, fibre, iron, copper, zinc, calcium, ascorbic acid, β - carotene, and B vitamins)	OPMD	Total fruit as continuous variables (log fruit consumption)	0.82 (0.72-0.95)	Adjusted for mixed smoking and	
(1999) India (Kerala)	(44 women and 182 men)				After inclusion of economic and tobacco-	chewing of tobacco, total energy consumption (kcal/d), and economic status	
	226 controls matched on age				related covariates: 0.89 (0.76–1.03)	Univariate	
	$(\pm 5 \text{ yr})$, sex, ward		OPMD	Iron (mg/d):	, (,	Lesions suspicious for oral cancer we	
	of residence, and tobacco use			Quartile 2 (6.95–9.57)	0.47 (0.26-0.85)	confirmed histologically and excluded	
	Age > 15 yr			Quartile 3 (9.57–13.91)	0.56 (0.28–1.12)	Nutrient exposures calculated from the	
	All participants			Quartile 4 (> 13.91)	0.65 (0.26–1.60)	Nutritive Value of Indian Foods	
	were tobacco users (chewers,			Quartile 1 vs quartiles 2–4	2.07 (1.18-3.63)		
	smokers, or both)			Females only	3.45 (0.99–12.07)		
			OPMD	Ascorbic acid (mg/d):			
				Quartile 2 (25.9–43.6)	0.65 (0.37-1.13)		
				Quartile 3 (43.6–69.9)	0.74 (0.41–1.35)		
				Quartile 4 (> 69.9)	0.84 (0.40-1.75)		

Table S2.34 Observational studies of potentially preventive dietary agents for the development of OPMDs

Reference Location	Study population	Exposure assessment method	OPMD	Exposure level	RR or OR (95% CI)	Adjustments/comments
Hebert et al. (2002) India (19 rural villages in Srikakulam District, Andhra Pradesh)	485 cases (79% women) 487 controls Age > 15 yr All participants were users of tobacco in some form (81.9% reverse smokers in cases, 73.5% in controls)	Home interviews blinded to disease status; FFQ diet and nutrients (80 food items representing > 95% of total energy, fat, fibre, iron, copper, zinc, calcium, ascorbic acid, β - carotene, and B vitamins)	OPMD (mostly keratosis of the palate in reverse smokers)	Iron (10 mg/d, fitted as a continuous variable) Zinc (mg/d, fitted as a continuous variable) Calcium (100 mg/d, fitted	$0.82 (0.68-0.99)$ $OR \times nutrient_{75} - OR \times nutrient_{25} = 16.6\%^{a}$ $(25\% = 18.5 mg/d, 75\% = 27.7 mg/d)$ $0.91 (0.85-0.98)$ $OR \times nutrient_{75} - OR \times nutrient_{25} = 70.2\%^{a}$ $(25\% = 14.7 mg/d, 75\% = 22.5 mg/d)$ $0.95 (0.92, 0.99)$	Matched on age (± 5 yr), sex, and residence Adjusted for type of tobacco and total energy consumption (kcal/d) A minority of individuals smoked bidis (2.6%), cigarettes (1.7%), and chutta in the conventional manner (14.3%), or chewed tobacco (2.2%) 93% of the population was illiterate, so it was not possible to use education as a covariate The incidence of OPMDs diagnosed
				Riboflavin (mg/d, fitted as a continuous variable)	0.95 (0.92–0.98) OR×nutrient ₇₅ – OR×nutrient ₂₅ = 33.6% ^a (25% = 583 mg/d, 75% = 1255 mg/d)	after 1 year (39 cases, 39 controls) was similar to that of the main study
					0.51 (0.28–0.93) OR×nutrient ₇₅ – OR×nutrient ₂₅ = 22.1% ^a (25% = 1.11 mg/d, 75% = 1.56 mg/d)	
				Fibre (g/d, fitted as a continuous variable)	0.96 (0.94–0.99) OR×nutrient ₇₅ – OR×nutrient ₂₅ = 29.6% ^a (25% = 8.5 g/d, 75% = 15.9 g/d)	

Reference Location	Study population	Exposure assessment method	OPMD	Exposure level	RR or OR (95% CI)	Adjustments/comments
Amarasinghe et al. (2013) Sri Lanka (Sabaragamuwa Province)	Cases 101, clinically diagnosed with OPMDs Leukoplakia: 71 OSF: 25	Home interviews: a 3-day diet diary for 2 days in the week and 1 day on the weekend, before the oral examinations	OPMD	 2 portions/d of β- carotene-containing vegetables and fruits (78.9% of cases and 66.1% of controls < 2 portions/d) 	Crude OR: 0.5 (0.3–0.8) Adjusted OR: 0.8 (0.4–1.4)	Adjusted for sex, age, occupation, education level, BMI, smoking, betel quid chewing, and alcohol consumption Chewer's mucosa, quid-induced lichenoid reactions, smoker's keratosis of palate, denture-induced stomatitis,
	OLP: 6 Matched controls: 728 Age > 30 yr		Leukoplakia	> 2 portions/d of β- carotene-containing vegetables and fruits (78.8% of cases and 66.1% of controls < 2 portions/d)	Crude OR: 0.5 (0.3–0.9) Adjusted OR: 0.8 (0.4–1.7)	of parace, dentation induced stomatrix, angular cheilitis, pallor, and depapillation of the tongue were considered as "other" oral mucosal abnormalities and excluded from analysisAll green leafy vegetables, carrots, pumpkin, tomatoes, beans, lady's fingers, snake gourd etc. were considered as β-carotene-containing vegetables
Cianfriglia et al. (1998) Rome, Italy	53 leukoplakia cases Matched controls with other pathological conditions attending hospital	Hospital; dietary questionnaire	Leukoplakia	Dietary retinol-equivalent index	Estimated mean retinol intake (IU/kg per person per day): Leukoplakia group: 68 Controls: 93 t = 4.8; P < 0.001	Adjusted for sex, age, alcohol consumption, and tobacco use No adjustment

Table S2.34 Observational studies of potentially preventive dietary agents for the development of OPMDs

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; d, day or days; FFQ, food frequency questionnaire; OLP, oral lichen planus; OPMDs, oral potentially malignant disorders; OR, odds ratio; OSF, oral submucous fibrosis; RR, relative risk; vs, versus; yr, year or years.

