Table 2.15 Case-control studies on other cancers and welding/welding fumes (web only)

Reference, location, enrolment/follow- up period	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/ deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Magnani et al. (1987) United Kingdom, 3 English counties 1959–1963; 1965–1979	Cases: 99; The cases were men aged 18–54 and resident in the study area who died from one of the five cancers under investigation oesophagus, pancreas, cutaneous melanoma, kidney, and brain Controls: 361; Each case was assigned two controls who had died in the same year from other causes and who were matched to the case for sex, county of residence, and as closely as possible for age at death. Exposure assessment method: Expert judgement	Malignant melanoma: skin	Welding fumes	NR	0.8 (0.4–1.7)	None	
Siemiatycki (1991) Canada, Montreal 1979–1985	Cases: Stomach/ga 251; male residents of the Montreal metropolitan area with histologically confirmed incident stomach cancer, age 35–70	Stomach/gastric cancer	Welders and flame cutters (any)	5	0.7 (0.3–1.6)	Age, family income, cigarette index, birthplace	Strengths: expert assessment Limitations: cancer controls
			Arc welding fumes (any)	27	0.9 (0.6–1.3)		
Controls: 2397; study other cance Exposure a method:	Controls: 2397; study subjects with other cancers		Arc welding fumes (substantial)	11	1.2 (0.7–2.1)		
	Exposure assessment method: Expert judgement	nethod:	Gas welding fumes (any)	26	0.9 (0.6–1.3)		
			Gas welding fumes (substantial)	9	0.8 (0.5–1.5)		

Table 2.15 Case-control studies on other cancers and welding/welding fumes (web only)

Reference, location, enrolment/follow- up period	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/ deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Siemiatycki (1991) Canada, Montreal 1979–1985	Cases: 497 colon + 257 rectum; male residents of the	Colon	Welders and flame cutters (any)	6	0.5 (0.3–1.1)	Age, family income, cigarette index, ethnic origin, beer index	Strengths: expert assessment Limitations: cancer controls
	Montreal metropolitan area with histologically confirmed incident colon		Arc welding fumes (any)	53	1 (0.8–1.3)		
cancer $(n = 497)$ and rectal cancer $(n = 257)$, age 35– 70 Controls: 2056; study subjects with	70		Arc welding fumes (substantial)	14	0.8 (0.5–1.3)		
			Gas welding fumes (any)	50	1 (0.7–1.3)		
	Exposure assessment method: Expert judgement		Gas welding fumes (substantial)	20	1.1 (0.7–1.6)		
		Rectum	Welders and flame cutters (any)	8	1 (0.5–2)	Age, family income, cigarette index, ethnic origin, beer index	
			Arc welding fumes (any)	31	0.9 (0.7–1.3)		
			Arc welding fumes (substantial)	7	0.7 (0.4–1.4)		
			Gas welding fumes (any)	29	0.9 (0.6–1.3)		
			Gas welding fumes (substantial)	9	0.7 (0.4–1.2)		

Table 2.15 Case-control studies on other cancers and welding/welding fumes (web only)

Reference, location, enrolment/follow- up period	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/ deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Siemiatycki (1991) Canada, Montreal	Cases: 449; Male residents of the Montreal metropolitan area	Prostate	Welders and flame cutters (any)	13	1.1 (0.6–1.8)	Age, family income, cigarette index, ethnic origin, BMI, type or	Strengths: expert assessment Limitations: cancer
	with histologically confirmed incident prostate cancer, age 25–70 Controls:		Welders and flame cutters (substantial)	9	1.9 (0.9–4)	respondent	controls
1550; study subjects with other cancers Exposure assessment method: Expert judgement	other cancers		Arc welding fumes (any)	60	1.2 (0.9–1.5)		
	method:		Arc welding fumes (substantial)	23	1.7 (1–2.6)		
			Gas welding fumes (any)	58	1.2 (0.9–1.5)		
			Gas welding fumes (substantial)	23	1.4 (0.9–2.1)		
Siemiatycki (1991) Canada, Montreal 1979–1985	Cases: 103; Male residents of the Montreal metropolitan area with histologically confirmed incident melanoma, age 35–70 Controls: 2525; study subjects with other cancers Exposure assessment method: Expert judgement	Malignant melanoma: skin	Welders and flame cutters (any)	1	0.6 (0.1–3.1)	Age, family income, cigarette index, ethnic origin	Strengths: expert assessment Limitations: cancer controls

