# ARC MONOGRAPHS

# RED MEAT AND PROCESSED MEAT VOLUME 114

This publication represents the views and expert opinions of an IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, which met in Lyon, 6–13 October 2015

LYON, FRANCE - 2018

IARC MONOGRAPHS ON THE EVALUATION OF CARCINOGENIC RISKS TO HUMANS

International Agency for Research on Cancer



Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
Zheng et al. (1995)	23 070 cohort/ 216 endometrial cancer cases;	Endometrium	Tertiles of red meat	intake		Age, age at menopause,
lowa 1986; follow-up	Postmenopausal women with no history of cancer or hysterectomy.		Т1	NR	1	parity, postmenopausal hormone use, and total
7 years Cohort	<b>Exposure assessment method:</b> Questionnaire; Women filled in a validated 127-		T2	NR	1	energy intake.
Conort	item FFQ. Red meat not defined.		Т3	NR	1.1	
Chiu et al. (1996)	35 156; Women aged 55 to 69 years from the	NHL: Non-Hodgkin's	Tertiles of red meat	consumption (s	ervings/month)	Age (continuous),
Iowa, United States 1986, 7 years of follow-up	986, 7 years of ollow-up       from the State of Iowa Automobile driver's license list         Cohort       Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; 126-item validated food frequency questionnaire from Willet. The red	lymphoma (ICD-O)	T1 (< 22 servings/month)	30	1	marital status (current, former, or never), residence (farm or
Cohort			T2 (22–36 servings/month)	28	1.11 (0.64–1.91)	nonfarm), transfusion history (ever vs never),
meat group includ meat, liver, beef s main dish (no spe	meat group included: bacon, hotdogs, processed meat, liver, beef stew, hamburger, and beef as		T3 (> 36 servings/month)	46	1.98 (1.13–3.47)	and total energy intake (continuous)
	main dish (no specification of other pork meat and lamb).		Trend-test p-value: (			
		NHL: Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (ICD-O)	Hamburger – level o	of intake		Same as above
			< 4 servings/month	16	1	
			4 servings/month	43	2.21 (1.19-4.13)	
			>4 servings/month	45	2.35 (1.23-4.48)	
			Trend-test p-value: (	0.02		
Zhang et al. (1999) US	88 410/199 cases; Female registered nurses aged 34–60 years living in 11 states of the United	NHL: Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	s Quintiles of total red meat consumption (including processed meat)			Age, Total energy, length of follow-up,
1980; 14 years of follow-up	States Exposure assessment method:		Q1	NR	1	Geographic region, Cigarette smoking, Height
Cohort	Questionnaire; Total red meat included		Q2	NR	0.9	
	processed meat and was defined as the sum of the frequencies for six categories from the FFQ:		Q3	NR	1.3	
	beef, pork, or lamb as main dish (68% of total		Q4	NR	1	
	red meat); beef, pork or lamb as a sandwich or	andwich or	Q5	NR	1.3 (0.8–2.2)	

 Table 2.9.1 Cohort studies: Red meat and other cancers (web-only)

Table 2.9.1 Cohort studies: Red meat and other cancers (web-only) Reference, location Population size, description, exposure Organ site Exposure category Exposed Risk estimate Covariates controlled enrolment/follow-up assessment method or level cases/deaths (95% CI) period, study design mixed dish; hamburgers; hotdogs; processed Trend-test p-value: 0.25 meats; and bacon and took into account the gram weights of servings. Beef, pork, or lamb as main NHL: Non-Hodgkin's Frequencies of beef, pork, or lamb as a main dish (68% Same as above dish was also analysed and reported separately. lymphoma of total red meat, and taking into account the grams of Finally the cooking methods of beef, pork or weight of servings) lamb as main dish were investigated. 26 < 1/mo - 1-3/mo 1 1/week 51 1(0.6-1.5)2-4/week 82 1.4(0.9-2.2)5-6/week 23 1.7 (0.9-3.2) 1/day 17 2.2 (1.1-4.4) Trend-test p-value: 0.002 NHL: Non-Hodgkin's Frequencies of broiled beef, pork, or lamb during main Same as above lymphoma dish (68% of total red meat, and taking into account the grams of weight of servings) < 1/month27 1 1-3/month 37 1.5 (0.9-2.4) 1/week 30 1.6(1-2.7) $\geq 2-4/\text{week}$ 19 1.8(1-3.3)Trend-test p-value: 0.09 NHL: Non-Hodgkin's Frequencies of barbecued beef, pork, or lamb Same as above lymphoma consumption as a main dish (68% of total red meat, and taking into account the grams of weight of servings) < 1/month55 1 1-3/month32 1.2 (0.8-1.9) 1/week 25 1.5 (0.9-2.4) Trend-test p-value: 0.13

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
		NHL: Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	NHL: Non-Hodgkin's Frequencies of roasted beef, pork, or lamb consumption as a main dish (68% of total red meat, and taking into account the grams of weight of servings)		f total red meat,	Same as above
			< 1/month	30	1	
			1–3/month	47	0.9 (0.6–1.5)	
			1/week	37	0.9 (0.5–1.4)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.64		
		NHL: Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	Frequencies of pan- consumption as a m and taking into acco servings)	ain dish (68% o	f total red meat,	Same as above
			< 1/month	59	1	
			1–3/month	29	1.4 (0.9–2.2)	
			1/week	22	1 (0.6–1.7)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.89		
		NHL: Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	Frequencies of boile consumption as a m and taking into acco servings)	ain dish (68% o	f total red meat,	Same as above
			< 1/month	52	1	
			1–3/month	42	1.3 (0.9–2)	
			1/week	17	1 (0.5–1.7)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.94		

