ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION

2.15 Cancer of the prostate

2.15.1 *Cohort studies*

(a) Special populations (Table 2.75)

Only one of the eight studies of special populations showed an association between alcoholic beverage consumption and cancer of the prostate. In a Danish study of alcohol abusers, higher numbers of prostate cancers were observed compared with those expected from the general population (Tønnesen *et al.*, 1994).

(b) General population (Table 2.76)

Studies of prostate cancer that were conducted more recently generated concern when no attempt was made to distinguish between cases that were detected by screening, with a possibility that many might not have presented clinically during the life-time of the individual in the absence of screening, and those that presented clinically and were more likely to be progressive. Among the 17 cohort studies, two specifically identified more advanced cases (Platz *et. al.*, 2004; Baglietto *et. al.*, 2006) but neither suggested any association between alcoholic beverage consumption and such cases of prostate cancer. A few of the other cohort studies that did not make this distinction suggested an increased risk for prostate cancer at elevated levels of alcoholic beverage consumption (Hirayama, 1992; Schuurman *et al.*, 1999; Putnam *et al.*, 2000; Sesso *et al.*, 2001), but there was no consistent dose–response relationship and many other cohort studies showed no association.

2.15.2 *Case–control studies (Table 2.77)*

Five of the 33 case-control studies considered type of disease. Slattery and West (1993) considered 'aggressive' tumours, Hodge et al. (2004) studied 'clinically important' disease, Haves et al. (1996) conducted stratified analyses by tumour grade and stage, Chang et al. (2005) considered localized and advanced disease and Schoonen et al. (2005) classified cases as less and more aggressive cancers. The remainder did not appear to make any distinction, although, in the study of Walker et al. (1992), 90% of the cases were advanced at presentation. The majority of the studies showed no association between alcoholic beverage consumption and prostate cancer. Of those that suggested a positive association, one (De Stefani et al., 1995) showed a borderline elevation of risk for high levels of consumption of beer, but the risk at high levels of total alcoholic beverage consumption was not significant; one (Hayes et al., 1996) showed significant elevations in risk for 'heavy' and 'very heavy' consumers of alcoholic beverages, with higher risks among those with poorly or undifferentiated tumours, or with regional or distant metastases; and another (Sharpe & Siemiatycki, 2001) reported an elevation in risk for those with long duration of drinking, and the greatest elevation in risk for those who started drinking at age <15 years.

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Sundby (1967), Oslo, Norway	1722 men treated for alcoholism in 1925–39; follow- up to 1963; 29 lost to follow-up, 1061 died before the end of study; 632 alive at the end of study	Not reported	Not reported	16	Not reported	Not reported	Expected number based on Oslo urban mortality data
Hakulinen <i>et al.</i> (1974), Finland	Male 'chronic alcoholics', >30 years of age, registered in 1967–70 when under custody of alcohol-misuse supervision, or when sent to a labour institute because of the vagrant law; mean annual number in registry=4370	Alcohol misusers registry; Finnish Cancer Registry; Social Welfare Board of Helsinki	Not reported	1	Not reported	Not reported	Two categories of drinkers examined: alcohol misusers and chronic alcoholics; quantity of drinking not reported

Table 2.75 Cohort studies of alcoholic beverage consumption and cancer of the prostate^a in special populations

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Adelstein & White (1976), England and Wales, 1953–64, UK Alcoholics Study	629 men discharged from four mental hospitals in 1953–57; 966 men diagnosed with alcoholism and admitted to hospital in 1964; of the total of 1595, 605 had died by July 1974	Patient discharge	Deaths from prostate cancer	3	Not reported	Not reported	
Jensen (1979), Denmark, Danish Brewery Workers	14 313 male Union members employed >6 months in a brewery in 1939–63; follow- up, 1943–73	Not reported	Brewery workers were allowed 2.1 L of free beer/ day (77.7 g pure alcohol/ day)	80	SIR 1.0 (0.8–1.2)	Age, sex, area, time trends	Cancer morbidity and mortality compared with those in the general population

Table 2.75 (co	ontinued)						
Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Schmidt & Popham (1981), Ontario, Canada	9889 men admitted to clinical service for alcoholics in 1951–70; 7719 still alive after 1971	Not reported	Average daily intake of a sample from this group: 25.4 cL pure alcohol	11	SMR 1.09 (NS) CI not reported	Not reported	SMR based on age- standardized death rates in Ontario population; compared with US Veterans, SMR for prostate cancer was 1.24 (NS); 96% of a representataive sample of the clinical population drank >15 cL per day; ICD-7 177
Carstensen et al. (1990), Sweden, Swedish brewery workers	6230 men employed in the brewery industry in 1960; follow- up by linkage to Swedish Cancer Registry, 1961–79		Workers receive 3 bottles of beer/ day (1 L) free	112	1.06 (0.87–1.27)	Not reported	No information available on when a worker ceased working in the industry; ICD-7 177

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Adami <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1992a), Sweden, Cohort of people with a discharge diagnosis of alcoholism	9353 individuals (8340 men) with a discharge diagnosis of alcoholism in 1965–83; mean age at entry, 49.8 years; at diagnosis, 68.1 years; follow-up through to 1984 (maximum, 19 years; mean, 7.7 years); first year of follow-up excluded	Registry based	No data on individual alcohol or tobacco use	68	SIR 1.0 (0.8–1.3)		Risk did not vary by length of follow-up
Tønnesen <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1994), Denmark, Alcoholic men and women	15 214 male alcoholics who entered an outpatient clinic in Copenhagen during 1954–87; average follow-up, 12.9 years	History of alcohol intake obtained by an experienced social worker and psychiatrist	Most subjects consumed about 200 g alcohol daily; consumption in Denmark was 26 g/ day in 1987 (per person >14 years)	91	1.4 (1.2–1.8) <i>p</i> ≤0.01	Not reported	Subjects consumed more alcohol than previous cohort studies examining alcohol intake and prostate cancer; lack of consistency with previous studies may be due to higher intake.

CI, confidence interval; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; NS, not significant; Obs, observed; SIR, standardized incidence ratio; SMR, standardized mortality ratio

^a Unless otherwise noted in the 'Comments', the ICD code for prostate cancer is 185

ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Whittemore <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1985), USA, Harvard and University of Pennsylvania Alumni Study	33 915 male students who entered Harvard in 1916–50 and 13 356 male and 4076 female students examined at the University of Pennsylvania in 1931–40; followed for cancer mortality through to July 1978	College physical examination, questionnaires	Not reported	243	Not reported	Not reported	Data on collegiate alcohol consumption limited; prostate cancer not associated with collegiate alcohol use; ICD-7 177

Table 2.76 Cohort studies of alcoholic beverage consumption and cancer of the prostate^a in general populations

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Mills <i>et al.</i> (1989), USA, Seventh-day Adventists study	60 000 Seventh- day Adventists in California identified by census questionnaire in 1974, aged >25 years; cancer incidence monitored among 35 000 non- Hispanic white Adventists for up to 6 years; response rate among non- Hispanic whites, 75% (much lower for others)	Lifestyle questionnaire in 1976; annual mailings enquiring about hospitalization, medical records, diagnosis; follow-up 99% complete	Alcohol intake (any) No Yes	142 5	1.0 0.7 (0.3–1.74)	Age	

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Stemmermann et al. (1990), Hawaii, USA, Americans of Japanese Ancestry	7572 Japanese men on Oahu island; examination and interview 1965–68; follow-up through to 1988	Questionnaire on diet, alcohol and tobacco use, socioeconomic factors, demographic variables	<i>Alcohol intake</i> <i>(oz/month)</i> 0 <5 5–14 15–39 >40	227 total cases; no. of cases by level of intake not reported	SIR 1.0 0.9 (0.6–1.3) 0.9 (0.6–1.3) 1.0 (0.7–1.5) 0.9 (0.6–1.5)	Age at exam 1, current smoker status, age started smoking (current smokers), number of cigarettes smoked per day (current smokers), ex- smoker status, maximum number of cigarettes smoked per day (ex- smokers), years of smoking with maximum number per day (ex- smokers)	Mean alcohol intake fell from 14.6 to 11.6 oz/ month for age groups $45-49$ years to >65 years respectively; incidence rates, adjusted for age and smoking, showed no relation with the amount o alcohol consumed update of Pollack <i>et al</i> (1984) and Severson <i>et al</i> (1989).