^a For each nutrient fitted as a continuous variable, the effect was standardized by computing the difference between its effect at the 75th percentile value (OR×nutrient₇₅) and its effect at the 25th percentile value (OR×nutrient₂₅). The value shown represents the percentage reduction across the interquartile range.

Table S2.35 Biochemical studies of potentially preventive dietary agents for the development of OPMDs

Reference Location Study type	Study population	Analyte	Exposure (preventive agent)	Outcome measure	Exposure levels	RR or OR (95% CI) or <i>P</i> value	Comments	
Ramaswamy et al.	50 leukoplakia	Serum	Vitamins A, β -carotene, C, E,	Leukoplakia	Folate levels (nmol/L):		Based on univariate	
(1996) Bangalore, India	cases and 50 controls	samples in a field	B12, and folate		Cases: 8.4 ± 0.86		analysis	
Case-control		survey			Controls:		No adjustment	
					Chewers: 13.4 ± 0.91	<i>P</i> < 0.01		
					Non-chewers: 30.4 ± 0.90	P < 0.001		
					Vitamin B12 (pmol/L):		Based on univariate	
					Cases: 125.8 ± 12.4		analysis	
					Controls:			
					Chewers: 162.0 ± 21.9	P < 0.01		
					Non-chewers: 306.4 ± 58.3	P < 0.001		
Nagao et al.	48 leukoplakia cases (38 males, 10 females) and 192 controls	s (38 males, 10 serum les) and 192 samples	α -tocopherol, zeaxanthin and	Leukoplakia	Men:	d	No significant	
(2000) Fokoname city,					Mean lycopene (mmol/L):		differences in any of the serum nutrients estimated in female participants	
Japan Case–control				e and	Cases: 0.175 ± 0.202	P < 0.05		
Case-control					Controls: 0.257 ± 0.252			
					β -carotene (mmol/L):			
					Cases: 0.357 ± 0.295	<i>P</i> < 0.005		
					Controls: 0.555 ± 0.408			
Nagao et al.	62 OLP cases and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Retinol, α -tocopherol, zeaxanthin and lutein, cryptoxanthin, lycopene, α - carotene, and β -carotene	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	OLP	Lycopene levels:	P < 0.05	
(2001) Tokoname city, Japan	248 controls	samples			Atrophic/erosive cases ($n = 4$): 0.076 ± 0.04 mmol/L			
Case-control					Controls: $0.316 \pm 0.205 \text{ mmol/L}$			
Rezazadeh and Haghighat (2021) Islamic Republic of Iran Case–control	34 OLP cases and 43 healthy controls	Clinic serum samples	Vitamins A, C, D3, E, and B12	OLP		<i>P</i> > 0.1		
Basu and Guhan (2015) Mumbai, India Case–control	60 cases (leukoplakia and OSF) and 10 controls	Clinic blood samples	β-carotene, retinol, vitamin C, and α-tocopherol	OPMD (OSF, 30; leukoplakia, 30)	Moderate to low plasma levels of β -carotene, retinol, vitamin C, and α -tocopherol in both the leukoplakia and OSF groups compared with the controls			

Table S2.35 Biochemical studies of potentially preventive dietary agents for the development of OPMDs

Reference Location Study type	Study population	Analyte	Exposure (preventive agent)	Outcome measure	Exposure levels	RR or OR (95% CI) or <i>P</i> value	Comments
Param et al.	22 cases of	Plasma	Vitamin C	OPMD	Vitamin C (mg/dL):	P < 0.05	
Maharashtra, India ca Case–control [2	leukoplakia, 20 cases of OSF, and			(leukoplakia or OSF)	Leukoplakia: 1.51 ± 0.47		
	[21] controls (63 is			01 001)	OSF: 2.09 ± 0.55		
	the total)				Controls: 3.14 ± 0.395		
Gupta et al. (2004)	34 OSF cases and	Plasma	β -carotene and vitamin E	OSF	β-carotene (mg/100 mL):		
Wardha, India Case–control	34 controls				OSF: 81.7 ± 14.3		
					Healthy controls: 110 ± 20.8		
					Vitamin E (mg/L):		
					OSF: 9.3 ± 0.3		
					Healthy controls: 10.1 ± 1.2		
Tuovinen et al. (1992) Eastern Finland Case–control	106 people with low plasma AA levels (≤ 25 μmol/L)	Plasma	Ascorbic acid	Leukoplakia	Prevalence of leukoplakia was higher in AA deficiency	<i>P</i> < 0.01	
	103 people with normal AA levels (≥ 50 µmol/L)						
Bose et al (2012)	23 leukoplakia		Vitamins A, C, E, zinc, glutathione, and total antioxidant status	Leukoplakia	Beta-carotene (µg/L):		
Madhya Pradesh, India	cases and 23 controls				Cases: 430.47 ± 74		
Cross-sectional					Controls: 634.97 ± 45		
					Vitamin C (mg/dL):		
					Cases: 0.57 ± 0.16		
					Controls: 1.08 ± 0.16		
					Vitamin E (mg/L):		
					Cases: 5.99 ± 0.82		
					Controls: 10.54 ± 1.1		
					Reduced glutathione (mg/L):		
					Cases: 6.09 ± 0.67		
					Controls: 10.09 ± 0.89		

Reference Location Study type	Study population	Analyte	Exposure (preventive agent)	Outcome measure	Exposure levels	RR or OR (95% CI) or <i>P</i> value	Comments
					Total antioxidant status (mol/L):		
					Cases: 1.23 ± 0.45		
					Controls: 2.47 ± 0.43		
					Zinc (µg/dL):		
					Cases: 59.9 ± 6.91		
					Controls: 91.2 ± 11.8		

Table S2.35 Biochemical studies of potentially preventive dietary agents for the development of OPMDs

AA, ascorbic acid; CI, confidence interval; OLP, oral lichen planus; OPMDs, oral potentially malignant disorders; OR, odds ratio; OSF, oral submucous fibrosis; RR, relative risk.