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
Bertone et al. (2002) USA	80 258/ 301 cases of confirmed invasive epithelial ovarian cancer; US female aged 30–55	Ovary: Invasive epithelial ovarian	Quintiles of red mea dish, frequency	at (beef, pork, la	mb) intake as main	Age, parity, age at menarche, menopausal
1980; Follow-up 1980– 1996	years registered nurses who completed the baseline FFQ in 1980, and had no diagnosis of	cancer	Q1: 1–3/month	83	1	status/HRT use, tubal ligation, smoking status
Cohort	cancer, bilateral oophorectomy, or pelvic		Q2: 1/week	150	1.17 (0.91–1.51)	8,
	irradiation. Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; food frequency questionnaire		Q3–Q5: 2/week– ≥ 1/day	52	1.3 (0.93–1.82)	
	(FFQ). A 61-item questionnaire was used for baseline assessment of diet in1980, whereas the		Trend-test p-value:	0.16		
	FFQ used in 1984, 1986, and 1990 was expanded to include 131 foods. Red meat (beef, pork,	Ovary: Invasive epithelial ovarian	Quintiles of red meat (beef, pork, lamb) intake as mixed dish, frequency			Same as above
lamb).	lamb).	cancer	Q1: < 1/month	71	1	
			Q2:1–3/month	91	0.89 (0.66–1.19)	
			Q3: 1/week	88	0.67 (0.49-0.9)	
			Q4–Q5: 2/week– $\geq$ 1/day	33	0.87 (0.58–1.31)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.05		
		Ovary: Invasive	Quintiles of hambur	ger intake frequ	iency	Same as above
		epithelial ovarian cancer	Q1: < 1/month	61	1	
			Q2: 1-3/month	145	1.09 (0.83–1.44)	
			Q3: 1/week– ≥ 1/day	79	0.86 (0.63–1.17)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.07		

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
Larsson and Wolk (2005)	61 057/288 cases; All women aged 40–76 years, living in the 2 counties. Energy intake within 3	Ovary: Ephitelial ovarian cancer	Red meat consumpt servings/week (med		ork as a main dish:	Age, BMI, education, parity, use of oral
Sweden, Uppsala and Västmanland counties	SD from the loge-transformed mean in the cohort. No previous cancer diagnosis, no		< 2 (1.5)	91	1	contraceptives and postmenopausal
1987-2004; average	bilateral oophorectomy, or a hysterectomy with		2-<3 (2.5)	55	0.86 (0.62–1.21)	hormones, total energy
follow-up 14.7 years Cohort	unknown number of ovaries removed at baseline. <b>Exposure assessment method:</b>		3-<4 (3.0)	96	1.31 (0.94–1.82)	intake, consumption of fruits, vegetables, and
	Questionnaire; Validated FFQ. Swedish		> 4 (5.0)	46	1.01 (0.7–1.46)	dairy products.
	Mammography Cohort with follow-up from 1987 to 2004 and dietary assessments in 1987 and 1997. Red meat (beef, pork) may include processed meat.		Trend-test p-value:	0.27		
Michaud et al. (2006) USA	149 991/ 808 cases (504 in men, 304 in women); Participants of the HPFS study are dentists	Urinary bladder	Frequency of red meat (beef, pork, lamb as main dish) intake among men			Age, caloric intake (quintiles), and pack-
1986/1976 Cohort	(57.6%), veterinarians (19.6%), pharmacists (8.1%), optometrists (7.3%), osteopathic		0	45	1.35 (0.94–1.96)	years of smoking and for geographic region
conorr	physicians (4.3%), and podiatrists (3.1%). The		1-3 servings/mo	88	1	and total fluid intake in the HPFS
	NHS includes 121 700 female registered nurses aged 30–55 y responded to a mailed		1 serving/wk	153	1.01 (0.78–1.33)	
	questionnaire.		2-4 serving/wk	196	1.11 (0.85–1.45)	
	<b>Exposure assessment method:</b> Questionnaire; Including the health professionals		$\geq$ 5 servings/wk	22	0.93 (0.57–1.52)	
	follow-up study (HPFS, 51 529 men) and the Nurses Health Study (NHS, 98 462 women) was based om long-term diet (repeated validated	Urinary bladder	Frequency of red meat (beef, pork, lamb as main dish intake among women		lamb as main dish)	Age, caloric intake (quintiles), and pack-
	food-frequency questionnaires over time).		0	6	2.28 (0.88-5.92)	years of smoking and for geographic region
	Red meat (beef, pork, lamb).		1-3 servings/mo	15	1	
			1 serving/wk	60	1.35 (0.76–2.39)	
			2-4 serving/wk	173	1.23 (0.71–2.11)	
			$\geq$ 5 servings/wk	50	1.01 (0.56–1.85)	
		Urinary bladder	Frequency of hamb	urger intake am	ong men	Same as above

Urinary bladder

Frequency of hamburger intake among men

Same as above

ference, location rolment/follow-up riod, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
			0	54	0.99 (0.72–1.36)	
			1–3 servings/mo	148	1	
			1 serving/wk	171	0.86 (0.68–1.08)	
			2-4 serving/wk	131	0.91 (0.7–1.17)	
			$\geq$ 5 servings/wk	9	0.83 (0.4–1.71)	
		Urinary bladder	Frequency of hamb	urger intake am	ong women	Same as above
			0	7	1.07 (0.48–2.41)	
			1-3 servings/mo	44	1	
			1 serving/wk	142	1.13	
			2–4 serving/wk	111	0.96 (0.66–1.38)	
		Urinary bladder	Frequency of red m or mixed dish) intak		lamb as sandwich	Same as above
			0	71	1.06 (0.79–1.43)	
			1-3 servings/mo	133	1	
			1 serving/wk	137	0.83 (0.65–1.06)	
			2-4 serving/wk	151	1.26 (0.98–1.63)	
			$\geq$ 5 servings/wk	12	0.95 (0.51–1.75)	
		Urinary bladder	Frequency of red m or mixed dish) intak			Same as above
			0	16	1.61 (0.92–2.81)	
			1-3 servings/mo	66	1	
			1 serving/wk	105	1.03 (0.75–1.41)	
			2-4 serving/wk	108	0.92 (0.66–1.27)	
			$\geq$ 5 servings/wk	9	0.83 (0.4–1.71)	