810

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Hsing <i>et al.</i> (1990), USA, Lutheran Brotherhood Cohort Study	17 633 male white policy holders, aged \geq 35 years, of the Lutheran Brotherhood	Response to a questionnaire (mailed) in 1966; followed- up until 1986	<i>Beer</i> Former drinker Current drinker	149 total deaths; no. of cases/ deaths by	1.7 (1.0–2.9) 1.2 (0.8–1.7)	Smoking	Users defined as those who drank beer or liquor ≥6 times a year; information on
Insurance Society	Insurance Society		<i>Liquor</i> Former drinker Current drinker	drinking level not reported	0.7 (0.3–1.5) 1.0 (0.7–1.4)		dietary habits and alcohol/tobacco use was only obtained once, in 1966.
Hirayama (1992), Japan	265 118 adults (122 261 men), aged \geq 40 years, representing 94.8% of the 1965 census	Interview (1965) on diet, tobacco/ alcohol use, occupation and	Non-daily drinker/ nonsmoker Daily drinker/ nonsmoker	Not reported	1.0 2.65	Age, smoking	Update of Hirayama (1989)
	population	reproductive history; 17- year follow-up (1966–82)	Non-daily drinker/smoker Daily drinker/ daily smoker		1.07 2.46		
		(1700-02)	[no details reported]		CI not reported		

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Hiatt <i>et al</i> .	43 432 members	Questionnaire:	Non-drinker	25	1.0	Age, smoking,	No significant
(1994),	of a prepaid health	current	Former drinker	17	1.4 (0.7–2.7)	race, education	association
California,	plan; received a	and past	Occasional	37 1.4 (0.8–2.3)		between alcohol	
USA, Health	health check-up in	consumption	drinker				consumption and
Plan Cohort	1979-85	of alcohol,	<1 drink/day	73	1.3 (0.8–2.2)		prostate cancer
		number	1–2 drinks/day	59	1.2 (0.7–2.1)		
		of drinks/	3–5 drinks/day	22	1.1 (0.6–2.0)		
		day, type of beverage	>6 drinks/day	5	1.0 (0.4–2.8)		
Le Marchand	Random 2%	Lifestyle	Alcohol intake	198		Age, ethnicity,	Data recorded on
et al. (1994),	household	questionnaire	(g/week)	cases of		income	current drinking
Hawaii, USA	surveys of the	added to the	0-52	invasive	1.0		status, age when
	Hawaiian State	survey during	53-104	prostate	1.0 (0.7–1.6)		drinking started,
	Department of	1975-80 and	104–156	cancer	1.1 (0.7–1.6)		amount and
	Health held since	addressed to all		recorded	p-trend=0.77		frequency of intake
	1968 to collect	aged >18 years	Lifetime intake	through to			of beer, wine, saké
	demographic and	on height,	(g)	1989, all			and hard liquor.
	health-related	weight, diet,	0-1750	>45 years	1.0		
	data; linked with	alcohol use,	1751-3500	old at	1.0 (0.6–1.5)		
	Hawaiian Tumour	smoking	3501-5261	interview;	1.1 (0.7–1.7)		
	Registry; final			no. of	p-trend=0.72		
	population, 41 400			cases by			
	persons (20 316			alcohol			
	men); participation rate, 95%			intake not reported			

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Cerhan <i>et al.</i> (1997), USA, 1982–93, Iowa 65+ Rural Health Study	3673 residents (1420 men), aged >65 years, from two rural counties in Iowa; 80% of the population (>65 years) were enrolled in 1982; data on prostate cancer obtained from 1050 men (mean age, 73.5 years) without registered cancer during 1972–82 and with no self-reported prior prostate cancer; cancer data obtained by linking with the Iowa State Health Registry	Interview on demographics, health and social characteristics, current alcohol use (beer, wine, liquor); annual follow-up by telephone or in-person interview	Alcohol consumption Never Former Current	22 6 39	1.0 0.6 (0.3–1.6) 1.0 (0.6–1.8)	Age	Number of prostate cancer cases through to 1993: 71 (histologically confirmed); mean age at diagnosis, 79.2 years

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Breslow et al.	Cohort I (1971-	Baseline	Alcohol intake			Race, design	No association
(1999), USA,	75): 5766 men,	(1972–74):	(drinks/week)		Cohort I	variables (age	between alcohol
NHANES I	aged 25-74 years;	questionnaire	0	96	1.0	<65 versus	consumption and
Epidemiological	followed-up	to assess 'usual	>0-1	41	1.0 (0.7–1.4)	≥65 years,	prostate found;
Follow-up	through to 1992;	consumption'	2-7	65	0.9 (0.6–1.2)	poverty census	ICD 185, 233.4.
Study	median follow-up,	(over the	8-14	25	1.0(0.6-1.5)	enumeration	
	17 years	previous year);	15-21	8	0.9 (1.4–1.8)	district, family	
	<i>Cohort II</i> (1982– 84): 3868 men	follow-up (1982–84):	>22	17	1.4 (0.8–2.4)	income)	
	from Cohort I	food-frequency			Cohort II		
	free of prostate	questionnaire	0	59	1.0		
	cancer in 1982-84;	to assess	>0-1	19	0.7(0.4-1.3)		
	followed-up	current and	2-7	29	1.1 (0.7–1.8)		
	through to 1992;	'distant past'	8-14	16	1.1 (0.6–1.9)		
	median follow-up,	alcohol intake	15-21	9	1.1 (0.6–2.3)		
	9 years; response rate in 1982–84 interview, 88%	at 25, 35, 45 and 55 years of age	>22	2	0.2 (0.06–0.95)		

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Schuurman	58 279 men in	Questionnaire	Total alcohol			Age;	Consumption of
<i>et al.</i> (1999),	1986 followed	completed in	(g)			multivariate-	beer, red wine,
Netherlands,	up for prostate	1986 to assess	Non-drinkers	109	1.0	adjusted	white wine, sherry
Netherlands	cancer incidence	consumption	0.1-4	143	1.1 (0.8–1.5)	relative	and other fortified
Cohort Study	by computerized	of food and	5-14	161	0.9 (0.7–1.3)	risks (age,	wines, liquor
	record linkage	drinks during	15-29	161	1.1 (0.8–1.4)	socioeconomic	(Dutch gin, brandy
	with all nine Dutch	the year prior	≥30	101	1.1 (0.8–1.6)	status, family	whiskey) and
	cancer registries	to the start of			p-trend=0.74	history of	liqueurs evaluated;
	and with the Dutch	the study	Alcohol from			prostate	alcohol content
	national database		wine (g)	010	11(0015)	cancer, total	(in g/100 g):
	of pathology		No wine	219	1.1 (0.8–1.5)	alcohol	beer, 4; wine, 10;
	reports; follow-up,		0.1-4	198	1.1 (0.8–1.4)	intake) not	fortified wines, 14;
	\geq 96% complete;		5-14	90	0.9 (0.6–1.4)	substantially	liqueurs, 17; liquor
	person-years at		15-29	39	1.1 (0.7–1.8)	different	29; relative risks
	risk estimated		≥30	20	2.3 (1.0–5.3)		for alcohol from
	using a random				p-trend=0.67		beer, liquor, red
	sample (subcohort)		White wine (g)				wine and liqueur
	of 1688 men		0	359	1.1 (0.8–1.4)		not different
			0.1-4	180	1.0 (0.7–1.4)		from unity;
			5-14	19	1.2 (0.6–2.2)		alcohol intake
			≥15	8	3.3 (1.2–9.2)		showed stronger
					p-trend=0.54		association with
			Fortified wines				localized than with
			(g)	100	11(0015)		advanced prostate
			0	408	1.1 (0.8–1.5)		tumours
			0.1-4	108	0.9(0.6-1.3)		
			5-14	26	0.7 (0.4–1.1)		
			≥15–29	24	2.3 (1.2–4.7)		
					p-trend=0.77		

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Dennis (2000) Meta-analysis	Meta-analysis of six cohort studies of the association between prostate cancer and men	Articles published between January 1976 and July 1978	Ever versus never		1.0 (0.89–1.13)		
Ellison (2000), Canada,	Population survey (1970–72) among	Interviews on diet, 24-h	Total intake (mL/day)			Tea and coffee consumption,	Alcohol content: beer, 5%; wine,
Nutrition	12 795 respondents	food recall and	0	38	1.0	serum level of	13.5%; spirits,
Canada Survey	(47%) and 3295	1-month food	>0-9.9	54	1.0 (0.6–1.5)	vitamin A,	40%; consumption
Cohort	unsolicited	frequency	10.0-24.9	22	0.9 (0.5–1.5)	5-year age	of wine (<10 g
	volunteers, aged		≥25	25	0.9 (0.6–1.6)	group	alcohol per day)
	50–84 years at interview or entering this age range during the follow-up period through to 1993; data from 3400 men used		Any	101	0.9 (0.6–1.4)		versus none: relative risk, 1.5 (95% CI, 1.1–2.1) [no details given]