Table 2.15 Case-control studies on other cancers and welding/welding fumes (web only)

registration

Reference, location, enrolment/follow- up period	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/ deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Kauppinen et al. (1992) Finland 1971–1981	Cases: 344; primary liver cancer Controls: 861; two groups hospital- based and population- based, frequency-matched	Liver/hepatocellular carcinoma	Welding fumes (all) Welding fumes (moderate)	6	1.38 (0.52–3.64)	Alcohol consumption	
	for age and sex with the case group Exposure assessment method: Expert judgement		Welding fume (heavy)	5	13.4 (2.02–88.1)		
Keller & Howe (1993) USA, Illinois 1986–1989	Cases: 1341; newly diagnosed male stomach cancer cases reported in Illinois by Illinois hospitals. Controls: 4331; random sample of approximately 10% of all other cancers Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; job title recorded at cancer	Stomach/gastric cancer	Men: Welder	NR	2.11 (1.09–4.09)	Age, history of tobacco use	This study reports on multiple cancer sites Limitations: only welders within the construction industry are selected in the exposed group. It is unclear how many welders (outside of the construction industry) are categorized as unexposed

Table 2.15 Case-control studies on other cancers and welding/welding fumes (web only)

Reference, location, enrolment/follow- up period	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/ deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Keller & Howe (1993) USA, Illinois 1986–1989	Cases: 4814; newly diagnosed male colon cancer cases reported in Illinois by Illinois hospitals. Controls: 4087; random sample of approximately 10% of all other cancers Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; job title recorded at cancer registration	Colon	Men: Welder	NR	0.54 (0.29–1)	Age, history of tobacco use	This study reports on multiple cancer sites Limitations: only welders within the construction industry are selected in the exposed group. It is unclear how many welders (outside of the construction industry) are categorized as unexposed
Keller & Howe (1993) USA, Illinois 1986–1989	Cases: 7800; newly diagnosed male prostate cancer cases reported in Illinois by Illinois hospitals. Controls: 3638; random sample of approximately 10% of all other cancers Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; job title recorded at cancer registration	Prostate	Men: Welder	NR	1 (0.61–1.64)	Age, history of tobacco use	This study reports on multiple cancer sites Limitations: only welders within the construction industry are selected in the exposed group. It is unclear how many welders (outside of the construction industry) are categorized as unexposed

Table 2.15 Case-control studies on other cancers and welding/welding fumes (web only)

Reference, location, enrolment/follow- up period	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/ deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
van der Gulden et al. (1995) 345; histologically confirmed prostate cancer, from cancer registry Controls: 1346; men diagnosed with benign prostate hyperplasia were selected from the	345; histologically confirmed prostate cancer,	Prostate	Men: Welder (longest held occupation)	4	1.51 (0.48–4.78)	Age	
		Welding fumes (sometimes or frequently)	64	0.91 (0.67–1.24)			
	National Computerized Archive of Pathology Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire; mailed questionnaire on work history with additional questions for farmers, metal workers, repairmen, mechanics		Welding fumes (frequently)	22	1.19 (0.73–1.95)		
Kaerlev et al. (2000) Denmark, Sweden,	Cases: 79; incident cases aged 35–	Small intestine: small bowel	Welders and flame cutters	6	2.6 (1–6.4)	Sex, country, year of birth	
France, Germany, Italy, Spain 1995–1997	y, Spain adenocarcinoma.	adenocarcinoma	Welders and flame cutters (1–5 years)	1	2.8 (0.3–23.8)		
controls (only Spain) and	controls (only Spain) and 2070 population controls (all other countries)		Welders and flame cutters (> 5 years)	3	4.6 (1.3–16.6)		
	method:		Trend-test P va	lue: 0.01			

Table 2.15 Case-control studies on other cancers and welding/welding fumes (web only)

Reference, location, enrolment/follow- up period	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/ deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
random digit dialling, for those under 65 years of a and from Health Care Financing Administration records for those 65 year of age or older. Exposure assessment method:	542; 283 esophageal adenocarcinomas, 259	Stomach/gastric cancer: Gastric cardia adenocarcinoma	Welders, solderers (ever)	10	2 (0.8–5.2)	Age, sex, race, study centre, respondent type, smoking, BMI	
	adenocarcinomas Controls:		Welders, solderers (1–9 years)	9	3 (1.1–8.5)		
			Welders, solderers (> 9 years)	1			
	records for those 65 years of age or older. Exposure assessment	Stomach/gastric cancer: Gastric noncardia adenocarcinoma	Welders, solderers	7	0.8 (0.3–2.3)	Age, sex, race, study centre, respondent type, smoking, BMI	
Walschaerts et al. (2007)	Cases: 229; men diagnosed with a	Testis	Welding	20	2.84 (1.51–5.35)	Age, duration of exposure	
France (5 cities) 2002–2005	testicular germ-cell tumour age 20–45 at diagnosis Controls: 800; partners from pregnant women recruited from the same hospitals with no history of testicular germ-cell tumour Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire	Testis	Welding	20	1.49 (0.53–4.15)	Age, environmental exposures, occupational exposures, reproductive health history, duration of exposure	