Table 2.9.1 Cohor	rt studies: Red meat and other cancers (	web-only)				
Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
Cross et al. (2007)	119 312/ 552 cases; Women, aged 50–71 y, from		Quintiles of total re-	d meat intake (n	nedian g/1000 kcal)	
USA 1995; follow-up to	six states in the United States (California, Florida, Louisiana, New Jersey, North Carolina,	cancer ICD-0-3	Q1 (9.8)	149	1	marital status, family history of cancer, race,
2003	and Pennsylvania) and two metropolitan areas		Q2 (21.4)	131	1.2 (0.92–1.56)	BMI, smoking,
Cohort	(Atlanta, Georgia; and Detroit, Michigan). Exposure assessment method:		Q3 (31.4)	92	0.97 (0.73–1.28)	frequency of vigorous physical activity, total
	Questionnaire; Total red meat(beef, pork, lamb, bacon, beef cold cuts, ham, hamburger, hot dogs,		Q4 (42.9)	110	1.09 (0.82–1.45)	energy intake, alcohol intake, fruit and
	liver and sausages). Unprocessed red meat was		Q5 (62.7)	70	1.19 (0.89–1.59)	vegetable intake.
	not studied separately. A 124-item food frequency questionnaire (FFQ), based on the Diet History Questionnaire.		Trend-test p-value:	0.33		
Schulz et al. (2007)325 731/581 cases of primary invasive ovarian cancer; Study participants from 10 European countries, mostly from the general population, recruited between 1992 and 2000. Women free of any cancer at baseline, with at least one intact	cancer; Study participants from 10 European	Ovary: ovarian	Quintiles of red mea	at intake (g/day)	I Contraction of the second	Body mass index,
		cancer ICD-10 C56	Q1 (< 25)	95	1	parity, menopausal status, ever use of oral
		Q2 (25-<35)	116	1.22 (0.87–1.69)	contraceptives, total energy intake,	
Spain, Sweden,	ovary, and with non-missing dietary and follow-		Q3 (35-<44)	122	1.13 (0.79–1.61)	education, smoking, unilateral ovariectomy, and hormone
United Kingdom 1992–2000: follow-up	up information were included in analyses. Exposure assessment method:		Q4 (44– < 55)	134	1.13 (0.78–1.63)	
to 2004	Questionnaire; Dietary intake was assessed by		Q5 (> 55)	114	1.04 (0.7–1.56)	replacement therapy
Cohort			Trend-test p-value:	0.89		use at baseline.

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
	14-day record on hot meals (lunches and dinners). Red meat not defined.		$ \land $			
Kabat et al. (2008)	34 148 analytical cohort/426 cases; Women aged	Endometrium	Quintiles of red mea	Quintiles of red meat consumption (g/day)		
Canada 1980–1985; mean	40–59, with intact uterus, from the general Canadian population		Q1: < 48.49	87	1	age at menarche, total calorie intake, raw
follow-up 16.4 years	Exposure assessment method:		Q2: 48.49-<66.33	91	1.14 (0.84–1.55)	vegetables intake,
Cohort Q	Questionnaire; Red meat not defined.		Q3: 66.33- < 83.47	85	1.08 (0.79–1.47)	alcohol intake; menopausal status; parity; duration of OC use; duration of HR use; physical activity; education
			Q4: 83.47-< 108.99	95	1.26 (0.93–1.72)	
			Q5: > 108.99	64	0.86 (0.61–1.22)	
			Trend-test p-value: (	0.75		
		Endometrium	Quintiles of heme iron intake (mg/day)		lay)	Same as above
			Q1: < 1.58	93	1	
			Q2: 1.58-<1.99	96	1.1 (0.82–1.48)	
			Q3: 1.99– < 2.40	86	1 (0.74–1.37)	
			Q4: 2.40– < 2.95	82	0.98 (0.72–1.37)	
			Q5: > 2.95	69	0.82 (0.59–1.16)	
			Trend-test p-value: (	0.22		

Table 2.9.1 Cohor	rt studies: Red meat and other cancers	(web-only)				
Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
Lee et al. (2008)	530 469 women and 244 483 men; pooled	Kidney: renal cell	Red meat intake cat	egory		Age, history of
USA, Canada, Australia, Netherland,	analysis of 13 prospective studies. 530 469 women and 244 483 men/1478 cases (709	cancer O-2	< 20 g/d	216	1.01 (0.85–1.2)	hypertension, body mass index, pack-years
Sweden, Finland. 7–20 years	women and 769 men) Exposure assessment method:		20 to < 60 g/d	621	1	of smoking, combination of parity
Cohort	Questionnaire; 7-20 years of follow up, all		60 to < 80 g/d	241	1.07 (0.91–1.25)	and age at first birth
	cohorts have used validated FFQ. Red meat (beef, pork, lamb, liver and veal).		$\geq$ 80 g/day = 4 servings/week	400	0.99 (0.85–1.16)	age at first child's birth < 25 years and parity of 1 or 2; age at first
			Trend-test p-value:	0.93		child's birth $\ge 25$ years and parity of 1 or 2, or nulliparous; age at first child's birth < 25 years and parity of $\ge 3$ ; and age at first child's birth $\ge 25$ years and parity of $\ge \Box 3$ ), fruit and vegetable consumption (tertiles), alcohol intake (continuous), and total energy intake (continuous).
Larsson et al. (2009) Sweden	82 002/485 cases; participants of the Swedish Mammography Cohort (SMC) and the Cohort of	Urinary bladder: (C67.0–C67.9)	Frequency of red m	eat intake		Adjusted for age, sex,
1997	Swedish Men (COSM).	(01.0-007.9)	0-3 servings/month	45	1	education, smoking status, pack-years of
Cohort	<b>Exposure assessment method:</b> Questionnaire; diet was assessed using a self-		1-4 servings/week	276	1.11 (0.81–1.52)	smoking, and total energy intake
	administered food frequency questionnaire		> 5 servings/week	164	1 (0.71–1.41)	chergy intake
	(FFQ) with 96 food items.		Trend-test p-value: 0.57			
	9.4 years of follow-up, and the EPIC study in 10	Urinary bladder:	Frequency of hamb	urger or meat ba	alls intake	Same as above
	European countries. Red meat (beef, pork, veal, hamburger, meat	(C67.0–C67.9)	0-3 servings/month	172	1	
	balls, liver and kidney).		1-4 servings/week	274	0.96 (0.79–1.16)	
			$\geq$ 5 servings/week	39	0.85 (0.59–1.21)	