References

- Agalliu I, Gapstur S, Chen Z, Wang T, Anderson RL, Teras L, et al. (2016). Associations of oral α-, β-, and γ-human papillomavirus types with risk of incident head and neck cancer. JAMA Oncol. 2(5):599–606. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2015.5504 PMID:26794505
- Agudo A, Bonet C, Travier N, González CA, Vineis P, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, et al. (2012). Impact of cigarette smoking on cancer risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study. J Clin Oncol. 30(36):4550–7. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2011.41.0183 PMID:23169508
- Al-Tayar BA, Tin-Oo MM, Sinor MZ, Alakhali MS (2015). Association between shammah use and oral leukoplakia-like lesions among adult males in Dawan Valley, Yemen. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 16(18):8365–70. https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2015.16.18.8365 PMID:26745086
- Amarasinghe HK, Johnson NW, Lalloo R, Kumaraarachchi M, Warnakulasuriya S (2010b). Derivation and validation of a risk-factor model for detection of oral potentially malignant disorders in populations with high prevalence. Br J Cancer. 103(3):303–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6605778 PMID:20628386
- Amarasinghe HK, Usgodaarachchi U, Kumaraarachchi M, Johnson NW, Warnakulasuriya S (2013). Diet and risk of oral potentially malignant disorders in rural Sri Lanka. J Oral Pathol Med. 42(9):656–62. https://doi.org/10.1111/jop.12067 PMID:23601045
- Amarasinghe HK, Usgodaarachchi US, Johnson NW, Lalloo R, Warnakulasuriya S (2010a). Betel-quid chewing with or without tobacco is a major risk factor for oral potentially malignant disorders in Sri Lanka: a case-control study. Oral Oncol. 46(4):297–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2010.01.017 PMID:20189448
- Amtha R, Razak IA, Basuki B, Roeslan BO, Gautama W, Puwanto DJ, et al. (2014). Tobacco (kretek) smoking, betel quid chewing and risk of oral cancer in a selected Jakarta population. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 15(20):8673–8. https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2014.15.20.8673 PMID:25374188

- Anantharaman D, Gheit T, Waterboer T, Abedi-Ardekani B, Carreira C, McKay-Chopin S, et al. (2013). Human papillomavirus infections and upper aero-digestive tract cancers: the ARCAGE study. J Natl Cancer Inst. 105(8):536–45. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djt053 PMID:23503618
- Anantharaman D, Marron M, Lagiou P, Samoli E, Ahrens W, Pohlabeln H, et al. (2011). Population attributable risk of tobacco and alcohol for upper aerodigestive tract cancer. Oral Oncol. 47(8):725–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2011.05.004 PMID:21684805
- Anantharaman D, Muller DC, Lagiou P, Ahrens W, Holcátová I, Merletti F, et al. (2016). Combined effects of smoking and HPV16 in oropharyngeal cancer. Int J Epidemiol. 45(3):752–61. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyw069 PMID:27197530
- Anwar N, Pervez S, Chundriger Q, Awan S, Moatter T, Ali TS (2020). Oral cancer: clinicopathological features and associated risk factors in a high risk population presenting to a major tertiary care center in Pakistan. PLoS One. 15(8):e0236359. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236359 PMID:32760151
- Ariyawardana A, Athukorala AD, Arulanandam A (2006). Effect of betel chewing, tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption on oral submucous fibrosis: a casecontrol study in Sri Lanka. J Oral Pathol Med. 35(4):197–201. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0714.2006.00400.x PMID:16519765
- Asthana S, Labani S, Kailash U, Sinha DN, Mehrotra R (2019). Association of smokeless tobacco use and oral cancer: a systematic global review and meta-analysis. Nicotine Tob Res. 21(9):1162–71. https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/nty074 PMID:29790998
- Azhar N, Sohail M, Ahmad F, Fareeha S, Jamil S, Mughal N, et al. (2018). Risk factors of oral cancer a hospital based case control study. J Clin Exp Dent. 10(4):e396–401. https://doi.org/10.4317/jced.54618 PMID:29750103
- Bagnardi V, Rota M, Botteri E, Tramacere I, Islami F, Fedirko V, et al. (2015). Alcohol consumption and site-specific cancer risk: a comprehensive dose-response meta-analysis. Br J Cancer. 112(3):580–93. https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2014.579 PMID:25422909
- Balaram P, Sridhar H, Rajkumar T, Vaccarella S, Herrero R, Nandakumar A, et al. (2002). Oral cancer in southern India: the influence of smoking, drinking, paanchewing and oral hygiene. Int J Cancer. 98(3):440–5. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.10200 PMID:11920597
- Basu S, Guhan VN (2015). Antioxidant changes in precancerous and cancerous lesions of oral cavity. Int J Life Sci Res. 3(1):23-8.
- Bektas-Kayhan K, Karagoz G, Kesimli MC, Karadeniz AN, Meral R, Altun M, et al. (2014). Carcinoma of the tongue: a case-control study on etiologic factors and dental trauma. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 15(5):2225–9. https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2014.15.5.2225 PMID:24716961
- Bose SC, Singh M, Vyas P, Singh M (2012). Plasma zinc antioxidant vitamins, glutathione levels and total antioxidant activity in oral leukoplakia. Dent Res J (Isfahan). 9(2):158–61. https://doi.org/10.4103/1735-3327.95229 PMID:22623931
- Chang IH, Jiang RS, Wong YK, Wu SH, Chen FJ, Liu SA (2011). Visual screening of oral cavity cancer in a male population: experience from a medical center. J Chin Med Assoc. 74(12):561–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcma.2011.09.014 PMID:22196472
- Chen F, Lin L, Yan L, Liu F, Qiu Y, Wang J, et al. (2018). Nomograms and risk scores for predicting the risk of oral cancer in different sexes: a large-scale casecontrol study. J Cancer. 9(14):2543–8. https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.24431 PMID:30026853