_

816

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Putnam et al.	1601 (81%) men of	Questionnaire	Any alcohol			Age (40–64,	
(2000), USA,	1989 from controls	(mailed) and	No		1.0	65-69, 70-74,	
1986–95, Iowa	in a population-	interview by	Yes		1.7 (1.1-2.6)	75–79, >80	
Cohort	based case-control	telephone on			p-trend=0.02	years)	
	study of six cancer	demographics,	Wine (8-oz				
	sites conducted	education,	glasses/week)				
	1986–89 in Iowa;	usual	None	30	1.0		
	data reported for	occupation,	< 0.2	6	1.2 (0.5-3.0)		
	1572 men (mean	weight, height,	0.2-0.9	54	1.5 (0.9-2.4)		
	age, 68.1 years;	family history	>0.9	11	1.9 (0.9-3.7)		
	99% white; 24%	of cancer, usual			p-trend=0.02		
	smokers; 57%	adult diet (55-	Liquor (1-oz				
	drinkers); follow-	item food list),	shots/week)				
	up through to 1995.	usual intake	None	30	1.0		
		of beer, wine,	< 0.5	12	1.6 (0.8-3.2)		
		spirits, use of	0.5-2.5	41	1.5 (0.9-2.4)		
		tobacco	>2.5	18	1.7 (0.9-3.0)		
					p-trend=0.05		

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Putnam et al.			Beer (12-oz			Additional	
(2000) (contd)			cans/week)			adjustment	
			None	30	1.0	for body	
			<1	22	2.4 (1.4-4.3)	mass index,	
			1–3	15	1.3 (0.7-2.5)	total energy,	
			>3	19	1.7 (0.9-3.0)	linoleic acid,	
					p-trend=0.08	lycopene,	
			Total alcohol		-	carbohydrates,	
			intake (g/week)			retinal, red	
			None	30	1.0	meat, history	
			<22	17	1.1 (0.6-2.1)	of prostate	
			22-92	27	2.6 (1.4-4.6)	cancer	
			>92	18	3.1 (1.5-6.3) <i>p</i> -trend=0.001		

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Lund Nilsen <i>et al.</i> (2000), Norway, 1984– 95, Norwegian	77 310 residents (\geq 20 years of age by 31/12/1983) of the Norwegian	Questionnaire on tobacco and alcohol use, physical	Alcohol consumption the past 2 weeks			Age	
Cohort Study	county Nord- Trøndelag invited	activity education level,	None (not teetotaler)	281	1.0		
	to participate in a	occupation	1–4 times	148	1.2 (0.94-1.41)		
	health survey: in		>4 times	40	0.9 (0.64-1.25)		
	1984–861 among				p-trend=0.862		
	these, 22 895 men		Teetotaler				
	$(\geq 40 \text{ years})$ with		No	469	1.0		
	no history of any cancer included; incident cases of prostate cancer identified through linkage with the Norwegian Cancer Registry; response rate, 90.8%		Yes	80	1.22 (0.96–1.55)		

Table 2.76 (co	ontinued)						
Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Sesso <i>et al.</i> (2001), USA, Harvard Alumni Health Study	7612 male Harvard alumni (mean age, 66.6 years) followed prospectively during 1988–93	Questionnaire in 1988 on alcohol use, smoking, use of 23 food items, parental cancer history, weight, height; response from 6686 alumni to a questionnaire sent in 1977 also available	Servings Total alcohol Almost never 1/month-3/ week 3/week-1/day ≥3/day Liquor Almost never 1/month-3/ week 3/week-1/day	38 54 76 151 47 93 82 68	Multivariate- adjusted 1.0 1.3 (0.9–2.0) 1.7 (1.1–2.4) 1.9 (1.3–2.6) 1.3 (0.9–2.1) <i>p</i> -trend=0.35 1.0 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 1.7 (1.2–2.3)	Age, body- mass index, smoking (never/former/ current), physical activity, parental history of cancer	Mean total alcohol intake, 123.1 (SD, 136.3) g/ week; 28.6% from wine, 15.8% from beer and 55.6% from liquor (e.g. whiskey); significant increase in relative risk not seen for beer or wine; men who reduced alcohol intake in the period 1977–88
			1−3/day ≥3/day	108 15	1.6 (1.2–2.1) 1.1 (0.6–1.9) <i>p</i> -trend=0.10		still at elevated risk compared with the 'almost never' group.

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Albertsen	26 496 men, aged	Multiple-	Drinks/week			Age,	Standard drink
& Grønbaek	20-98 years; data	choice	Total intake			education,	of wine, beer and
(2002),	from 12 989 men	questions on	<1	42	1.0	physical	spirits in Denmark
Copenhagen,	used in the study	intake of wine,	1-6	59	0.9 (0.6–1.3)	activity body	considered to
Denmark, three	(1976–94); follow-	beer, spirits,	7–13	54	0.9 (0.6–1.3)	mass index,	contain 12 g
pooled studies	up time, 4.5–22.9	tobacco, age,	14-20	36	0.9 (0.6-1.4)	smoking	alcohol; ICD-7 177
	years (average,	education,	21-41	35	0.9 (0.6–1.5)	status, study	ICD-10 DC619
	12.3 years); mean	physical	>41	7	0.7 (0.3-1.5)	of origin	
	participation rate,	activity, body			p-trend=0.48		
	80%	mass index	Beer				
			0	53	1.0		
			1–13	141	1.0 (0.7-1.5)		
			>13	39	1.0 (0.6-1.5)		
					p-trend=0.85		
			Wine				
			0	106	1.0		
			1–13	120	1.2 (0.9–1.6)		
			>13	7	0.9 (0.4-2.0)		
					p-trend=0.96		
			Spirits				
			0	101	1.0		
			1–13	122	1.0 (0.7–1.3)		
			>13	10	1.0 (0.5-2.0)		
					p-trend=0.90		

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Platz <i>et al</i> .	51 529 men, aged	Questionnaire,	Intake (g/day)		Hazard ratios	Current age,	Consumption
(2004), USA,	40-75 years at	mailed and	0	576	All cases	body mass	over past year of
1986–98, Health	enrolment in 1986;	returned every	0.1-4.9	537	1.0	index at 21	beer, red wine,
Professionals	excluded: men	2 years, on	5.0-14.9	694	1.0 (0.9-1.1)	years, height,	white wine and
Follow-up	diagnosed with	diet, medical	15.0-29.9	336	1.1 (0.9–1.2)	smoking	liquor (assumed
Study	cancer (except non-	history,	30.0-49.9	266	1.1 (1.0–1.3)	(pack-years in	to contain, resp.,
	melanoma skin	lifestyle	≥ 50	70	1.1(1.0-1.3)	past decade),	12.8, 11.0, 11.0 an
	cancer) or returned	factors;			1.0 (0.7–1.3)	family history	14 g alcohol per
	incomplete	updated via the			p-trend=0.20	of prostate	serving); analysis
	questionnaire	questionnaires			1	cancer, major	of drinking
	in 1986 (3.1%);	mailed and			Advanced cases	ancestry,	pattern: for men
	47 843 men, of	returned in	0	154	1.0	vasectomy,	who took ≥ 105 g
	whom 76.4% in	1990 and	0.1-4.9	118	0.8 (0.7-1.1)	high physical	alcohol on only 1
	1986 reported	1994; deaths	5.0-14.9	175	1.0(0.8-1.3)	activity,	or 2 days of the
	drinking alcohol	recorded via	15.0-29.9	80	1.0(0.8-1.4)	diabetes,	week, hazard ratio
	(2.9% consumed	the National	30.0-49.9	81	1.0 (0.7–1.3)	intake of:	was 1.64 (95% CI
	> 50 g/day); verification of cases via medical records and pathology reports; overall follow-up response, 94% at the end of 1998	Death Index			<i>p</i> -trend=0.70	total energy, calcium, tomato sauce, fructose, red meat, fish, vitamin E, α -linolenic acid	1.13–2.38); this group represented 1% of the cases in the cohort; advanced cases were Stage C or E or fatal.