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
			Trend-test p-value:	0.36		
		Urinary bladder:	Frequency of beef, pork or veal intake			Same as above
		(C67.0–C67.9)	0-3 servings/month	89	1	
			1-4 servings/week	375	1.11 (0.87–1.4)	
			$\geq$ 5 servings/week	21	0.79 (0.48–1.28)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.50		
Sai et al. (2010)	525 982; This is a pooled analysis of NIH-AARP	NHL: Chronic	Red meat, (g/1,000	kcal)		Age, sex, BMI
Various screening trial. Cr Cohort studies Exposure assessm	Diet and Health Study and the PLCO cancer screening trial. Criteria is different for the two	lymphocytic leukaemia and small	0.0–19.6	274	1	
		lymphocytic	19.6–31.8	295	1.01 (0.85–1.19)	
	Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; Diet History Questionnaire was	lymphoma (SLL/CLL)	31.8–46.7	288	0.96 (0.81–1.14)	
	used		46.7–250.5	272	0.9 (0.76–1.08)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.22		
ilsing et al. (2011)	62 573/340 cases; the Netherlands Cohort Study	Ovary	Quintiles of red mea	at intake – medi	an (g/day)	Age, total energy
Vetherlands 986; follow-up to	(NCLS) was initiated in September 1986 and includes 62 573 women, aged 55–69 y at		Q1: 36.2	51	1	intake, parity, and us of oral contraceptive
.002	baseline, who originated from 204 municipalities		Q2: 61.3	77	1.58 (1.08–2.3)	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Cohort	with computerized population registries Exposure assessment method:		Q3: 77.9	73	1.47 (1–2.16)	
	Questionnaire; 16,3 years of follow-up.		Q4: 95.6	92	1.78 (1.23–2.58)	
	questionnaire (FFQ) at baseline that estimated	ne average frequency and amounts of foods and everages consumed over the previous 12 nonths. Unprocessed red meat (beef, pork,	Q5: 129.6	47	0.93 (0.61–1.42)	
the average frequency and amounts of foods an beverages consumed over the previous 12 months. Unprocessed red meat (beef, pork,			Trend-test p-value:	0.85		

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
		Ovary	Quintiles of beef int	ake, median g/c	lay	Same as above
			Q1: 2.2	68	1	
			Q2: 10.7	53	0.77 (0.52–1.13)	
			Q3: 18.9	58	0.98 (0.68–1.42)	
			Q4: 30.7	67	0.95 (0.66–1.38)	
			Q5: 50.4	84	1.15 (0.81–1.64)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.23		
		Ovary	Quintiles of pork in	take, median g/o	lay	Same as above
			Q1: 3.5	62	1	
			Q2: 18.3	74	1.26 (0.87–1.81)	
			Q3: 31.1	71	1.21 (0.84–1.76)	
			Q4: 44.7	67	1.14 (0.78–1.66)	
			Q5: 71.2	66	1.08 (0.75–1.59)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.88		
		Ovary	Quintiles of minced	meat intake, m	edian g/day	Same as above
			Q1: 0	66	1	
			Q2: 7.6	67	1.06 (0.73–1.53)	
			Q3: 13.4	83	1.26 (0.89–1.8)	
			Q4: 21.4	69	1.13 (0.78–1.63)	
			Q5: 36.6	55	0.86 (0.59–1.27)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.64		

0 European Pa ountries: Denmark, In rance, Germany, stu	81 419/ 1001 cases of bladder cancer; articipants from the European Prospective	Ovary Urinary bladder	Levels of liver intak 0 > 0 (3.3)	e, g/day (media 227 113	n) 1	Same as above
0 European Pa ountries: Denmark, In rance, Germany, stu	articipants from the European Prospective	Urinary bladder	Ů		1	
0 European Pa ountries: Denmark, In rance, Germany, stu	articipants from the European Prospective	Urinary bladder	> 0 (3.3)	112		
0 European Pa ountries: Denmark, In rance, Germany, stu	articipants from the European Prospective	Urinary bladder		115	1.07 (0.84–1.38)	
rance, Germany, stu	Jakszyn et al. (2011)481 419/ 1001 cases of bladder cancer;10 EuropeanParticipants from the European Prospectivecountries: Denmark,Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)	the European Prospective	Quartiles of red meat intake (Fresh and processed, g/day)			Educational level, BMI, smoking status
•	tudy, in most centres recruited from the general		Q1 (0-57.86)	144	1	lifetime intensity of smoking (number of
United Kingdom, Greece, Italy, Norway, Spain,population. However, French participants were female members of a health insurance for school and university employees. Spanish and Italian participants were recruited among blood donors, members of health insurance programs,	opulation. However, French participants were		Q2 (57.86–91.42)	233	1.2 (0.96–1.49)	cigarettes per day),
		Q3 (91.42–130.63)	269	1.14 (0.91–1.42)	time since quitting or duration of smoking, and total energy intake	
		Q4 (130.63– 754.79)	355	1.15 (0.9–1.45)		
	mployees of enterprises, civil servants and the eneral population. In Utrecht and Florence,		Trend-test p-value: (	0.49		
	articipants in mammographic screening		Quartiles of heme ir	Quartiles of heme iron intake (mg/day)		
	rograms were recruited. In Oxford, half of the ohort consisted of "health conscious" subjects		Q1 (0 < 0.6)	171	1	
	rom England, Wales, Scotland and Northern reland, including a high percentage of vegans,		Q2 (0.6– < 1.02)	219	1.04 (0.84–1.28)	
	vo-lacto vegetarians, fish eaters (consuming		Q3 (1.02– < 1.53)	268	1.09 (0.88–1.35)	
	ish but no meat) and meat eaters. The cohorts of France, Norway, Utrecht and Naples include		Q4 (1.53–4)	343	1.1 (0.88–1.39)	
we Ex	Common only. Common only. Common are: Diet was assessed over the		Trend-test p-value: (		, · · · /	

regarding meals or food groups, individual average portions, or standard portion. The

as beef, pork and mutton/lamb.

