

Table 2.7.2 Cohort studies: Processed meat and cancer of the lung (web only)

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	
Breslow et al. (2000) US Initial interview in 1987 and matched to mortality data through to 31 December 1995	20 195 individuals; Households eligible for the National Health Interview Survey in 1987 Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire	Lung	Processed meats (servings/week)			Age, gender, smoking duration (years), packs per day smoked	
			0–0.5	54	1		
			0.5–1.2	36	0.8 (0.5–1.3)		
			1.2–2.9	34	1 (0.6–1.6)		
			> 3.0	34	0.8 (0.5–1.4)		
			Trend-test p-value: 0.721				
Tasevska et al. (2009) US 1995–2003	278 380 men and 189 596 women; NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study: men and women aged 50–71 y from 8 US states Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; Self-administered semiquantitative 124-item FFQ. Meat-cooking module in a second FFQ 6 months after baseline	Lung	Processed meat (g/1000 kcal)			BMI, Smoking, race, education, physical activity, intake of alcohol, energy-adjusted vegetable and fruit servings, saturated fat	
			Men:				
			Q1: ≤ 4.0	NR	1		
			Q2: > 4.0 ≤ 7.3	NR	1.17 (1.05–1.31)		
			Q3: < 7.3 ≤ 11.4	NR	1.13 (1.01–1.26)		
		Q4: > 11.4 ≤ 18.2	NR	1.16 (1.04–1.29)			
		Q5: > 18.2	NR	1.23 (1.1–1.37)			
					Trend-test p-value: 0.003		
		Lung	Processed meat (g/1000 kcal)			Same as above	
			Women:				
Q1: ≤ 2.3	NR		1				
Q2: > 2.3 ≤ 4.5	NR		0.89 (0.77–1.03)				
Q3: < 4.5 ≤ 7.3	NR		1.05 (0.91–1.2)				
Q4: < 7.3 ≤ 12.5	NR	0.95 (0.82–1.1)					
Q5: > 12.5	NR	1 (0.87–1.15)					
			Trend-test p-value: 0.58				
Lung	Processed meat (g/1000 kcal)	Men:			Same as above		
			Never smokers: 90th percentile	137		1.06 (0.69–1.64)	

Table 2.7.2 Cohort studies: Processed meat and cancer of the lung (web only)

Reference, location enrolment/follow-up period, study design	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled
			compared to 10th percentile Trend-test p-value: 0.79			
		Lung	Red meat (g/1000 kcal) Women: Never smokers: 90th percentile compared to 10th percentile Trend-test p-value: 0.55	166	0.89 (0.62–1.29)	Same as above
Linseisen et al. (2011) Europe enrollment early 1900s	142 602 men, 335 825 women; EPIC: men and women age 25–70 in 10 European countries, Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; self-administered FFQ, 300–350 items. 24-hour recalls or 7-day diaries in subcohorts	Lung: ICD-O C34	Continuous model per 50 g: processed meat	NR	1.13 (0.95–1.34)	Age, sex, centre, smoking, body weight, height, energy intake, alcohol, fruits and vegetables, physical activity, education
Tasevska et al. (2011) USA 1993–2006	48 229 men and 51 350 women; PLCO Cancer Screening Trial: volunteers aged 55–74 years Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; Self-administered semiquantitative 124-item FFQ	Lung: 34.0–34.9	Men processed meat g/1000 kcal, 2 vs 1 Same 3 vs 1 Same 4 vs 1 Same 5 vs 1 Women processed meat g/1000 kcal, 2 vs 1 Same 3 vs 1 Same 4 vs 1 Same 5 vs 1	NR NR NR NR NR NR NR NR	0.85 (0.62–1.18) 1.04 (0.76–1.41) 0.97 (0.7–1.33) 1.12 (0.83–1.53) 1.22 (0.86–1.73) 1.09 (0.76–1.57) 0.83 (0.56–1.22) 0.98 (0.68–1.41)	Age, detailed smoking history, race, education, total energy intake, fruits and vegetables, fats, alcohol

References

- Breslow RA, Graubard BI, Sinha R, Subar AF (2000). Diet and lung cancer mortality: a 1987 National Health Interview Survey cohort study. *Cancer Causes Control*. 11(5):419–31. PMID:10877335 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1008996208313>
- Linseisen J, Rohrmann S, Bueno-de-Mesquita B, Büchner FL, Boshuizen HC, Agudo A, et al. (2011). Consumption of meat and fish and risk of lung cancer: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *Cancer Causes Control*. 22(6):909–18. PMID:21479828 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10552-011-9764-1>
- Tasevska N, Cross AJ, Dodd KW, Ziegler RG, Caporaso NE, Sinha R (2011). No effect of meat, meat cooking preferences, meat mutagens or heme iron on lung cancer risk in the prostate, lung, colorectal and ovarian cancer screening trial. *Int J Cancer*. 128(2):402–11. PMID:20232386 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijc.25327>
- Tasevska N, Sinha R, Kipnis V, Subar AF, Leitzmann MF, Hollenbeck AR, et al. (2009). A prospective study of meat, cooking methods, meat mutagens, heme iron, and lung cancer risks. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 89(6):1884–94. PMID:19369370 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2008.27272>