822

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Baglietto <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (2006), Australia, Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study	528 people (17 049 men), aged 27–75 years, recruited 1990–94 in the Melbourne metropolitan area via electoral rolls, advertisements and community announcements; data from 16 872 men, aged 27–70 years, used; follow-up through	Interview to collect data on age, country of birth, education, tobacco use, drinking habits, medical history; cases ascertained through the Victoria Cancer Registry	Lifetime abstainer Former drinker 1–19 g alcohol/ day 20–39 g alcohol/day 40–59 g alcohol/day ≥60 g alcohol/ day	Not reported	Hazard ratios All cases 1.0 1.2 (0.8–1.6) 1.0 (0.8–1.2) 1.0 (0.8–1.2) 1.0 (0.7–1.3) 0.9 (0.7–1.3) p-trend=0.62	Co-variate: country of birth; adjustments for education, body mass index, smoking, total energy intake or medical history did not change risk ratios.	Lifetime abstainers never drank ≥12 drinks/ year; former drinkers did not drink alcohol at start of study; no difference in risk according to the type of alcohol consumed; 'aggressive' cancers defined as Gleason score >7
	to 31 December 2003	itegistiy		Not reported	Aggressive cases		or advanced stage (T4 or N+ or M+)
			Lifetime abstainer		1.0		``````````````````````````````````````
			Former drinker 1–19 g alcohol/		0.7 (0.3–1.7) 0.7 (0.4–1.1)		
			day 20–39 g alcohol/day		0.7 (0.4–1.2)		
			40–59 g alcohol/day		0.7 (0.3–1.3)		
			$\geq 60 \text{ g alcohol/} day$		0.8 (0.4–1.5) <i>p</i> -trend=0.58		

CI, confidence interval; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; SD, standard deviation; SIR, standardized incidence ratio ^a Unless otherwise noted in the comments, the ICD code for prostate cancer is 185

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Schwartz <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1962), France, 1954–58	139 patients	139 age-matched non-cancer patients (accident victims)	Subjects interviewed in the hospital about alcohol drinking	Prostate cancer cases, average consumption of 11.0 cL pure alcohol per day; controls, same average daily alcohol intake	139	NR		Consumption according to age varied from 9.6 to 14.0 cL pure alcohol/ day; ICD 177
Wynder <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1971), New York, USA, 1965–67	217 patients (167 alcohol drinkers)	200 patients (163 drinkers)	Epidemiological questionnaire	Alcohol consumed (units per day) 1–2 3–6 >7 Binge	106 36 22 3	NR		Unit/day = 1 oz spirits, 4 oz wine, 8 oz beer; a second study included 83 prostate cancer patients and 200 control patients
Williams & Horm (1977), USA, Third National Cancer Survey, 1969–71	465 patients	1323 patients with other cancers, not tobacco-related	Interview to collect data on the amount and the duration of alcohol and tobacco use	<50 oz–years >50 oz–years	62 127	Odds ratio 0.78 0.87	Age, race, smoking	Alcohol use expressed as 'oz–years' (units/ week × years drinking)

Table 2.77 Case-control studies of alcoholic beverage consumption and cancer of the prostate^a

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Schuman <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1977), USA, Study period not reported	200 white patients from major hospitals in the Minneapolis-St Paul area	Patients in same hospital with non- genitourinary conditions; matched by age, race, date of admission; age- and race-matched neighbourhood controls (same street of residence)	Personal inter- view on history of residence, jobs, medication, hospitalization, smoking/ drinking habits, drugs, marital history	Alcohol use Yes No	39 1	NR		Preliminary report
Niijima & Koiso (1980), Japan, 1963–78	187 patients diagnosed and treated at the Department of Urology, University of Tokyo; mean age, 68.7 years	200 patients without known prostatic disease: 106 cancers of the kidney, ureter, bladder or other organs; 94 diseases other than cancer	Not specified	About 56% of patients and 55% of controls were alcohol drinkers		NR	NR	NR
Jackson <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1981), USA, 1973–78	231 black patients with prostate carcinoma at Howard University and DC General Hospitals; data from 205 patients used; 100% histologically confirmed	205 age-matched patients free of neoplastic, urological and endocrine conditions	Interview using a pre-tested epidemiological questionnaire			NR	NR	A higher proportion of controls than of patients had a history of heavy alcohol use (beer, wine or liquor) in the 10 years before diagnosis [no data].

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Mishina <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1981), USA	100 prostatic cancer patients	100 matched for age (±1 year) and residence in the same prefecture	Questionnaire and interview on education, job history, income, religion, diet, marriage, sexual activity, physical condition	Rare No alcohol	61 39	1.73 CI not reported		
Talamini <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1986), northern Italy, 1980–83	166 patients recently diagnosed at the General Hospital of Pordenone (Friuli Venezia-Giulia), aged 48–79 years (median age, 66 years); 100% histologically confirmed; refusal rate, <2%	202 patients in the General Hospital of Pordenone admitted for acute conditions (no malignant, hormonal or urogenital disease) <1 year before interview, aged 50–79 years (median age, 63 years); refusal rate, <2%	Interview with questionnaire on general lifestyle habits, socio- demographic aspects, height, weight, frequency of food intake	Not specified		NR	NR	Risk for prostate cancer not related to wine drinking [data not shown]

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Ross <i>et al.</i> (1987), USA, 1977–80	316 black residents of Los Angeles County with prostate cancer (diag-nosed between January 1977 and August 1980), aged 60–75 years; a total of 179 were interviewed, 19 refused to participate; 190 white incident prostate cancer patients of a Los Angeles area retirement community (diagnosed 1972 through 1982), aged, 65–79 years; 142 patients interviewed, 48 refused to participate	142 neighbourhood controls; age- matched (±5 years) with cases 142 controls individually matched to cases on age (±1 year), length of residence in the community (±1 year)	Interview	Any alcohol use Any alcohol use	NR	Blacks 0.9 Whites 0.9	NR	No confidence intervals reported

Table 2.77	(continued)							
Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Yu <i>et al.</i> (1988), USA, 1969–84	1162 patients (14% blacks) in 20 hospitals across the USA, recently diagnosed and identified in the American Health Foundation registry; mean age, 62.9 years; verified through medical records and pathology reports	3124 patients (54% cancers, excluding cancers at 'alcohol-related' sites; 13% benign neoplasms, 33% non-neoplastic diseases; ~10% blacks) from the same hospitals; mean age, 62.2 years; 3:1 frequency- matched to cases by age at diagnosis (±2 years), race, year of interview, hospital	Interviews at time of admission or diagnosis on race, education, marital status, years of education, height, weight, religion, occupation, smoking, alcohol use	Intake 0 1 oz/day 3 oz/day 0 1 oz/day 3 oz/day	436 321 211 74 46 37	Whites 1.0 1.0 (0.6–1.7) 1.2 (0.9–1.5) Blacks 1.0 1.4 (0.8–2.3) 1.3 (0.7–2.3)	Age at diagnosis	Consumption of alcohol expressed as whiskey equivalent, (beer amount/8) + (wine amount/4) + whiskey amount in oz/day
Mettlin et al. (1989), Roswell Park Memorial Institute, USA, 1957–65	371 patients, 55–85 years of age (mean age, 68.3 years); 2.2% non-white; 100% histologically confirmed	371 patients (4.0% non-white) without diagnosis or history of cancer (12.1% benign prostatic hyperplasia), aged 55–85 years (mean age, 68.1 years)	Questionnaire with 45-item food-frequency check-list; weekly frequency of consumption of beer, wine or liquor			NR		No significant increase or reduction in risk was found for beer, wine or liquor [no details were reported].

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Fincham <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1990), Canada, 1981–83	382 identified via the Alberta Cancer Registry (April 1981–September 1983), aged ≥45 years	625 age group- matched to cases, chosen from the roster of the Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan	Interview with questionnaire on ethnicity, marital status, job history personal/family medical history, tobacco/alcohol use, puberty age, physical status; diet history over 2-month periods with 6-month interval	NR				Cases consumed somewhat more alcohol (mean, 127 oz/month) than controls (mean, 120 oz/month)
Walker <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1992), South Africa	166 black hospitalized patients (90% advanced-stage D), residents of Soweto; mean age, 69.2 years (range, 48–84 years); 100% histologically confirmed	166 black age- matched selected from immediate neighbours of patients; mean age, 69.6 years (range, 52–85 years)	Patients questioned as to their diet before they became ill	Non-drinker Occasional drinker Regular drinker	20 35 45	No data		Differences between patients and controls not significant
Nakata <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1993), Japan	294 patients	294 general population controls chosen from 13 areas in Gunma Prefecture; age-matched (±2 years)	Questionnaire or interview	History of drinking: yes/ no		Odds ratio 0.93 (0.62–1.39)	Age	Prostate cancer risk not statistically different between cases and controls

