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ANNEX 1. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL FOR SECTION 4.4.7 TRIAGE OF WOMEN WITH A POSITIVE PRIMARY HPV SCREENING TEST RESULT

The supplementary web-only materials listed below are available from https://publications.iarc.fr/604.

Box S1  PICOS components of the research question
Fig. S1  PRISMA flow diagram showing the retrieval and selection of studies
Fig. S2  Summary of the assessment of study quality of reports included in the meta-analysis of the accuracy of triage tests used to manage hrHPV-positive women
Fig. S3  Meta-analysis of the absolute sensitivity and specificity of triage of HPV-positive women with reflex cytology at a threshold of ASC-US+ to detect CIN2+
Fig. S4  Meta-analyses of the accuracy for detection of CIN2+ of six tests or combinations of tests used to triage hrHPV-positive women
Fig. S5  Meta-analyses of the accuracy for detection of CIN3+ of four tests or combinations of tests used to triage hrHPV-positive women
Table S1  Number of true-positive, false-positive, false-negative, and true-negative results in 1000 women with a positive hrHPV test result at screening and triaged with one of six selected scenarios; PPV, NNR (= 1/PPV), NPV, and cNPV estimated for three situations of underlying background risk of CIN3+: low risk, 5%; intermediate risk, 8%; high risk, 17%