Table 2.15 Case-control studies on other cancers and welding/welding fumes (web only)

Reference, location, enrolment/follow- up period	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/ deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Fang et al. (2011) Canada, British Columbia 1983–1987	Cases: 1155; male cancer patients aged 20 years and older ascertained by the population-based British Columbia Cancer Registry Controls: 7552; other cancers (excluding lung, rectum, unknown primary site), matched on age and year of diagnosis Exposure assessment method: Questionnaire	Colon	Welding and flame cutting (ever) Welding and flame cutting (usual)	7	0.99 (0.69–1.42) 0.49 (0.22–1.09)	None	Strengths: large size Limitations: the study uses cancer controls

Table 2.15 Case-control studies on other cancers and welding/welding fumes (web only)

Reference, location, enrolment/follow- up period	Population size, description, exposure assessment method	Organ site	Exposure category or level	Exposed cases/ deaths	Risk estimate (95% CI)	Covariates controlled	Comments
Controls:	1937; incident prostate cancer cases age ≤ 75 years Controls: 1994; population controls from electoral roll,	Prostate	Welder and flame cutting (ever)	50	0.97 (0.62–1.5)	Age, first-degree family history of prostate cancer,	
			Welder and flame cutting (< 10 yr)	23	1.05 (0.55–2.02)	ancestry, screening for prostate cancer, annual household income, highest level	
	by age Exposure assessment method:		Welder and flame cutting (10+ yr)	27	0.91 (0.51–1.62)	of education attained, level of physical activity, alcohol	
	Questionnaire	estionnaire	Arc welder (ever)	23	0.98 (0.52–1.85)	intake, body mass index	
			Arc welder (< 10 yr)	15	1.15 (0.51–2.59)		
			Arc welder (10+ yr)	8	0.75 (0.26–2.11)		
			Gas welder (ever)	3	0.46 (0.11–1.89)		
			Gas welder (< 10 yr)	1	0.56 (0.05–6.14)		
	u CI confidence interval ND		Gas welder (10+ yr)	2	0.41 (0.07–2.35)		

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; NR, not reported; yr, year

References

- Engel LS, Vaughan TL, Gammon MD, Chow WH, Risch HA, Dubrow R, et al. (2002). Occupation and risk of esophageal and gastric cardia adenocarcinoma. Am J Ind Med. 42(1):11–22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajim.10077 PMID:12111686
- Fang R, Le N, Band P (2011). Identification of occupational cancer risks in British Columbia, Canada: a population-based case-control study of 1,155 cases of colon cancer. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 8(10):3821–43. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph8103821 PMID:22073015
- Kaerlev L, Teglbjaerg PS, Sabroe S, Kolstad HA, Ahrens W, Eriksson M, et al. (2000). Occupation and small bowel adenocarcinoma: a European case-control study. Occup Environ Med. 57(11):760–6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.57.11.760 PMID:11024200
- Kauppinen T, Riala R, Seitsamo J, Hernberg S (1992). Primary liver cancer and occupational exposure. Scand J Work Environ Health. 18(1):18–25. http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.1616PMID:1313183 PMID:1313183
- Keller JE, Howe HL (1993). Cancer in Illinois construction workers: a study. Am J Ind Med. 24(2):223–30. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajim.4700240208PMID:8213848 PMID:8213848
- Magnani C, Coggon D, Osmond C, Acheson ED (1987). Occupation and five cancers: a case-control study using death certificates. Br J Ind Med. 44(11):769–76. PMID:3689708
- Sauvé JF, Lavoué J, Parent ME (2016). Occupation, industry, and the risk of prostate cancer: a case-control study in Montréal, Canada. Environ Health. 15(1):100. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12940-016-0185-1PMID:27769264
- Siemiatycki J (1991). Risk factor for cancer in the workplace. Boca Raton (Florida): CRC Press.
- van der Gulden JW, Kolk JJ, Verbeek AL (1995). Work environment and prostate cancer risk. Prostate. 27(5):250–7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pros.2990270504PMID:7479392 PMID:7479392
- Walschaerts M, Muller A, Auger J, Bujan L, Guérin JF, Le Lannou D, et al. (2007). Environmental, occupational and familial risks for testicular cancer: a hospital-based case-control study. Int J Androl. 30(4):222–9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2605.2007.00805.xPMID:17708752 PMID:17708752