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Slattery & West (1993), Utah, USA, 1983–86	362 white men living in 4 counties in Utah, diagnosed between 1 January 1984 and 15 November 1985 with first-primary prostate cancer, aged 45–74 years; 100% histologically confirmed; completion rate,	685 matched to cases by 5-year age group, selected by random- digit dialling ($<$ 65 years) or from Social Security records (\geq 65 years); completion rate, 76.9%	Quantitative food-frequency questionnaire to assess use of alcohol, coffee, tea	Total alcohol None Any Beer None Any Wine None Any Spirits None Any	90 89 114 65 130 49 105 74	1.0 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 1.0 1.2 (0.9–1.7) 1.0 0.8 (0.6–1.1) 1.0 1.1 (0.8–1.5)	Crude odds ratio values given; adjustment for dietary intake, body size, age within strata, demographic features did not change the results.	Data are shown for all prostate tumour types, and for cases/controls ≤67 years; results for 'aggressive tumours' or for subjects >67 years did not change the outcome.
van der Gulden <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1994), Netherlands 1988–90	77.4% 345 prostate cancer cases from the Comprehensive Cancer Centre IKO diagnosed January 1988 until April 1990; mean age, 72 years; 100% histologically confirmed; response rate, 84%	1346 patients treated in the IKOregion for prostate hyperplasia, but without histological signs of malignancy; mean age, 69 years	Questionnaire (mailed) on smoking/ drinking habits, work history, socio-economic status; response rate, 78%	Alcohol use Never <1 day/week 1–4 days/week 5–7 days/week All drinkers	21 324 90 176 58	1.0 1.2 (0.7–2.0) 1.4 (0.8–2.3) 1.4 (0.8–2.5) 1.4 (0.8–2.2)	Age	Age at which drinking began or duration of drinking not related to risk for prostate cancer

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Tavani <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1994b), northern	Histologically confirmed, incident prostate cancer	Patients (<i>n</i> =599; median age, 63 years; range	Interviews with questionnaire on age, education,	Total alcohol intake (drinks/ day)			Age, study centre; estimates	Average number of drinks/day (a drink defined as 150 mL
Italy,	cases (<i>n</i> =281;	27–79 years)	height, weight,	0	22	1	from	wine, 330 mL beer,
1985-92	median age, 67	admitted to the	marital status,	<3	63	1.3 (0.7-2.4)	multiple	or 30 mL spirits, each
	years; range 25-79	same network of	smoking and	3-<5	55	1.9 (0.5–1.6)	logistic	with 12-15 g ethanol);
	years) diagnosed	hospitals as the	drinking habits,	5-<8	63	1.2 (0.6–2.3)	regression	separate analyses for
	during the year before interview, admitted to cancer	cases for acute, non-neoplastic conditions	intake of several indicator foods	≥8 Wine (drinks/ day)	78	1.1 (0.6–2.1)	with age, centre, education,	wine $(0, <5, \ge 5 \text{ per day})$, beer (no/yes) , spirits (no/yes) or duration of use
	institutes and major			0	26	1	marital	(0, <40, ≥40 years) did
	hospitals			<5	152	1.2 (0.7-2.0)	status, body	not substantially change
				≥5 Beer (drinks/ day)	103	0.9 (0.5–1.7)	mass index and smoking status gave	the results.
				No	197	1	comparable	
				Yes Spirits (drinks/ day)	84	1.1 (0.8–1.6)	results.	
				No	184	1		
				Yes Duration of use/years	97	0.8 (0.5–1.1)		
				0	22	1		
				>0-<40	92	1.1(0.6-2.1)		
				≥40	167	1.3 (0.7–2.3)		
Wei <i>et al.</i> (1994), China	27 admitted to the hospital of West- China University of Medical Sciences	27 patients with malignant, non-urological tumours, 27 with urological (non-malignant) disease	Questionnaire to assess lifestyle, diet, marital status, history of prostate disease	Not specified		1.0 (0.4–2.5)	Age, sex, race, day of admission	Ten drinkers among cases and 21 drinkers among controls

ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION

831

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
De Stefani	156	302 patients	Interview			Odds ratios*	Age,	* Odds ratio versus
et al. (1995),	adenocarcinoma	admitted to the	by 3 social	Beer			residence,	lifelong abstainers;
Uruguay,	of the prostate	same institute,	workers; routine	Non-drinkers	134		level of	daily alcohol intake
1988–94	admitted (1988	with diagnoses	questionnaire	1–9 mL/day	5	0.7 (0.2–2.1)	education,	expressed as mL pure
	through 1994) at the	not related to	given to	10-60 mL/day	9	1.7 (0.7–4.3)	cigarette	ethanol, using 60, 120
	Instituto Nacional	alcohol, tobacco	all patients	≥61 mL/day	8	3.2 (1.0–9.6)	smoking,	and 460 mL/L for
	de Oncologia;	or diet, aged	admitted.			<i>p</i> =0.04	dietary items	beer, wine and hard
	100% histologically	40-89 years		Wine	(7		(meat, milk,	liquor, respectively;
	confirmed; no refusals recorded			Non-drinkers	67	12(07.21)	fruits)	odds ratios for beer
	rerusais recorded			1-30 mL/day	42 17	1.3(0.7-2.1)		drinkers versus lifelong
				31–60 mL/day ≥61 mL/day	30	0.8(1.4-1.5)		abstainers (intake in
				≥01 mL/day	50	1.4 (0.8-2.6) p=0.35		mL pure ethanol/day): 1 20 1 2 $(0.5 - 2.8)$
				Liquor		p = 0.55		$1-30, 1.2 (0.5-2.8); \ge 31$ 3.2 (1.2-8.1)
				Non-drinkers	103			5.2 (1.2-0.1)
				1-45 mL/day	37	0.7 (0.3-1.3)		
				46-69 mL/day	29	1.1 (0.6-2.1)		
				$\geq 70 \text{ mL/day}$	38	1.2(0.6-2.3)		
				_/o mil/duy	50	p=0.62		
				Total alcohol		P 0.02		
				Non-drinkers	52			
				1–45 mL/day	37	1.4 (0.8-2.4)		
				46–120 mL/	29	0.9(0.5-1.7)		
				day		· · · ·		
				≥121 mL/day	38	1.8 (0.9-3.1)		
						<i>p</i> =0.18		
Andersson et	256 eligible prostate	252 age-matched	Interviewer-	Non-drinker	106	1.0	Age	Adjustment for smokin
al. (1996),	cancer patients	screened for	administered	<24.4 g/week	18	0.9(0.4-1.7)	0-	reduced alcohol
Sweden,	(aged <80 years)	prostate cancer	standardized	24.4-48.5 g/	23	1.1 (0.6–2.1)		estimates modestly [da
1989–91	from Orebro	with negative	food-frequency	week		× /		not given]
	county, January	results; response	questionnaire;	48.6-96 g/week	29	1.4 (0.8-2.6)		
	1989-September	rate, 76.6%	clinical data	>96 g/week	31	1.5 (0.8–2.8)		
	1991; response rate,			-		p for trend=0.11		
	74.6%							

T 11 2 77 (/· л

IARC MONOGRAPHS VOLUME 96

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Ewings & Bowie, (1996), United Kingdom, 1989–91	159 newly diagnosed prostatic cancer patients in three hospitals; patients interviewed between May 1989 and October 1991; 100% histologically confirmed	2 controls for each case; frequency- matched (5-year age groups), selected from the same hospital: one with benign prostate enlargement, one with non-urological condition (avoiding alcohol- and diet- related disorders)	Questionnaires completed	Ever use of alcohol	134	Odds ratio 0.6 (0.4–1.2)	NR	
Grönberg <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1996), Sweden 1959–89	Link between Swedish Twin Registry and Swedish Cancer Registry yielded 406 cases of prostate cancer; mean age at diagnosis, 72.6 years (range, 47–91 years).	1218 3:1 age-matched, unrelated	Questionnaire mailed in 1967 to all same- sex, male twin pairs born in 1886–1925 on food intake and use of beer, wine spirits; 19 (4.7%) cases diagnosed	Non-users Former versus non-user Current versus non-user	64 25 275	Odds ratio 1 0.8 (0.5–1.4) 0.9 (0.6–1.3) <i>p</i> -trend=0.54	Age	Non-users, former users (did not drink during the last year), current users; beer, wine or spirits: non-users, <1 time/week, 1–2 times per week, almost daily; no increased risk found for total alcohol consumption, nor for beer, wine or spirits

Reference, tudy ocation, eriod	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
layes et al.	479 black, 502	594 black, 721	In-person inter-	Drinks per week			Age,	Drinkers: >1 drink
1996), USA,	white patients	white residents of	views (1986-89)	Never used	94	1	ethnicity,	per month for at least
986-89	diagnosed 1	Atlanta, Detroit	on alcohol	Any	385	1.2 (1.0-1.5)	study site	6 months; increased
	August 1986-30	and 10 counties	intake, duration	≤ 7	96	1.1 (0.9–1.4)		risk with higher
	April 1989, aged	in New Jersey,	of use, age when	8-21	113	1.1 (0.9–1.4)		consumption apparent
	40-79 years; 100%	covered by three	started, age	22-56	119	1.4 (1.0-1.8)		for beer and liquor,
	pathologically	cancer registries;	when stopped	≥57	54	1.9 (1.3-2.7)		not for wine; elevated
	confirmed; response	response rate,				p-trend<0.001		risks also reported
	rate, 76%	71%		Recent drinker				for those with poorly
				Never used	94	1		or undifferentiated
				≤ 7	57	1.1 (0.8-1.5)		tumours
				8-21	64	1.1 (0.8-1.5)		
				22-56	67	1.2 (0.9-1.7)		
				≥57	28	1.7 (1.1-2.6)		
				Former drinker				
				Never used	94	1		
				≤ 7	36	1.2 (0.8-1.8)		
				8-21	45	1.3 (0.9-1.9)		
				22-56	48	1.6 (1.1-2.4)		
				≥57	24	2.0 (1.2-3.4)		
				Regional/				
				distant				
				None	56	1		
				≤ 7	65	1.0 (0.7-1.5)		
				8-21	84	1.1 (0.8–1.7)		
				22-56	63	1.3 (0.9–1.9)		
				≥57	36	2.1(1.3-3.5)		