questionnaires were validated and calibrated with 24-hour dietary recall data Red meat is defined

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
1992; 8,5 years of follow-upfrom the general population. However, Fr participants		NHL: Non-Hodgkin's	Quintiles of red mea	at consumption		Stratified by age in 1 yr
	Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study, in most centres recruited	lymphoma (ICD-O-3)	Q1 (< 20 g/day)	285	1	categories, stratified by centre, stratified by sex
	from the general population. However, French participants		Q2 (20- < 40 g/day)	306	0.92 (0.78–1.09)	adjusted for energy, alcohol, education,
Cohort	were female members of a health insurance for school and university employees. Spanish and Italian participants were recruited among blood		Q3 (40- < 60 g/day)	260	1.03 (0.85–1.24)	fruits, vegetables and smoking
donors, members of health insurance programs, employees of enterprises, civil servants and the general population. In Utrecht and Florence, participants in mammographic screening		Q4 (60- < 80 g/day)	188	1.04 (0.84–1.28)		
			Q5 (≥ 80 g/day)	228	1.01 (0.82–1.26)	
	programs were recruited. In Oxford, half of the cohort consisted of "health conscious" subjects from England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland, includings a high percentage of vegans, ovo-lacto vegetarians, fish eaters (consuming fish but no meat) and meat eaters. The cohorts of France, Norway, Utrecht and Naples include women only. <b>Exposure assessment method:</b> Questionnaire; Diet was assessed over the previous 12 months by structured questions regarding meals or food groups, individual average portions, or standard portion. The questionnaires were validated and calibrated with 24-hour dietary recall data Red meat is defined as beef, pork and mutton/lamb.		Trend-test p-value:	0.55		

### Table 2.0.1 Cohort studie d ath (web\_only) Dod

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
van Lonkhuijzen et al. (2011) Canada 1992–1998		Endometrium	Quartiles of red mea	Age, BMI, age at		
	universities, with a small portion of the cohort consisting of volunteers recruited through the		Q1: < 22.09	18	1	menarche, number of live births, breastfeeding, years of OC use, avg exercise/wk, Kcal intake/d, intake of cruciferous vegetables,
	Canadian Cancer Society Exposure assessment method:		Q2: 22.09-<35.41	26	1.3 (0.69–2.46)	
Cohort	<b>Exposure assessment method:</b> Questionnaire; quantitative food–frequency		Q3: 35.4 – < 52.15	28	1.32 (0.7–2.5)	
	questionnaire with 166 food items, average follow-up = 6.5 years for cases and 11.7 years for subcohort members. Red meat (beef, pork, veal and lamb).		Q4: ≥ 52.15	35	1.62 (0.86–3.08)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.13			menopausal status at baseline, HRT
Daniel et al. (2012b) US	<ul> <li>492 186/ 1,814 cases; US men and women, aged 50–71 y, residing in 6 states (California, Florida, Louisiana, New Jersey, North Carolina, and Pennsylvania) and 2 metropolitan areas (Atlanta, GA, and Detroit, MI).</li> <li>Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; The largest prospective study of renal cell carcinoma was based on the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study, validated 124-items FFQ.</li> <li>Red meat: beef, pork, hamburger, steak, and liver.</li> </ul>	Kidney: renal cell carcinoma C649	Quintiles of red mea g/1000kcal	t consumption,	(median values),	Age, sex, total energy intake, other types of
1995–1996 Cohort		,	Q1(6.8)	327	1	meat intake, education marital status, family history of cancer, race BMI, smoking status, history of diabetes, history of hypertension intakes of alcohol, frui and vegetables
			Q2 (15.3)	385	1.12 (0.96–1.31)	
			Q3 (22.7)	327	0.93 (0.78–1.09)	
			Q4 (31.6)	366	1.01 (0.86–1.2)	
			Q5 (48.1)	409	1.08 (0.92–1.28)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.99			-
		Kidney: renal cell carcinoma C649	Quintiles of heme in 100µg/1000kcal)	on intake (medi	an values,	Same as above
			Q1(48.1)	193	1	
			Q2 (100.9)	184	0.89 (0.72–1.09)	
			Q3 (151.3)	223	1.04 (0.86–1.27)	
			Q4 (212.7)	231	1.05 (0.86–1.28)	
			Q5 (336.0)	258	1.15 (0.94–1.4)	
			Trend-test p-value: (	0.03		

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
		Kidney: papillary cell carcinoma, ICD-O-3	Quntiles of red mea g/1000kcal)	t consumption (	median values,	Same as above
			Q1 (6.8)	21	1	
			Q2 (15.3)	22	1 (0.53–1.87)	
			Q3 (22.7)	15	0.72 (0.35-1.46)	
			Q4 (31.6)	26	1.33 (0.7–2.55)	
			Q5 (48.1)	31	1.79 (0.94–3.42)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.008		
			Quintiles of heme in 100µg/1000kcal)	ntiles of heme iron intake (median values, ug/1000kcal)		Same as above
			Q1 (48.1)	14	1	
			Q2 (100.9)	15	1.15 (0.55–2.4)	
			Q3 (151.3)	11	0.91 (0.4–1.99)	
			Q4 (212.7)	18	1.57 (0.76–3.26)	
			Q5 (336.0)	25	2.36 (1.16-4.83)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.003		