- Chen PH, Lee KW, Chen CH, Shieh TY, Ho PS, Wang SJ, et al. (2011). CYP26B1 is a novel candidate gene for betel quid-related oral squamous cell carcinoma. Oral Oncol. 47(7):594–600. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2011.04.024 PMID:21641851
- Chuang SC, Jenab M, Heck JE, Bosetti C, Talamini R, Matsuo K, et al. (2012). Diet and the risk of head and neck cancer: a pooled analysis in the INHANCE consortium. Cancer Causes Control. 23(1):69–88. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-011-9857-x PMID:22037906
- Chung CH, Yang YH, Wang TY, Shieh TY, Warnakulasuriya S (2005). Oral precancerous disorders associated with areca quid chewing, smoking, and alcohol drinking in southern Taiwan. J Oral Pathol Med. 34(8):460–6. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0714.2005.00332.x PMID:16091112
- Chung YT, Hsieh LL, Chen IH, Liao CT, Liou SH, Chi CW, et al. (2009). Sulfotransferase 1A1 haplotypes associated with oral squamous cell carcinoma susceptibility in male Taiwanese. Carcinogenesis. 30(2):286–94. https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgn283 PMID:19126640
- Cianfriglia F, Manieri A, Di Gregorio DA, Di Iorio AM (1998). Retinol dietary intake and oral leukoplakia development. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 17(3):331–6. PMID:9894771
- D'Souza G, Kreimer AR, Viscidi R, Pawlita M, Fakhry C, Koch WM, et al. (2007). Case-control study of human papillomavirus and oropharyngeal cancer. N Engl J Med. 356(19):1944–56. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa065497 PMID:17494927
- Ernster VL, Grady DG, Greene JC, Walsh M, Robertson P, Daniels TE, et al. (1990). Smokeless tobacco use and health effects among baseball players. JAMA. 264(2):218–24. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1990.03450020070029 PMID:2355443
- Filippini T, Malavolti M, Borrelli F, Izzo AA, Fairweather-Tait SJ, Horneber M, et al. (2020). Green tea (Camellia sinensis) for the prevention of cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 3(3):CD005004. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD005004.pub3 PMID:32118296
- Franco EL, Kowalski LP, Oliveira BV, Curado MP, Pereira RN, Silva ME, et al. (1989). Risk factors for oral cancer in Brazil: a case-control study. Int J Cancer. 43(6):992–1000. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.2910430607 PMID:2732011
- Freedman ND, Park Y, Subar AF, Hollenbeck AR, Leitzmann MF, Schatzkin A, et al. (2008). Fruit and vegetable intake and head and neck cancer risk in a large United States prospective cohort study. Int J Cancer. 122(10):2330–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.23319 PMID:18092323
- Galeone C, Tavani A, Pelucchi C, Turati F, Winn DM, Levi F, et al. (2010). Coffee and tea intake and risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology consortium. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 19(7):1723–36. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-0191 PMID:20570908
- Galvão De Podestá OP, Peres SV, Salaroli LB, Cattafesta M, De Podestá JRV, von Zeidler SLV, et al. (2019). Consumption of minimally processed foods as protective factors in the genesis of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in Brazil. PLoS One. 14(7):e0220067. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220067 PMID:31344089

- Gandini S, Botteri E, Iodice S, Boniol M, Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, et al. (2008). Tobacco smoking and cancer: a meta-analysis. Int J Cancer. 122(1):155–64. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.23033 PMID:17893872
- Gillison ML, Alemany L, Snijders PJ, Chaturvedi A, Steinberg BM, Schwartz S, et al. (2012). Human papillomavirus and diseases of the upper airway: head and neck cancer and respiratory papillomatosis. Vaccine. 30(Suppl 5):F34–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.05.070 PMID:23199965
- Gillison ML, D'Souza G, Westra W, Sugar E, Xiao W, Begum S, et al. (2008). Distinct risk factor profiles for human papillomavirus type 16-positive and human papillomavirus type 16-negative head and neck cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst. 100(6):407–20. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djn025 PMID:18334711
- Guha N, Warnakulasuriya S, Vlaanderen J, Straif K (2014). Betel quid chewing and the risk of oral and oropharyngeal cancers: a meta-analysis with implications for cancer control. Int J Cancer. 135(6):1433–43. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.28643 PMID:24302487
- Gunasekera SK, Perera KA, Fernando C, Udagama PV (2015). A shifting paradigm in the aetiology of oral and pharyngeal cancer in Sri Lanka: a case-control study providing serologic evidence for the role of oncogenic HPV types 16 and 18. Infect Agent Cancer. 10(1):12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13027-015-0007-z PMID:25908938
- Gupta B, Bray F, Kumar N, Johnson NW (2017). Associations between oral hygiene habits, diet, tobacco and alcohol and risk of oral cancer: a case-control study from India. Cancer Epidemiol. 51:7–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2017.09.003 PMID:28968558
- Gupta PC, Hebert JR, Bhonsle RB, Murti PR, Mehta H, Mehta FS (1999). Influence of dietary factors on oral precancerous lesions in a population-based case-control study in Kerala, India. Cancer. 85(9):1885–93. PMID:10223226
- Gupta PC, Hebert JR, Bhonsle RB, Sinor PN, Mehta H, Mehta FS (1998). Dietary factors in oral leukoplakia and submucous fibrosis in a population-based case control study in Gujarat, India. Oral Dis. 4(3):200–6. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-0825.1998.tb00279.x PMID:9972171
- Gupta S, Reddy MV, Harinath BC (2004). Role of oxidative stress and antioxidants in aetiopathogenesis and management of oral submucous fibrosis. Indian J Clin Biochem. 19(1):138–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02872409 PMID:23105446
- Hashibe M, Brennan P, Benhamou S, Castellsague X, Chen C, Curado MP, et al. (2007). Alcohol drinking in never users of tobacco, cigarette smoking in never drinkers, and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. J Natl Cancer Inst. 99(10):777–89. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djk179 PMID:17505073
- Hashibe M, Brennan P, Chuang SC, Boccia S, Castellsague X, Chen C, et al. (2009). Interaction between tobacco and alcohol use and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 18(2):541–50. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0347 PMID:19190158
- Hashibe M, Mathew B, Kuruvilla B, Thomas G, Sankaranarayanan R, Parkin DM, et al. (2000b). Chewing tobacco, alcohol, and the risk of erythroplakia. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 9(7):639–45. PMID:10919731