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Guess <i>et al.</i> (1997), USA, nested case–control study 1964–71	106 incident cases selected from >125 000 members of the Kaiser Permanente Medical Care Program with health examination data and serum samples available (1964–71); diagnosis between September 1970 and November 1987	106 pair-matched to each case on age, date of serum sampling, location of clinic.	Multi-phasic health examination; bioassay	Non-drinker ≤2 drinks/day ≥3 drinks/day	17 46 28	NR		Alcohol consumption was examined as a confounder.

	ents
Registry between April 1990 andthe Ministry of Finance; matched with cases by geographic area, Toronto; refusal rate of interview, 20.2%the Ministry of Finance; matched geographic area, torinterview, 20.2%personal and Finance; matched with cases by geographic area, smoking habits, frequency of use of medical168 0.8 0.43 0.8 0.8 $0.6-1.2$)intake intake spirits, of alco beer, 1 beer, 1	tage alcohol in .6%; wines and 11.5%; liquor/
April 1990 and April 1992 and living in or around Toronto; refusal rate $0.200000000000000000000000000000000000$	37.9%; amount
April 1992 and living in or around Toronto; refusal rate for interview, 20.2%with cases by geographic area, 5-year age group; refusal rate, 37%(e.g. rectal examinations), smoking habits, frequency of guebec: 229 use of medical $20-\langle 30 g/day$ 57 $0.8 (0.5-1.1)$ $p for trend=0.51$ beer, 1 wine, ratios 1 $Quebec: 229$ $Quebec: 229$ $Quebec: 220$ use of medical hospitals between $random-digit$ $5-year age group;refusal rate, 37%smoking habits,frequency oftrequency ofdemographicp for trend=0.51whiskeratios 1hospitals betweenrandom-digita modifieddemographicemographic>0-9 g/day1890.8 (0.6-1.1)0.6-1.1hospitals betweenrandom-digitand frequencyof food intake inthe year beforep for trend=0.01significfrom uadjustaBritishColumbia:first three phonethe vara beforeWinediagrosis03231.0201 patientsrandom sampleBritishBritish(cases) or beforerandom from(controls)0.8 (0.6-1.0)educatfamilyp for trend=0.8Registry, in thegears 1989-1991;Plan rosters;033111.12 (0.8-1.55)familyp for trend=0.8Registry, in thegears 1989-1991;refusal rate, 7%;refusal rate, 15%03311index,refusal rate, 7%;Registry, in thegears 1989-1991;Medical Servicesrefusal rate, 15%Liquor0-15 g/day900.9 (0.7-1.2)retindex,retind$	hol in 350mL
living in or around Toronto; refusal rate for interview, 20.2%geographic area, 5-year age group; refusal rate, 37%examinations), smoking habits, frequency of use of medical $\geq 30 \text{ g/day}$ 135 $0.9 (0.6-1.3)$ p for trend=0.51whisk ratios is ratios is of ratios is ratios is is p for trend=0.51 $Quebec: 229$ $Quebec: 230$ use of medical demographic $Beer$ for all ratios is $0-9 \text{ g/day}$ is 189 $0.8 (0.6-1.1)$ centres ratios is $0.7 (0.5-0.9)$ 1989 and 1993; refusal rate, 15.5%dialling method, and frequency $0 - 333$ 1.0 ratios is ratios is $0.7 (0.5-0.9)$ types of ratios is p for trend=0.01 201 patientsdigits as the cases digits as the casesthe diagnosis 0 323 1 (ever v adjustr $from 6183)$ 201 patientsdigits as the cases random sample $British$ (cases) or before random from (controls) $0.8 (0.6-1.0)$ educat family p for trend=0.8 101 1.12 ($0.8-1.55$) p for trend=0.8 p for trend=0.8 p for trend=0.8 201 patientsligits as the cases digits as the cases $Liquor$ p for trend=0.8 p	2.6 g; in 120mL
Toronto; refusal rate for interview, 20.2%5-year age group; refusal rate, 37% guebec: 230smoking habits, frequency of use of medical get and 0 p for trend=0.51whisk ratios is ratios is for all ratios is for all and inted to five Montreal hospitals between a modified p for trend=0.51whisk ratios is ratios is for all patients admitted to five Montreal hospitals between radom-digit dialling method, and frequency of food intake in British Columbia: p for trend=0.51whisk ratios is for all ratios is p for trend=0.511989 and 1993; refusal rate, 15.5% (random sample from f183)and frequency of food intake in the year before before $>0-9$ g/day p for trend=0.01 $significfrom uadjustradjustradjustradjustrp for trend=0.01significsignificfrom uadjustradjustradjustrp for trend=0.01significsignificfrom 183201 patients(random sampleRegistry, in theyears 1989–1991;refusal rate, 15%P for trend=0.01significsignificfrom 112Registry, in theyears 1989–1991;refusal rate, 15%P for trend=0.01P for trend=0.8P for trend=0.8P for trend=0.01P for trend=0.01<$	3.8 g; in 45mL
for interview, 20.2%refusal rate, 37%frequency of use of medicalratios i BeerQuebec: 229Quebec: 230use of medicalBeerfor allpatients admittedchosen viasystem and03331.0ratios ito five Montreala modifieddemographic>0-9 g/day1890.8 (0.6-1.1)centrehospitals betweenrandom-digitdata, amount ≥ 10 g/day950.7 (0.5-0.9)types of1989 and 1993;dialling method,and frequencyp for trend=0.01signifurefusal rate, 15.5%with the sameof food intake infrom uBritish Columbia:first three phonethe year beforeWineadjustri201 patientsdigits as the casesthe diagnosis03231(ever v)(random sampleBritish(cases) or before ≥ -9 g/day1930.8 (0.6-1.0)educatfrom 6183)Columbia:the date of ≥ 10 g/day1011.12 (0.8-1.55)familyin the British199 selected atthe interviewp for trend=0.8prostalColumbia Cancerrandom from(controls)forof sailof bernRegistry, in theMedical ServicesLiquorhyperthypertyears 1989–1991;Plan rosters;03311index, retusal rate, 7%;refusal rate, 15%>0-15 g/day1900.9 (0.7-1.2)retuol	ey, 17.1 g; odds
Quebec: 229Quebec: 230use of medical medicalBeerfor all ratios 1patients admitted to five Montreal hospitals between 1989 and 1993;a modified data, amountdemographic ≥ 10 g/day $\geq 0-9$ g/day189 ≥ 0.8 (0.6–1.1)centrest centrest p for trend=0.011989 and 1993; refusal rate, 15.5% (random sampledialling method, first three phone the year beforeand frequency Winep for trend=0.01signiful from u adjustr adjustr201 patients (random sampledigits as the cases Britishthe date of (cases) or before the date of case) or before $\geq 0-9$ g/day193 ≥ 0.8 (0.6–1.0)educat digustrfrom 6183) (columbia: from 6183)Columbia: the date of (controls)the interview (controls) p for trend=0.8prostat of before p for trend=0.8Registry, in the years 1989–1991; refusal rate, 7%; refusal rate, 15%Medical Services p Liquor $p = 0.9$ $p = $	for combined da
patients admitted to five Montreal hospitals between 1989 and 1993; refusal rate, 15.5% (random sample $British$ chosen via demographic data, amount ≥ 10 g/day333 ≥ 10 g/day1.0 95 ratios is 0.8 (0.6–1.1) p for trend=0.01ratios is centres types of p for trend=0.01 $British$ Glow in the same of food intake in $British$ and frequency of food intake in the year before p for trend=0.01signiful from u adjust adjust201 patients (random sample from 6183)digits as the cases $Columbia:$ the date of random from (controls) 0 323 ≥ 10 g/day101 1.12 (0.8–1.55) family p for trend=0.8family prostat of ben hopentRegistry, in the years 1989–1991; refusal rate, 15%Medical Services 198 Liquor 0 331 190 1 0 331 190 1 0.9 (0.7–1.2)retionolretionol	3 centres; odds
hospitals between 1989 and 1993;random-digit dialling method, and frequencydata, amount and frequency ≥ 10 g/day950.7 (0.5–0.9) p for trend=0.01types of signific from u adjustrBritish Columbia: 201 patientsfirst three phone digits as the casesof food intake in the year before $Wine$ adjustr digits201 patientsdigits as the cases the diagnosis032.31(ever v educat(random sample from 6183)British(cases) or before the date of the date of columbia:>0-9 g/day1930.8 (0.6–1.0)educat familyin the British Columbia199 selected at the interviewthe interview p for trend=0.8prostat of ben hypertRegistry, in the years 1989–1991; refusal rate, 7%; refusal rate, 15%Liquor >0-15 g/day1900.9 (0.7–1.2)retionol	or individual
hospitals between 1989 and 1993;random-digit dialling method, refusal rate, 15.5%data, amount and frequency $\geq 10 \text{ g/day}$ 95 $0.7 (0.5-0.9)$ types of signific from u adjustrBritish Columbia: 201 patientsfirst three phone digits as the casesof food intake in the year before $Wine$ adjustr gits201 patientsdigits as the cases the diagnosis03231(ever v adjustr(random sample from 6183)British(cases) or before the date of the date of columbia:>0-9 g/day1930.8 (0.6-1.0)educat prostatin the British Columbia Cancer Registry, in the years 1989–1991; refusal rate, 7%; refusal rate, 15%Liquor solutionp for trend=0.8 solutionp for trend=0.8 prostatvariable of columbia cancer years 1989–1991;Plan rosters; refusal rate, 15%03311index, retion	and for differe
refusal rate, 15.5%with the sameof food intake infrom uBritish Columbia:first three phonethe year beforeWineadjustr201 patientsdigits as the casesthe diagnosis03231(ever v(random sampleBritish(cases) or before>0-9 g/day1930.8 (0.6-1.0)educatfrom 6183)Columbia:the date of ≥ 10 g/day1011.12 (0.8-1.55)familyin the British199 selected atthe interviewp for trend=0.8prostatColumbia Cancerrandom from(controls)of benhypertRegistry, in theMedical ServicesLiquorhypertyears 1989–1991;Plan rosters;03311index,refusal rate, 7%;refusal rate, 15%>0-15 g/day1900.9 (0.7-1.2)refusion	of beverage not
refusal rate, 15.5%with the sameof food intake infrom uBritish Columbia:first three phonethe year beforeWineadjustr201 patientsdigits as the casesthe diagnosis032.31(ever v(random sampleBritish(cases) or before>0-9 g/day1930.8 (0.6-1.0)educatfrom 6183)Columbia:the date of ≥ 10 g/day1011.12 (0.8-1.55)familyin the British199 selected atthe interviewp for trend=0.8prostatColumbia Cancerrandom from(controls)of benof benRegistry, in theMedical ServicesLiquorhypertyears 1989–1991;Plan rosters;03311index,refusal rate, 7%;refusal rate, 15%>0-15 g/day1900.9 (0.7-1.2)refusal	cantly different
201 patientsdigits as the casesthe diagnosis03231(ever v(random sampleBritish(cases) or before $>0-9$ g/day1930.8 (0.6–1.0)educatfrom 6183)Columbia:the date of ≥ 10 g/day1011.12 (0.8–1.55)familyin the British199 selected atthe interviewp for trend=0.8prostatColumbia Cancerrandom from(controls)of benof benRegistry, in theMedical ServicesLiquorhypertyears 1989–1991;Plan rosters;03311index,refusal rate, 7%;refusal rate, 15% $>0-15$ g/day1900.9 (0.7–1.2)retinol	nity; additional
(random sample from 6183)British Columbia:(cases) or before the date of $\geq 10 \text{ g/day}$ 1930.8 (0.6–1.0) 1.12 (0.8–1.55)educat family prostat of ben hypertin the British Columbia Cancer Registry, in the years 1989–1991;199 selected at Medical Servicesthe interview (controls)p for trend=0.8 of ben hypertRegistry, in the years 1989–1991;Medical ServicesLiquor 0.331 hypert index, refusal rate, 15%0State (controls)3311 (controls)index, return	nent for smokin
from 6183)Columbia:the date of the date of $\geq 10 \text{ g/day}$ 1011.12 (0.8–1.55)family prostatin the British199 selected atthe interviewp for trend=0.8prostatColumbia Cancerrandom from (controls)(controls)of ben hypertRegistry, in the years 1989–1991;Medical ServicesLiquor 0hypertrefusal rate, 7%;refusal rate, 15%>0–15 g/day1900.9 (0.7–1.2)	ersus never),
in the British199 selected atthe interview p for trend=0.8prostatColumbia Cancerrandom from(controls)of benRegistry, in theMedical ServicesLiquorhypertyears 1989–1991;Plan rosters;03311refusal rate, 7%;refusal rate, 15%>0–15 g/day1900.9 (0.7–1.2)	ional level,
Columbia Cancer Registry, in the years 1989–1991;random from Medical Services(controls)of ben hypert 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	history of
Registry, in the years 1989–1991;Medical ServicesLiquorhypertyears 1989–1991;Plan rosters;03311refusal rate, 7%;refusal rate, 15%>0–15 g/day1900.9 (0.7–1.2)refusal rate, 7%;refusal rate, 15%>0–15 g/day1900.9 (0.7–1.2)	e cancer, histor
years 1989–1991; Plan rosters; 0 331 1 index, refusal rate, 7%; refusal rate, 15% >0–15 g/day 190 0.9 (0.7–1.2) retinol	gn prostate
refusal rate, 7%; refusal rate, 15% >0-15 g/day 190 0.9 (0.7-1.2) retinol	rophy, Quetelet
	energy intake a
all histologically $>16 a/day$ $96 0.0 (0.6 1.2)$	intake had little
confirmed prostate $\geq 10 \text{ g/day} \qquad 90 0.9 (0.0-1.2) \qquad \text{Impact}$	on the results.