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
Daniel et al. (2012a) United States 1995–1996; 9 years of	492 186; Men and women from the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study aged 50–71 years, from 6 states (CA, FL, LA, NJ, NC, PA) and 2 metropolitan areas (Atlanta, GA and Detroit, MI) <b>Exposure assessment method:</b> Questionnaire; 124-item FFQ developed and validated by the National Cancer Institute. Red meat defined as all fresh: beef, pork, hamburger, steak, and liver.	NHL: Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (ICD-O-3)	Quintiles of red mea Q1 (median intake 6.8 g/1000 kcal)	tt consumption 716	1	Age, sex, education, family history of any cancer, race, BMI, smoking status, physical activity, intake of alcohol, intake of fruit, intake of vegetables, total energy, other meat
follow-up Cohort			Q2 (median intake 15.3 g/1000 kcal)	757	1.01 (0.91–1.12)	
			Q3 (median intake 22.7 g/1000 kcal)	747	0.99 (0.89–1.11)	
			Q4 (median intake 31.6 g/1000 kcal)	720	0.97 (0.86–1.09)	intake
			Q5 (median intake 48.1 g/1000 kcal)	671	0.93 (0.83–1.05)	
			Trend-test p-value: (	0.27		
Genkinger et al.	Västmanland County, born between 1917 and 1948. <b>Exposure assessment method:</b> Questionnaire; Validated 67-items FFQ at baseline 1987–1990 (60 895 women) and 96- item FFQ in 1997 (39 227 women). Red meat included hamburgers, meatballs, beef, pork, and veal.		Baseline red meat intake categories (g/wk)			Age, energy, BMI,
(2012) Sweden			< 100	27	1	parity, education.
1987–1990, follow-up			100 to > 200	62	0.89 (0.57–1.4)	
21 years Cohort			200 to < 300	136	1.07 (0.71–1.62)	
			300 to < 400	162	1.1 (0.73–1.65)	
			400 to < 500	146	1.26 (0.83–1.91)	
			500 to < 600	94	1.32 (0.85–2.04)	
			$\geq 600$	91	1.06 (0.68–1.66)	
	Total liver included liver, liver pate and kidney.		Trend-test p-value: 0.11			
		Endometrium	Baseline liver intake	e categories, g/w	veek (median)	Same as above
			< 100 (17.00)	577	1	
			≥ 100 (121.57)	130	1.29 (1.06–1.56)	

Trend-test p-value: 0.01

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
		Endometrium	Quartiles of heme ir	an, mg/day)	Age, energy, BMI,	
			Q1: 0.49	168	1	parity, education, smoking, menopausal status, physical activity, OC use.
			Q2: 0.90	161	1.05 (0.85–1.3)	
			Q3: 1.37	193	1.12 (0.91–1.39)	
			Q4: 2.04	198	1.24 (1.01–1.53)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.03		
Arem et al. (2013) USA 1995–1996; mean follow-up 9.3 years	111 356 analytic cohort/ 1,486 cases; Women aged 50–71 years satisfactorily completed mailed questionnaires in 1995–1996 <b>Exposure assessment method:</b> Questionnaire; Based on the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study, validated 124-items FFQ. Red meat: beef, pork, hamburger, steak, and liver.		Quintiles of daily red meat intake (g/1000kcal, mean)			Age; BMI; smoking
			Q1: 7.1	271	1	status; continuous tot energy intake; mutual adjusted for other me intake; age at menarche; age at first child's birth, parity; age at menopause; H use; OC use; diabetes
			Q2: 17.2	265	0.9 (0.76–1.07)	
Cohort			Q3: 25.9	295	0.97 (0.82–1.14)	
			Q4: 36.2	271	0.85 (0.72–1.01)	
			Q5: 58.5	302	0.91 (0.77–1.08)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.45		and physical activity.	
edirko et al. (2013)	477 206 (142 194 men and 335 012 women);	Liver: hepatocellular carcinoma (ICD10)	Quartiles of red meat consumption (g/day)			Non-alcohol energy,
Europe Enrollment 1992–	Participants of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)		Q1 (0–16.6 g/day)	21	1	baseline alcohol intake intake of other types of meat (processed meat, poultry and total fish), smoking status, sex- specific physical activity, self-reported
2000; mean follow-up ime 11.4 years			Q2 (16.6–36.1 g/day)	50	1.7 (0.98–2.94)	
Cohort			Q3 (36.1–63.4 g/day)	58	1.62 (0.93–2.84)	
			Q4 (> 63.4 g/day) 62 1.25 (0.68–2.27)		diabetes status, life- time alcohol intake	
		rticipants were consisted gland,	Trend-test p-value:	0.95		pattern, continuous measures of body ma index, baseline intake of coffee, baseline intake of dietary fibre