- Hashibe M, Sankaranarayanan R, Thomas G, Kuruvilla B, Mathew B, Somanathan T, et al. (2000a). Alcohol drinking, body mass index and the risk of oral leukoplakia in an Indian population. Int J Cancer. 88(1):129–34. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0215(20001001)88:1<129::AID-IJC20>3.0.CO;2-U PMID:10962450
- He T, Guo X, Li X, Liao C, Yin W (2020). Association between coffee intake and the risk of oral cavity cancer: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Eur J Cancer Prev. 29(1):80–8. https://doi.org/10.1097/CEJ.000000000000515 PMID:31021885
- Hebert JR, Gupta PC, Bhonsle RB, Mehta H, Zheng W, Sanderson M, et al. (2002). Dietary exposures and oral precancerous lesions in Srikakulam District, Andhra Pradesh, India. Public Health Nutr. 5(2):303–12. https://doi.org/10.1079/PHN2002249 PMID:12020382
- Herrero R, Castellsagué X, Pawlita M, Lissowska J, Kee F, Balaram P, et al.; IARC Multicenter Oral Cancer Study Group (2003). Human papillomavirus and oral cancer: the International Agency for Research on Cancer multicenter study. J Natl Cancer Inst. 95(23):1772–83. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djg107 PMID:14652239
- Hu Y, Zhong R, Li H, Zou Y (2020). Effects of betel quid, smoking and alcohol on oral cancer risk: a case-control study in Hunan Province, China. Subst Use Misuse. 55(9):1501–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/10826084.2020.1750031 PMID:32569534
- Huang J, He B, Chen F, Liu F, Yan L, Hu Z, et al. (2015). Association between oral hygiene, chronic diseases, and oral squamous cell carcinoma. [in Chinese] Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi. 49(8):688–92. PMID:26733026
- Jacob BJ, Straif K, Thomas G, Ramadas K, Mathew B, Zhang ZF, et al. (2004). Betel quid without tobacco as a risk factor for oral precancers. Oral Oncol. 40(7):697–704. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2004.01.005 PMID:15172639
- Juntanong N, Siewchaisakul P, Bradshaw P, Vatanasapt P, Chen SL, Yen AM, et al. (2016). Prevalence and factors associated with oral pre-malignant lesions in northeast Thailand. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 17(8):4175–9. PMID:27644680
- Kadashetti V, Chaudhary M, Patil S, Gawande M, Shivakumar KM, Patil S, et al. (2015). Analysis of various risk factors affecting potentially malignant disorders and oral cancer patients of Central India. J Cancer Res Ther. 11(2):280–6. https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-1482.151417 PMID:26148585
- Kawakita D, Lee YA, Gren LH, Buys SS, La Vecchia C, Hashibe M (2019). Fiber intake and the risk of head and neck cancer in the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal and Ovarian (PLCO) cohort. Int J Cancer. 145(9):2342–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.32162 PMID:30693489
- Kawakita D, Lee YA, Turati F, Parpinel M, Decarli A, Serraino D, et al. (2017). Dietary fiber intake and head and neck cancer risk: a pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology consortium. Int J Cancer. 141(9):1811–21. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.30886 PMID:28710831
- Khan A, Ongole R, Baptist J, Srikant N, Lukmani F (2020). Patterns of tobacco use and its relation to oral precancers and cancers among individuals visiting a tertiary hospital in south India. J Contemp Dent Pract. 21(3):304–9. https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10024-2791 PMID:32434979
- Khan Z, Suliankatchi RA, Heise TL, Dreger S (2019). Naswar (smokeless tobacco) use and the risk of oral cancer in Pakistan: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Nicotine Tob Res. 21(1):32–40. https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntx281 PMID:29294113

- Kreimer AR, Johansson M, Waterboer T, Kaaks R, Chang-Claude J, Drogen D, et al. (2013). Evaluation of human papillomavirus antibodies and risk of subsequent head and neck cancer. J Clin Oncol. 31(21):2708–15. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2012.47.2738 PMID:23775966
- Lam TK, Cross AJ, Freedman N, Park Y, Hollenbeck AR, Schatzkin A, et al. (2011). Dietary fiber and grain consumption in relation to head and neck cancer in the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study. Cancer Causes Control. 22(10):1405–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-011-9813-9 PMID:21785948
- Lee CH, Ko YC, Huang HL, Chao YY, Tsai CC, Shieh TY, et al. (2003). The precancer risk of betel quid chewing, tobacco use and alcohol consumption in oral leukoplakia and oral submucous fibrosis in southern Taiwan. Br J Cancer. 88(3):366–72. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6600727 PMID:12569378
- Lee CH, Lee KW, Fang FM, Wu DC, Shieh TY, Huang HL, et al. (2011). The use of tobacco-free betel-quid in conjunction with alcohol/tobacco impacts early-onset age and carcinoma distribution for upper aerodigestive tract cancer. J Oral Pathol Med. 40(9):684–92. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0714.2011.01022.x PMID:21385213
- Lee YC, Marron M, Benhamou S, Bouchardy C, Ahrens W, Pohlabeln H, et al. (2009). Active and involuntary tobacco smoking and upper aerodigestive tract cancer risks in a multicenter case-control study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 18(12):3353–61. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-09-0910 PMID:19959682
- Li L, Psoter WJ, Buxó CJ, Elias A, Cuadrado L, Morse DE (2011). Smoking and drinking in relation to oral potentially malignant disorders in Puerto Rico: a casecontrol study. BMC Cancer. 11(1):324. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-11-324 PMID:21801414
- Li S, Lee YC, Li Q, Chen C-J, Hsu W-L, Lou P-J, et al. (2015). Oral lesions, chronic diseases and the risk of head and neck cancer. Oral Oncol. 51(12):1082–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2015.10.014 PMID:26526128
- Lin WJ, Jiang RS, Wu SH, Chen FJ, Liu SA (2011). Smoking, alcohol, and betel quid and oral cancer: a prospective cohort study. J Oncol. 2011:525976. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/525976 PMID:21547265
- Lockhart PB, Norris CM Jr, Pulliam C (1998). Dental factors in the genesis of squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity. Oral Oncol. 34(2):133–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1368-8375(97)00086-9 PMID:9682777
- Loyha K, Vatanasapt P, Promthet S, Parkin DM (2012). Risk factors for oral cancer in northeast Thailand. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 13(10):5087–90. https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2012.13.10.5087 PMID:23244115
- Maasland DH, van den Brandt PA, Kremer B, Goldbohm RA, Schouten LJ (2014). Alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking and the risk of subtypes of head-neck cancer: results from the Netherlands Cohort Study. BMC Cancer. 14(1):187. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-14-187 PMID:24629046
- Maasland DH, van den Brandt PA, Kremer B, Goldbohm RA, Schouten LJ (2015). Consumption of vegetables and fruits and risk of subtypes of head-neck cancer in the Netherlands Cohort Study. Int J Cancer. 136(5):E396–409. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29219 PMID:25220255
- Madani AH, Dikshit M, Bhaduri D (2012). Risk for oral cancer associated to smoking, smokeless and oral dip products. Indian J Public Health. 56(1):57–60. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-557X.96977 PMID:22684175

