



VOLUME 114

This publication represents the views and expert opinions of an IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, which met in Lyon, 6–13 October 2015

LYON, FRANCE - 2018

IARC MONOGRAPHS
ON THE EVALUATION
OF CARCINOGENIC RISKS
TO HUMANS





Table 2.4.4 Case-control studies: Processed meat and cancer of the pancreas (web only)

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
Mizuno et al. (1992) Japan 1989–1990	Cases: 124; From 7 hospitals Controls: 124; Sex-age-matched patients with benign disease Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; Questionnaire covering preceding diseases, smoking, alcohol, and diet; validation not mentioned.	Pancreas: pancreas	Ham/sausage (frequency)			Age and sex
			≥ 3 times/week	23	1.05 (0.54–2.04)	-
Lyon et al. (1993)	Cases: 149; Utah Cancer Registry Controls: 363; Random digit-dialing and Health Care Financing records Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; 32 item FFQ. Red meat included beef & pork	Pancreas	Nitrated meats			Age, smoking, coffee,
Utah, USA 1984–1987			Men: Low	15	1	alcohol
			Medium	17	1.28 (0.56–2.91)	
			High	55	2.77 (1.34–5.72)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.0002			
		Pancreas	Nitrated meats			Same as above
			Women: Low	16	1	
			Medium	19	0.85 (0.39–1.88)	
			High	24	1.08 (0.48–2.42)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.15			
Ohba et al. (1996) Japan 1987–1992	Cases: 141; From Sapporo Medial University & affiliated hospitals Controls: 282; From telephone books; matched for sex, age, and residence Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; FFQ administered to cases in person and mailed to controls, supported by telephone interview.	Pancreas	Ham/sausage (frequency)			None
			> 3 times/wk	NR	0.89 (0.44–1.77)	

Table 2.4.4 Case-control studies: Processed meat and cancer of the pancreas (web only)

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
Soler et al. (1998) Italy 1983–1992	Cases: 362; Hospital patients with incident cancer Controls: 1552; Hospital-based controls for acute, non-neoplastic diseases. Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; Interview with a structured questionnaire. Validity not reported.	Pancreas	Ham and sausages (frequency of consumption)			Age, sex, education,
			Low	122	1	tobacco consumption, and area of residence
			Intermediate	100	1.24 (0.92–1.68)	
			High	140	1.64 (1.24–2.18)	
Chan et al. (2007) USA 1995–1999	Cases: 532; Northern California Cancer Center, age 21–85 years Controls: 1701; General population by random digit dialling, matched by age & sex Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; Validated 131-item food- frequency questionnaire.	Pancreas	Sausage, kielbasa, etc. (2 oz. [~579] frequency)			Age, sex, total energy
			< 1/month	258	1	intake, BMI, race, education, smoking, diabetes
			1–3/month	141	1.2 (1–1.6)	
			1/week	48	1 (0.7–1.5)	
			≥ 2/week	79	1.8 (1.3–2.6)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.003			
		Pancreas	Salami, bologna, other processed meat sandwiches (1 whole; frequency)			Same as above
			< 1/month	145	1	
			1–3/month	70	1.6 (1.2–2.3)	
			1/week	49	2.3 (1.5–3.5)	
			≥ 2/week	49	1.9 (1.3–3)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.			

Table 2.4.4 Case-control studies: Processed meat and cancer of the pancreas (web only)

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
		Pancreas	Bacon (2 slices; frequency)		Same as above	
			< 1/month	228	1	
			1–3/month	111	0.9 (0.7–1.2)	
			1/week	92	1.1 (0.8–1.4)	
			2–4/week	74	1.3 (0.9–1.9)	
			≥ 4/week	21	1.9 (1–3.5)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.04			
		Pancreas	Beef or pork hotdogs (1 whole; frequency)		ncy)	Same as above
			< 1/month	302	1	
			1–3/month	137	1 (0.7–1.2)	
			≥ 1/week	87	1.1 (0.8–1.4)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.9			
		Pancreas	Chicken or turkey hotdogs (1 whole; frequency)		Same as above	
			< 1/month	261	1	
			≥ 1/month	52	0.6 (0.4–0.9)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.008			
Hu et al. (2008) Canada 1994–1997	Cases: 628; Age 20–76 yr from provincial cancer registries Controls: 5039; Random sample within provinces, frequency matched by age & sex Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; Block FFQ short version (69 items)	Pancreas	Processed meat (servings/week)			Age, province,
			Q1	NR	1	education. BMI, sex, alcohol use, smoking, total of vegetable and fruit intake, and total energy intake
			Q2	NR	1.1 (0.8–1.4)	
			Q3	NR	1.3 (1–1.8)	
			Q4	NR	1.4 (1–1.9)	
			Trend-test p-value: 0.01			

References

- Chan JM, Wang F, Holly EA (2007). Pancreatic cancer, animal protein and dietary fat in a population-based study, San Francisco Bay Area, California. Cancer Causes Control. 18(10):1153–67. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10552-007-9054-0 PMID:17805983
- Hu J, La Vecchia C, DesMeules M, Negri E, Mery L, Group CCRE; Canadian Cancer Registries Epidemiology Research Group (2008). Meat and fish consumption and cancer in Canada. Nutr Cancer. 60(3):313–24. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01635580701759724 PMID:18444165
- Lyon JL, Slattery ML, Mahoney AW, Robison LM (1993). Dietary intake as a risk factor for cancer of the exocrine pancreas. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2(6):513–8. PMID:8268766
- Mizuno S, Watanabe S, Nakamura K, Omata M, Oguchi H, Ohashi K, et al. (1992). A multi-institute case-control study on the risk factors of developing pancreatic cancer. Jpn J Clin Oncol. 22(4):286–91. PMID:1434027
- Ohba S, Nishi M, Miyake H (1996). Eating habits and pancreas cancer. Int J Pancreatol. 20(1):37–42. PMID:8872522
- Soler M, Chatenoud L, La Vecchia C, Franceschi S, Negri E (1998). Diet, alcohol, coffee and pancreatic cancer: final results from an Italian study. Eur J Cancer Prev. 7(6):455–60. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00008469-199812000-00005 PMID:9926293