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
	a high percentage of vegans, ovo-lacto vegetarians, fish eaters, and meat eaters. The	Liver: hepatocellular	Red meat intake as c	Same as above		
	cohorts of France, Norway, Utrecht and Naples include women only. <b>Exposure assessment method:</b> Questionnaire; Red meat: all fresh, minced, and frozen beef, veal, pork, mutton, lamb, horse and goat.	carcinoma (ICD10)	Red meat consumption per 10 g/day	191	1.01 (0.96–1.05)	
(2014) Europe, multicentre (Denmark, France, Greece, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Spain,	age 35–70 y at recruitment, without cancer history <b>Exposure assessment method:</b> Questionnaire; Standardized lifestyle and personal history questionnaires and anthropometric data were collected from most participants. Diet over the previous 12 months measured at recruitment by validated country-specific questionnaires designed to ensure high compliance and better measures of local dietary habits.	Leukaemia: All	Quintiles of red meat intake (g/day)			BMI, education,
		leukaemia	Q1: 0-12.10	118	1	smoking, alcohol consumption, physica activity, total energy
			Q2: 12.1–26.8	151	1.09 (0.85–1.41)	
			Q3: 26.84-44.56	163	1.11 (0.85–1.44)	intake
			Q4: 44.57–70.37	155	1.02 (0.77–1.34)	
Sweden, United Kingdom)			Q5: 70.38–722.2	186	1.09 (0.81–1.46)	
Enrollment: 1992– 2000. Follow-up: end of 2010			Calibrated HR per 50 g/day	773	0.98 (0.85–1.09)	
Cohort			Trend-test p-value: (	).83		
	Red meat not defined.	Leukaemia	Quintiles of red meat intake (g/day)			Same as above
		(Myeloid): Myeloid leukaemia	Q1: 0-12.10	54	1	
			Q2: 12.1–26.8	68	1.14 (0.78–1.68)	
			Q3: 26.84-44.56	84	1.34 (0.91–1.96)	
			Q4: 44.57–70.37	53	0.84 (0.54–1.29)	
			Q5: 70.38–722.2	83	1.27 (0.82–1.97)	
			Calibrated HR per 50 g/day	342	1.06 (0.76–1.49)	
			Trend-test p-value: (	).74		
		Leukaemia	Quintiles of red mea	t intake (g/day)		Same as above

Reference, location nrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
		(Lymphoid):	Q1: 0-12.10	56	1	
		Lymphoid leukaemia	Q2: 12.1–26.8	67	0.98 (0.66–1.47)	
			Q3: 26.84–44.56	72	0.98 (0.65–1.48)	
			Q4: 44.57–70.37	90	1.1 (0.73–1.69)	
			Q5: 70.38-722.2	88	0.96 (0.61–1.51)	
		Leukaemia: Acute myeloid leukaemia (AML)	Calibrated HR per 50 g/day	373	0.89 (0.65–1.22)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.95		
			Quartiles of red mea	at intake (g/day)		Same as above
			Q1: 0–16.0	42	1	
			Q2: 16.1–34.7	45	0.99 (0.63–1.55)	
			Q3: 34.8–63.04	46	1.05 (0.65–1.7)	
			Q4: 63.05-722.2	54	1.1 (0.66–1.84)	
			Calibrated HR per 50 g/day	187	1.01 (0.63–1.62)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.68		
		Leukaemia: Chronic	Quartiles of red mea	at intake (g/day)		Same as above
		myeloid leukaemia (CML)	Q1: 0–16.0	21	1	
			Q2: 16.1–34.7	27	1.13 (0.61–2.11)	
			Q3: 34.8–63.04	16	0.68 (0.32–1.44)	
			Q4: 63.05-722.2	16	0.76 (0.33–1.73)	
			Calibrated HR per 50 g/day	80	1.22 (0.59–2.52)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.21		

Table 2.9.1 Coho	rt studies: Red meat and other cancers (	(web-only)				
Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
		Leukaemia: Chronic	Quartiles of red mea	at intake (g/day)		Same as above
		lymphoid leukaemia (CLL)	Q1: 0–16.0	61	1	
		(,	Q2: 16.1–34.7	85	1.2 (0.84–1.7)	
			Q3: 34.8–63.04	82	0.99 (0.68–1.46)	
			Q4: 63.05-722.2	105	1.01 (0.67–1.53)	
			Calibrated HR per 50 g/day	333	0.93 (0.67–1.29)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.77		
Rohrmann et al.	477 231/691 cases; In most centres, the participants were recruited from the general population. However, French participants were	Kidney: renal cell cancer O-2	Red meat consumption categories (g/day)			Adjusted for age,
(2015) Europe			0–9.9 g/day	44	1	centre, sex (if appropriate), education BMI, history of hypertension, smokin status, duration of
1992–2008	female members of a health insurance for school		10–19.9	82	1.35 (0.92–1.97)	
Cohort	and university employees. Spanish and Italian participants were recruited among blood donors,		20–39.9	189	1.38 (0.98–1.95)	
	members of several health insurance programs, employees of several enterprises, civil servants, but also the general population. In Utrecht and Florence, participants in mammographic screening programs were recruited for the study. In Oxford, half of the cohort consisted of "health conscious" subjects from England, Wales,		40–79.9	238	1.38 (0.97–1.96)	smoking, energy intak from fat sources,
			80+	138	1.46 (0.99–2.15)	energy intake from
:			Trend-test p-value:	alue: 0.13		non-fat sources, alcoh consumption, fruit consumption, vegetab consumption.
	Scotland and Northern Ireland, which includes a high percentage of vegans, ovo-lacto vegetarians,	Kidney: renal cell	Red meat consumption among men (g/day)			Same as above
	fish eaters (consuming fish but no meat), and	cancer O-2	0–9.9 g/day	22	1	
	meat eaters. The cohorts of France, Norway, Utrecht and Naples include women only. Exposure assessment method:		10–19.9	43	1.48 (0.86–2.53)	
			20–39.9	95	1.2 (0.73–1.96)	
	Questionnaire; Men and women were recruited between 1992 and 2000 and follow-up to		40–79.9	129	1.1 (0.67–1.8)	
	December 2008, meat consumption was assessed at baseline using validated country-specific		80+	99	1.12 (0.66–1.91)	
	FFQs.		Trend-test p-value:	0.61		

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
	Red meat: beef, pork, mutton/lamb, horse, goat.	Kidney: renal cell	Red meat consumpt	ion among worr	nen (g/day)	Same as above
		cancer O-2	0–9.9 g/day	22	1	
			10–19.9	39	1.25 (0.73–2.14)	
			20–39.9	94	1.6 (0.99–2.6)	
			40–79.9	109	1.8 (1.1–2.96)	
			80+	39	2.03 (1.14-3.63)	
			Trend-test p-value:	0.01		