- Madathil SA, Rousseau MC, Wynant W, Schlecht NF, Netuveli G, Franco EL, et al. (2016). Nonlinear association between betel quid chewing and oral cancer: implications for prevention. Oral Oncol. 60:25–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2016.06.011 PMID:27531869
- Mahapatra S, Kamath R, Shetty BK, Binu VS (2015). Risk of oral cancer associated with gutka and other tobacco products: a hospital-based case-control study. J Cancer Res Ther. 11(1):199–203. https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-1482.143332 PMID:25879362
- Manoharan S, Nagaraja V, Eslick GD (2014). Ill-fitting dentures and oral cancer: a meta-analysis. Oral Oncol. 50(11):1058–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2014.08.002 PMID:25169920
- Mehrotra D, Kumar S, Agarwal GG, Asthana A, Kumar S (2013). Odds ratio of risk factors for oral submucous fibrosis in a case control model. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 51(7):e169–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2012.08.001 PMID:22951384
- Miranda J, Monteiro L, Albuquerque R, Pacheco JJ, Khan Z, Lopez-Lopez J, et al. (2017). Coffee is protective against oral and pharyngeal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 22(5):e554–61. https://doi.org/10.4317/medoral.21829 PMID:28809372
- Mondal R, Ghosh SK, Choudhury JH, Seram A, Sinha K, Hussain M, et al. (2013). Mitochondrial DNA copy number and risk of oral cancer: a report from Northeast India. PLoS One. 8(3):e57771. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057771 PMID:23469236
- Mork J, Lie AK, Glattre E, Hallmans G, Jellum E, Koskela P, et al. (2001). Human papillomavirus infection as a risk factor for squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. N Engl J Med. 344(15):1125–31. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200104123441503 PMID:11297703
- Muwonge R, Ramadas K, Sankila R, Thara S, Thomas G, Vinoda J, et al. (2008). Role of tobacco smoking, chewing and alcohol drinking in the risk of oral cancer in Trivandrum, India: a nested case-control design using incident cancer cases. Oral Oncol. 44(5):446–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2007.06.002 PMID:17933578
- Nagao T, Ikeda N, Warnakulasuriya S, Fukano H, Yuasa H, Yano M, et al. (2000). Serum antioxidant micronutrients and the risk of oral leukoplakia among Japanese. Oral Oncol. 36(5):466–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1368-8375(00)00037-3 PMID:10964055
- Nagao T, Warnakulasuriya S, Ikeda N, Fukano H, Yamamoto S, Yano M, et al. (2001). Serum antioxidant micronutrient levels in oral lichen planus. J Oral Pathol Med. 30(5):264–7. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0714.2001.300502.x PMID:11334461
- Nasher AT, Al-Hebshi NN, Al-Moayad EE, Suleiman AM (2014). Viral infection and oral habits as risk factors for oral squamous cell carcinoma in Yemen: a casecontrol study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 118(5):566–572.e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.0000.2014.08.005 PMID:25442493
- Param K, Kanchan M, Manish P, Satish K (2018). Assessment of serum paraoxonase-1 enzyme activity, malondialdehyde and vitamin-C in oral premalignancies. Int J Clin Biomed Res. 4(3):21–6. https://doi.org/10.31878/ijcbr.2018.43.05
- Pavia M, Pileggi C, Nobile CG, Angelillo IF (2006). Association between fruit and vegetable consumption and oral cancer: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Am J Clin Nutr. 83(5):1126–34. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/83.5.1126 PMID:16685056