836

IARC MONOGRAPHS VOLUME 96

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Lumey <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1998), USA, 1977–91	699 identified in computerized registry of the American Health Foundation (1977–1991) in 20 US hospitals; mean age, 62.6 years; 100% histologically confirmed; response rate, 94%	2041 hospital patients without tobacco- or alcohol-related disease and without benign prostatic hypertrophy; mean age, 61.1 years; 3:1 matched with cases by age at diagnosis (within 5 years), year of diagnosis, hospital, race; response rate, 94%	Interview at the time of admission to the hospital, with a structured questionnaire on demographic, socioeconomic and behavioural aspects, smoking, drinking	Drinks/week Never Any ≤7 8–21 22–56 ≥57	106 593 235 160 123 62	Odds ratios 1.0 1.2 (0.9–1.5) 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 1.1 (0.8–1.5) 1.3 (1.0–1.8) 1.1 (0.7–1.5)	Age at diagnosis, study site	Odds ratios for current and former drinkers similar; adjustment for marital status, occupation, religion, education, smoking habits did not change the results; separate analyses for beer, wine and liquor, or for different age groups (≤64 or ≥65 years) did not influence the result one drink defined as a glass of whisky, a glass of wine or a glass of beer.
Hsieh <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1999), Greece, 1994–97	320 patients (95% aged >60 years) with prostate carcinoma from six hospitals in the Greater Athens area between 1994 and 1997; 100% histologically confirmed	246 (90% aged >60 years) non- cancer patients in the same hospitals as the cases	Interviews from February 1994 to January 1997 at the hospital, with questions about demographic, socioeconomic, reproductive, biomedical, dietary variables	Alcohol drinking (glasses/day) None <1 1-<2 2-<3 3-<4 ≥ 4	101 43 38 32 29 61	NR	Age, body mass index, height, years of schooling	

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Dennis (2000)	Meta-analysis of 27 case–control studies examining the association between alcohol use and prostate cancer		Articles published between January 1976 and July 1978	Ever versus never		1.1 (0.98–1.13)		
Sharpe & Siemiatycki	Interview data obtained from 449	541 chosen from electoral	Interviews on use of beer,	Never drank daily	69	1.0	Age, ethnicity,	A drink of beer, wine or spirits was estimated to
(2001), Montreal, Canada, 1979–85	of 557 (80.6%) eligible incident cases, histologically confirmed, in Montreal; reliable alcohol consumption data	lists 1979–82 and 1984–85, 199 by random digit dialling; 533 responded (rate, 72%), of whom 512 were	wine and spirits, frequency of use, time when drinking started; data expressed as 'drink-years'	Drank weekly, never daily Drank daily Age at starting daily drinking (years)	133	1.6 (1.1–2.4)	respondent status, family income, body mass index, cigarette	contain 13.6 g alcohol; the study was primarily designed to study occupational causes of cancer;
	obtained from 399	interviewed; data		<15	17	3.8 (1.6–9.3)	smoking	
	cases	from 476 were		15–19 20–24	51	1.4 (0.8–2.4)		
		used		≥25 ≥25	49 68	1.6 (0.9–2.7) 1.2 (0.8–2.0) <i>p</i> -trend=0.009		
				Duration of drinking		1		
				(years) <20	32	1.3 (0.7–2.4)		
				20-39	64	1.1 (0.7–1.8)		
				>39	88	2.0(1.2-3.1) <i>p</i> -trend=0.01		