### Table 2.9.1 Cohort studies: Red meat and other cancers (web-only)

## References

- Arem H, Gunter MJ, Cross AJ, Hollenbeck AR, Sinha R (2013). A prospective investigation of fish, meat and cooking-related carcinogens with endometrial cancer incidence. Br J Cancer. 109(3):756–60. PMID:23695021 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2013.252
- Bertone ER, Rosner BA, Hunter DJ, Stampfer MJ, Speizer FE, Colditz GA, et al. (2002). Dietary fat intake and ovarian cancer in a cohort of US women. Am J Epidemiol. 156(1):22–31. PMID:12076885 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwf008
- Chiu BC, Cerhan JR, Folsom AR, Sellers TA, Kushi LH, Wallace RB, et al. (1996). Diet and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in older women. JAMA. 275(17):1315–21. PMID:8614116 http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.1996.03530410029029
- Cross AJ, Leitzmann MF, Gail MH, Hollenbeck AR, Schatzkin A, Sinha R (2007). A prospective study of red and processed meat intake in relation to cancer risk. PLoS Med. 4(12):e325. PMID:18076279 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0040325
- Daniel CR, Cross AJ, Graubard BI, Park Y, Ward MH, Rothman N, et al. (2012b). Large prospective investigation of meat intake, related mutagens, and risk of renal cell carcinoma. Am J Clin Nutr. 95(1):155–62. PMID:22170360 http://dx.doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.111.019364
- Daniel CR, Sinha R, Park Y, Graubard BI, Hollenbeck AR, Morton LM, et al. (2012a). Meat intake is not associated with risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in a large prospective cohort of U.S. men and women. J Nutr. 142(6):1074–80. PMID:22535761 http://dx.doi.org/10.3945/jn.112.158113
- Fedirko V, Trichopolou A, Bamia C, Duarte-Salles T, Trepo E, Aleksandrova K, et al. (2013). Consumption of fish and meats and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma: the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). Ann Oncol. 24(8):2166–73. PMID:23670094 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdt168
- Genkinger JM, Friberg E, Goldbohm RA, Wolk A (2012). Long-term dietary heme iron and red meat intake in relation to endometrial cancer risk. Am J Clin Nutr. 96(4):848–54. PMID:22952183 http://dx.doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.112.039537
- Gilsing AM, Weijenberg MP, Goldbohm RA, van den Brandt PA, Schouten LJ (2011). Consumption of dietary fat and meat and risk of ovarian cancer in the Netherlands Cohort Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 93(1):118–26. PMID:21068347 http://dx.doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2010.29888

- Jakszyn P, González CA, Luján-Barroso L, Ros MM, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Roswall N, et al. (2011). Red meat, dietary nitrosamines, and heme iron and risk of bladder cancer in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 20(3):555–9. PMID:21239687 http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-0971
- Kabat GC, Miller AB, Jain M, Rohan TE (2008). Dietary iron and haem iron intake and risk of endometrial cancer: a prospective cohort study. Br J Cancer. 98(1):194–8. PMID:18059399 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6604110
- Larsson SC, Johansson JE, Andersson SO, Wolk A (2009). Meat intake and bladder cancer risk in a Swedish prospective cohort. Cancer Causes Control. 20(1):35–40. PMID:18704711 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10552-008-9214-x
- Larsson SC, Wolk A (2005). No association of meat, fish, and egg consumption with ovarian cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 14(4):1024–5. PMID:15824185 http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-04-0795
- Lee JE, Spiegelman D, Hunter DJ, Albanes D, Bernstein L, van den Brandt PA, et al. (2008). Fat, protein, and meat consumption and renal cell cancer risk: a pooled analysis of 13 prospective studies. J Natl Cancer Inst. 100(23):1695–706. PMID:19033572 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djn386
- Michaud DS, Holick CN, Giovannucci E, Stampfer MJ (2006). Meat intake and bladder cancer risk in 2 prospective cohort studies. Am J Clin Nutr. 84(5):1177–83. PMID:17093172 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/84.5.1177
- Rohrmann S, Linseisen J, Jakobsen MU, Overvad K, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Tjonneland A, et al. (2011). Consumption of meat and dairy and lymphoma risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. Int J Cancer. 128(3):623–34. PMID:20473877 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijc.25387
- Rohrmann S, Linseisen J, Overvad K, Lund Würtz AM, Roswall N, Tjonneland A, et al. (2015). Meat and fish consumption and the risk of renal cell carcinoma in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. Int J Cancer. 136(5):E423–31. PMID:25258006 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29236
- Saberi Hosnijeh F, Peeters P, Romieu I, Kelly R, Riboli E, Olsen A, et al. (2014). Dietary intakes and risk of lymphoid and myeloid leukemia in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). Nutr Cancer. 66(1):14–28. PMID:24279598 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2014.847471
- Schulz M, Nöthlings U, Allen N, Onland-Moret NC, Agnoli C, Engeset D, et al. (2007). No association of consumption of animal foods with risk of ovarian cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 16(4):852–5. PMID:17416784 http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-0054
- Tsai HT, Cross AJ, Graubard BI, Oken M, Schatzkin A, Caporaso NE (2010). Dietary factors and risk of chronic lymphocytic leukemia and small lymphocytic lymphoma: a pooled analysis of two prospective studies. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 19(10):2680–4. PMID:20929883 http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-0585
- van Lonkhuijzen L, Kirsh VA, Kreiger N, Rohan TE (2011). Endometrial cancer and meat consumption: a case-cohort study. Eur J Cancer Prev. 20(4):334–9. PMID:21422932 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CEJ.0b013e328344747c
- Zhang S, Hunter DJ, Rosner BA, Colditz GA, Fuchs CS, Speizer FE, et al. (1999). Dietary fat and protein in relation to risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma among women. J Natl Cancer Inst. 91(20):1751–8. PMID:10528026 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jnci/91.20.1751
- Zheng W, Kushi LH, Potter JD, Sellers TA, Doyle TJ, Bostick RM, et al. (1995). Dietary intake of energy and animal foods and endometrial cancer incidence. The Iowa women's health study. Am J Epidemiol. 142(4):388–94. PMID:7625403 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a117646