- Pearson N, Croucher R, Marcenes W, O'Farrell M (2001). Prevalence of oral lesions among a sample of Bangladeshi medical users aged 40 years and over living in Tower Hamlets, UK. Int Dent J. 51(1):30–4. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1875-595X.2001.tb00814.x PMID:11326446
- Petti S, Masood M, Scully C (2013). The magnitude of tobacco smoking-betel quid chewing-alcohol drinking interaction effect on oral cancer in South-East Asia. A meta-analysis of observational studies. PLoS One. 8(11):e78999. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0078999 PMID:24260143
- Piemonte ED, Lazos J (2018). Evidence for chronic mechanical irritation as a causative factor in oral cancer: application of Bradford Hill criteria. Transl Res Oral Oncol. 3:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1177/2057178X18791034
- Piemonte ED, Lazos JP, Brunotto M (2010). Relationship between chronic trauma of the oral mucosa, oral potentially malignant disorders and oral cancer. J Oral Pathol Med. 39(7):513–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0714.2010.00901.x PMID:20456614
- Pintos J, Black MJ, Sadeghi N, Ghadirian P, Zeitouni AG, Viscidi RP, et al. (2008). Human papillomavirus infection and oral cancer: a case-control study in Montreal, Canada. Oral Oncol. 44(3):242–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2007.02.005 PMID:17467327
- Purdue MP, Hashibe M, Berthiller J, La Vecchia C, Dal Maso L, Herrero R, et al. (2009). Type of alcoholic beverage and risk of head and neck cancer a pooled analysis within the INHANCE Consortium. Am J Epidemiol. 169(2):132–42. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwn306 PMID:19064644
- Quadri MF, Alharbi F, Bajonaid AM, Moafa IH, Sharwani AA, Alamir AH (2015). Oral squamous cell carcinoma and associated risk factors in Jazan, Saudi Arabia: a hospital based case control study. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 16(10):4335–8. https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2015.16.10.4335 PMID:26028095
- Radoï L, Menvielle G, Cyr D, Lapôtre-Ledoux B, Stücker I, Luce D; ICARE Study Group (2015). Population attributable risks of oral cavity cancer to behavioral and medical risk factors in France: results of a large population-based case-control study, the ICARE study. BMC Cancer. 15(1):827. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-015-1841-5 PMID:26520570
- Rajkumar T, Sridhar H, Balaram P, Vaccarella S, Gajalakshmi V, Nandakumar A, et al. (2003). Oral cancer in Southern India: the influence of body size, diet, infections and sexual practices. Eur J Cancer Prev. 12(2):135–43. https://doi.org/10.1097/00008469-200304000-00007 PMID:12671537
- Ramaswamy G, Rao VR, Kumaraswamy SV, Anantha N (1996). Serum vitamins' status in oral leucoplakias a preliminary study. Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol. 32B(2):120–2. https://doi.org/10.1016/0964-1955(95)00076-3 PMID:8736174
- Ranganathan K, Devi MU, Joshua E, Kirankumar K, Saraswathi TR (2004). Oral submucous fibrosis: a case-control study in Chennai, South India. J Oral Pathol Med. 33(5):274–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0904-2512.2004.00116.x PMID:15078487
- Ray JG, Ganguly M, Rao BS, Mukherjee S, Mahato B, Chaudhuri K (2013). Clinico-epidemiological profile of oral potentially malignant and malignant conditions among areca nut, tobacco and alcohol users in Eastern India: a hospital-based study. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol. 17(1):45–50. https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-029X.110720 PMID:23798829
- Ren JS, Freedman ND, Kamangar F, Dawsey SM, Hollenbeck AR, Schatzkin A, et al. (2010). Tea, coffee, carbonated soft drinks and upper gastrointestinal tract cancer risk in a large United States prospective cohort study. Eur J Cancer. 46(10):1873–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2010.03.025 PMID:20395127

- Rezazadeh F, Haghighat S (2021). Serum vitamin profile in oral lichen planus patients in southwest of Iran. BioMed Res Int. 2021:8627435. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8627435 PMID:33708994
- Rimal J, Shrestha A, Maharjan IK, Shrestha S, Shah P (2019). Risk assessment of smokeless tobacco among oral precancer and cancer patients in Eastern Developmental Region of Nepal. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 20(2):411–5. https://doi.org/10.31557/APJCP.2019.20.2.411 PMID:30803200
- Rosenquist K (2005). Risk factors in oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma: a population-based case-control study in southern Sweden. Swed Dent J Suppl. (179):1–66. PMID:16335030
- Sankaranarayanan R, Duffy SW, Padmakumary G, Day NE, Padmanabhan TK (1989). Tobacco chewing, alcohol and nasal snuff in cancer of the gingiva in Kerala, India. Br J Cancer. 60(4):638–43. https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.1989.330 PMID:2803939
- Scheifele C, Nassar A, Reichart PA (2007). Prevalence of oral cancer and potentially malignant lesions among shammah users in Yemen. Oral Oncol. 43(1):42–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2005.12.028 PMID:16759897
- Schwartz SM, Daling JR, Doody DR, Wipf GC, Carter JJ, Madeleine MM, et al. (1998). Oral cancer risk in relation to sexual history and evidence of human papillomavirus infection. J Natl Cancer Inst. 90(21):1626–36. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/90.21.1626 PMID:9811312
- Shiu MN, Chen TH, Chang SH, Hahn LJ (2000). Risk factors for leukoplakia and malignant transformation to oral carcinoma: a leukoplakia cohort in Taiwan. Br J Cancer. 82(11):1871–4. https://doi.org/10.1054/bjoc.2000.1208 PMID:10839305
- Singhvi HR, Malik A, Chaturvedi P (2017). The role of chronic mucosal trauma in oral cancer: a review of literature. Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol. 38(1):44–50. https://doi.org/10.4103/0971-5851.203510 PMID:28469336
- Smith EM, Ritchie JM, Summersgill KF, Hoffman HT, Wang DH, Haugen TH, et al. (2004). Human papillomavirus in oral exfoliated cells and risk of head and neck cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 96(6):449–55. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djh074 PMID:15026470
- Stingone JA, Funkhouser WK, Weissler MC, Bell ME, Olshan AF (2013). Racial differences in the relationship between tobacco, alcohol, and squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Cancer Causes Control. 24(4):649–64. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-012-9999-5 PMID:22674225
- Subapriya R, Thangavelu A, Mathavan B, Ramachandran CR, Nagini S (2007). Assessment of risk factors for oral squamous cell carcinoma in Chidambaram, Southern India: a case-control study. Eur J Cancer Prev. 16(3):251–6. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.cej.0000228402.53106.9e PMID:17415096
- Thomas G, Hashibe M, Jacob BJ, Ramadas K, Mathew B, Sankaranarayanan R, et al. (2003). Risk factors for multiple oral premalignant lesions. Int J Cancer. 107(2):285–91. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.11383 PMID:12949809
- Tomar SL, Winn DM, Swango PA, Giovino GA, Kleinman DV (1997). Oral mucosal smokeless tobacco lesions among adolescents in the United States. J Dent Res. 76(6):1277–86. https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345970760060701 PMID:9168861
- Toporcov TN, Znaor A, Zhang ZF, Yu GP, Winn DM, Wei Q, et al. (2015). Risk factors for head and neck cancer in young adults: a pooled analysis in the INHANCE consortium. Int J Epidemiol. 44(1):169–85. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu255 PMID:25613428