838

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Sharpe & Siemiatycki (2001) (contd)				Cumulative consumption (daily drinkers)		14(00.20)		647 cancer controls selected from other, no alcohol-related cancer cases (response rates,
				<58 drink– years	54	1.4 (0.9–2.3)		78–85%) also included findings similar when
				58–125 drink– years	44	1.1 (0.7–1.9)		using cancer controls
				>125 drink- years	99	2.1 (1.3–3.3)		
				J		p-trend=0.003		
				Combined use		*		
				Beer only	57	1.6 (0.9-2.5)		
				Wine only	16	1.4 (0.7–2.9)		
				Spirits only	12	1.9 (0.4–1.9)		
				Beer and wine	17	1.2 (0.6-2.4)		
				Beer and spirits	78	1.9 (1.2–3.1)		
				Wine and spirits	20	1.1 (0.6–2.2)		
				Beer, wine and spirits	130	1.8 (1.2–2.7)		

Table 2.77 (continued)								
Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Crispo <i>et al.</i> (2004), Italy 1991–2002	1294 patients with prostate carcinoma; median age, 66 years (range, 46–74 years); 100% histologically confirmed;. refusal rate, <5%; 1369 patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia; median age, 65 years (range, 46–74 years); refusal rate,	1451 patients admitted to the same hospitals for non-neoplastic disorders; median age, 63 years (range, 46–74 years); refusal rate, <5%	Personal inter- views with questionnaire on alcohol drinking: number of days per week, number of drinks per week, duration (up to 1 year prior to diagnosis or admission)	Abstainer Former drinker Current drinkers <3 drinks/ week 3-4 drinks/ week 5-6 drinks/ week 7-8 drinks/ week	71 93 1130 496 355 177 107	Prostate cancer patients 1.0 0.8 (0.5–1.3) 0.9 (0.6–1.3) 0.9 (0.6–1.3) 1.1 (0.7–1.7) 1.0 (0.6–1.5)	Age, study centre, education, body mass index, physical activity, history of prostate cancer in first-degree relatives	Abstainers never consumed alcohol; former drinkers had abstained ≥1 year; one drink: 125 mL wine, 330 mL beer, 30 mL hard liquor (12–15 g alcohol); analysis by different types of beverage (beer, wine, spirits) did not show any significant association with risk for prostate cancer; some evidence for an inverse
	<5%			≥9 drinks/ week	88	0.9 (0.5–1.4)		relationship with the risk for benign prostatic hyperplasia.
Hodge et al. (2004), Melbourne, Perth, Sidney, Australia, 1994–97	858 patients diagnosed 1994–97 with 'clinically important' prostate cancer (Gleason score ≥5), aged <70 years; registered to vote; 100% histologically confirmed; response rate, 65%	905 randomly selected from State Electoral Rolls; age- matched; response rate, 50%	Personal inter- views, dietary habit questions and a 121-item food frequency questionnaire; men with energy intake from food >3 SD above the mean not included; alcohol intakes from beer, wine, spirits and total use recorded	Total alcohol intake (g/day) <20 20–39 40–59 ≥60	NR	1.0 1.0 (0.8–1.3) 1.0 (0.7–1.3) 1.0 (0.7–1.4)	State, age group, year, country of birth, socio- economic group, family history of prostate cancer	Analysis by different types of beverage (beer, wine, spirits) did not show any association with prostate cancer risk.

IARC MONOGRAPHS VOLUME 96

840

Table 2.77	(continued)
-------------------	-------------

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Chang <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (2005), Sweden, 2001–02	1499 incident prostate cancers identified from Swedish regional cancer registries; mean age, 66.4	1130 identified from the Swedish Population Registry database; mean age, 67.3 years;	Self- administered questionnaire to assess known and potential risk factors for	Non-drinker Former drinker Current drinker Ethanol (g/ week)	122 112 1259	1.0 2.1 (1.4–3.3) 1.6 (1.2–2.1)	Age (5-year categories), smoking history (ever, never), current body mass index, family history of prostate cancer, intake of other alcohol types, dairy products, red meat, fruit, vegetables	Light, medium and strong beers (33 cL) contain 6, 9.1 and 14.6 g ethanol; light and strong wines (15 cL) contain 14.2 and 20.7 g ethanol; a shot of liquor (4 cL) contains 12.6 g ethanol; light beers were not counted in number of drinks per week; non-drinkers included consumers of only light beer; former drinkers were those who stopped ≥18 months before; current drinkers included those who stopped <18 months before.
	years; histologically confirmed as adenocarcinoma; response rate, 79%	response rate, 67%	prostate cancer	0.0 0.1–45 45.1–90.0 90.1–135.0 >135.1	218 379 311 202 359	1.0 1.1 (0.8–1.4) 1.2 (0.9–1.5) 1.3 (0.9–1.7) 1.3 (1.0–1.7) <i>p</i> -trend=0.06 <i>Localised</i> <i>disease</i>		
				0.0 0.1-45 45.1-90.0 90.1-135.0 >135.1	NR			
				0.0 0.1–45 45.1–90.0 90.1–135.0 >135.1	NR	Advanced disease 1.0 0.8 (0.6–1.0) 0.9 (0.7–1.2) 1.1 (0.8–1.5) 0.9 (0.7–1.2) p-trend=0.50		

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Exposure categories	No of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Schoonen <i>et al.</i> (2005), USA, 1993–96	men living indigit dialling; frequency-details on caseKing Countyfrequency-subjects from the	Ever use	681	Odds ratio 1.1 (0.7–1.5)	Age, use of prostate screening, lifetime	One bottle of beer (12 oz), one glass of wine (4 oz), one shot of liquor (1.5 oz) contain 13,		
	(Washington	matched to	Seattle-SEER	alcohol (g) 0	72	1.0	number of female	11 and 14 g ethanol,
	State, USA), newly diagnosed with	cases by 5-year age group; 703	cancer registry; interview with	>0-6000	186	1.0 (0.8–1.7)	sexual	respectively; analyses by age at first alcohol
	prostate cancer in	interviewed;	food-frequency	>6000-12 000	122	0.9 (0.6-1.4)	partners,	use, lifetime duration
	1993–96, aged, 40–64 years; 100% histologically confirmed; participation rate, 82.1%; participant refusal, 12.5%	participation rate, 75%; participant refusal, 24%.		>12 000- 24 000	138	1.0 (1.6–1.5)	smoking status	of use, or by heavy drinking period (yes/
				>24 000	235	1.3 (0.8–2.0) <i>p</i> -trend=0.33	Odds ratio values for red wine	no) did not affect the outcome; associations were similar for less
			history,	Drinks per week			also adjusted	and more aggressive
			family history of cancer, demographics, height, weight,	week None or <1	126	1.0	for intake of other types	cancers; subjects consuming <1 drink/
				1–7	266	0.9 (0.7–1.3)	of alcohol	week were included in
				8-14	166	1.0(0.7-1.5)	of alcohol	the reference group;
			lifetime alcohol	≥15	195	1.1 (0.7–1.6)		non-drinkers had <12
			use, smoking habits, marital			p-trend=0.32		drinks during life.
			and sexual history, lifestyle	Red wine (drinks/week)				
			and occupational factors	Non-drinker 1–3	134 121	1.0 0.8 (0.5–1.3)		
				4−7 ≥8	66 27	0.5 (0.3–0.9) 0.5 (0.2–0.9) <i>p</i> -trend=0.02		

CI, confidence interval; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; NR, not reported; SD, standard deviation; SEER, Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Result ^a Unless otherwise noted in the comments, the ICD code for prostate cancer is 185

2.15.3 Meta-analysis

A meta-analysis that included six cohort and 27 case–control studies that were reported before July 1998 resulted in an estimate of 1.05 (95% CI, 0.98–1.11) for ever consumption of alcoholic beverages (Dennis, 2000). There was a suggestion of a weak dose–response relationship for increasing levels of alcoholic beverage consumption (relative risk, 1.21; 95% CI, 1.05–1.39 for four drinks/day) when data from 15 of the studies were used. [Results for the six cohort studies and the 27 case–control studies are presented in Tables 2.76 and 2.77, respectively.]