- Tota JE, Gillison ML, Katki HA, Kahle L, Pickard RK, Xiao W, et al. (2019). Development and validation of an individualized risk prediction model for oropharynx cancer in the US population. Cancer. 125(24):4407–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.32412 PMID:31454434
- Tramacere I, Negri E, Bagnardi V, Garavello W, Rota M, Scotti L, et al. (2010). A meta-analysis of alcohol drinking and oral and pharyngeal cancers. Part 1: overall results and dose-risk relation. Oral Oncol. 46(7):497–503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2010.03.024 PMID:20444641
- Tuovinen V, Väänänen M, Kullaa A, Karinpää A, Markkanen H, Kumpusalo E (1992). Oral mucosal changes related to plasma ascorbic acid levels. Proc Finn Dent Soc. 88(3–4):117–22. PMID:1298961
- Turati F, Garavello W, Tramacere I, Pelucchi C, Galeone C, Bagnardi V, et al. (2013). A meta-analysis of alcohol drinking and oral and pharyngeal cancers: results from subgroup analyses. Alcohol Alcohol. 48(1):107–18. https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/ags100 PMID:22949102
- <other>Vaccarezza GF, Antunes JL, Michaluart-Júnior P (2010). Recurrent sores by ill-fitting dentures and intra-oral squamous cell carcinoma in smokers. J Public Health Dent. 70(1):52–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-7325.2009.00143.x PMID:19694933
- Velly AM, Franco EL, Schlecht N, Pintos J, Kowalski LP, Oliveira BV, et al. (1998). Relationship between dental factors and risk of upper aerodigestive tract cancer. Oral Oncol. 34(4):284–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1368-8375(98)80009-2 PMID:9813724
- Voltzke KJ, Lee YA, Zhang ZF, Zevallos JP, Yu GP, Winn DM, et al. (2018). Racial differences in the relationship between tobacco, alcohol, and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis of US studies in the INHANCE Consortium. Cancer Causes Control. 29(7):619–30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-018-1026-z PMID:29761303
- Wasnik KS, Ughade SN, Zodpey SP, Ingole DL (1998). Tobacco consumption practices and risk of oro-pharyngeal cancer: a case-control study in Central India. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health. 29(4):827–34. PMID:10772572
- Wen CP, Tsai MK, Chung WS, Hsu HL, Chang YC, Chan HT, et al. (2010). Cancer risks from betel quid chewing beyond oral cancer: a multiple-site carcinogen when acting with smoking. Cancer Causes Control. 21(9):1427–35. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-010-9570-1 PMID:20458529
- Worakhajit P, Fuangtharnthip P, Khovidhunkit SP, Chiewwit P, Klongnoi B (2021). The relationship of tobacco, alcohol, and betel quid with the formation of oral potentially malignant disorders: a community-based study from northeastern Thailand. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 18(16):8738. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18168738 PMID:34444487
- Wyss A, Hashibe M, Chuang SC, Lee YC, Zhang ZF, Yu GP, et al. (2013). Cigarette, cigar, and pipe smoking and the risk of head and neck cancers: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. Am J Epidemiol. 178(5):679–90. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwt029 PMID:23817919
- Wyss AB, Hashibe M, Lee YA, Chuang SC, Muscat J, Chen C, et al. (2016). Smokeless tobacco use and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis of US studies in the INHANCE consortium. Am J Epidemiol. 184(10):703–16. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kww075 PMID:27744388
- Yang CM, Chen HC, Hou YY, Lee MC, Liou HH, Huang SJ, et al. (2014). A high IL-4 production diplotype is associated with an increased risk but better prognosis of oral and pharyngeal carcinomas. Arch Oral Biol. 59(1):35–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2013.09.010 PMID:24169152

- Yang YH, Ho PS, Lu HM, Huang IY, Chen CH (2010). Comparing dose-response measurements of oral habits on oral leukoplakia and oral submucous fibrosis from a community screening program. J Oral Pathol Med. 39(4):306–12. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0714.2009.00820.x PMID:20149061
- Yang YH, Lien YC, Ho PS, Chen CH, Chang JS, Cheng TC, et al. (2005). The effects of chewing areca/betel quid with and without cigarette smoking on oral submucous fibrosis and oral mucosal lesions. Oral Dis. 11(2):88–94. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-0825.2004.01061.x PMID:15752081
- Yen AM, Chen SC, Chen TH (2007). Dose-response relationships of oral habits associated with the risk of oral pre-malignant lesions among men who chew betel quid. Oral Oncol. 43(7):634–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2006.05.001 PMID:17466570
- Yen AM, Chen SL, Chiu SY, Chen HH (2011). Association between metabolic syndrome and oral pre-malignancy: a community- and population-based study (KCIS No. 28). Oral Oncol. 47(7):625–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2011.04.011 PMID:21592847
- Yen AM, Wang ST, Feng SW, Lin CT, Chen SL (2019). The association between fecal hemoglobin concentration and oral potentially malignant disorders. Oral Dis. 25(1):108–16. https://doi.org/10.1111/odi.12978 PMID:30216606
- Zavras AI, Yoon AJ, Chen MK, Lin CW, Yang SF (2011). Metallothionein-1 genotypes in the risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma. Ann Surg Oncol. 18(5):1478–83. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-010-1431-3 PMID:21128001
- Zhou H, Wu W, Wang F, Qi H, Cheng Z (2018). Tea consumption is associated with decreased risk of oral cancer: a comprehensive and dose-response meta-analysis based on 14 case-control studies (MOOSE compliant). Medicine (Baltimore). 97(51):e13611. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000013611 PMID:30572470
- Znaor A, Brennan P, Gajalakshmi V, Mathew A, Shanta V, Varghese C, et al. (2003). Independent and combined effects of tobacco smoking, chewing and alcohol drinking on the risk of oral, pharyngeal and esophageal cancers in Indian men. Int J Cancer. 105(5):681–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.11114