2.11 Cancer of the urinary bladder

Information on alcoholic beverage consumption and cancer of the urinary bladder was derived from five cohort (Table 2.65) and 18 case–control (Table 2.66) studies, which included more than 9000 cases in total.

Of the five cohort studies, one investigation in the Netherlands (Zeegers *et al.*, 2001) found a relative risk of 1.6 in men who drank \geq 30 g ethanol per day, but no trend in risk with dose. The corresponding value for women was 1.0. The other cohort studies, one among Danish brewery workers (Jensen, 1979) and three from selected populations in the USA (Mills *et al.*, 1991; Chyou *et al.*, 1993; Djoussé *et al.*, 2004) found no association between various measures of alcoholic beverage consumption and risk for cancer of the urinary bladder.

In a multicentre case–control study conducted in 1978–79 in 10 areas of the USA (Thomas *et al.*, 1983), which included 2982 incident cases, no association was found between urinary bladder cancer and total alcoholic beverage consumption (relative risk for \geq 42 drinks per week, 0.99 in men and 0.66 in women) or consumption of beer (relative risk, 0.93 in both sexes combined), wine (relative risk, 0.60) or spirits (relative risk, 1.14). Of the subsequent case–control studies, nine showed some excess risk in (heavy) alcoholic beverage drinkers and eight showed no association. Moreover, the largest studies, conducted in Canada on 1125 cases (Band *et al.*, 2005) and in Italy on 727 cases (Pelucchi *et al.*, 2002a), also showed no association between various measures of alcoholic beverage consumption and risk for cancer of the urinary bladder.

An explanation for some apparently inconsistent epidemiological findings on alcoholic beverage consumption and cancer of the urinary bladder is that there are different correlates (including tobacco, coffee and diet) of alcoholic beverage drinking in various populations. Alcoholic beverage drinking, in part, may be positively correlated with cigarette smoking, a poorer diet or other recognized risk factors (i.e. social or occupational) for bladder cancer. Thus, residual confounding is possible.

A meta-analysis of 11 studies (two cohort and nine case–control) published between 1966 and 2000 (Bagnardi *et al.*, 2001), which included a total of 5997 cases, found relative risks of 1.04 (95% CI, 0.99–1.09) for 25 g, 1.08 (95% CI, 0.98–1.19) for 50 g and 1.17 (95% CI, 0.97–1.41) for 100 g ethanol per day.

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Case definition (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Special population Jensen (1979), Denmark	14 313 Danish brewery workers employed at least 6 months in 1939–63; followed for cancer incidence and mortality in 1943–73; age not given; workers allowed 2.1 L of free beer/day (77.7 g pure alcohol)	Follow-up 1943–72	Cases and deaths ascertained through Cancer Registry (ICD-7)	All cancers Bladder cancer	1303 75	SIR (1.0–1.2) 0.9 (0.7–1.1)	Age, sex, area, time trends	Cancer morbidity and mortality compared with those in the general population

Table 2.65 Cohort studies of alcoholic beverage consumption and cancer of the urinary bladder

Table 2.65	(continued)							
Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Case definition (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
General population								
Mills <i>et al.</i> (1991), USA, California Seventh-day Adventists	34 198 white, non- Hispanic Seventh- day Adventists, aged \geq 25 years; followed through to 1982; newly diagnosed cancer cases identified by record linkage with the Los Angeles Cancer Surveillance Program and the Resource for Cancer Epidemiology in San Francisco; follow-up 99% complete	Detailed lifestyle and 51-item food- frequency questionnaire in 1976	Bladder (ICD-0, 188); 52 histologically confirmed (36 men, 16 women); 94% transitional- cell carcinomas	Beer/wine/ liquor (frequency/ week) <1 ≥1	45 3	1.0 (0.6–5.9) 1.5 (0.4–4.9)	Age, sex Age, sex, smoking	

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Case definition (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Chyou et al.	American men of	Interview	96	Total intake (g/				
(1993), USA,	Japanese ancestry,	on smoking	histologically	day)				
Japanese-	born 1900-19 and	history, usual	confirmed	0	30	1.0		
American	residing on Oahu,	frequency of	cancers in	<15	38	1.3 (0.8–2.1)		
Cohort study (1965–68)	Hawaii; identified via the Honolulu	consumption of 17 food	the lower urinary tract	>15 Beer (g/day)	27	1.2 (0.7–2.0)		
	Heart Program and	items; a	(bladder, 83;	0	30	1.0		
	through Service	diet recall	renal pelvis,	250	29	1.4 (0.8–2.3)		
	draft registration files; of 11 148,	history (24 h) obtained	8; ureter, 5); 91%	>250 Wine	29	1.1 (0.7–1.9		
	8006 interviewed		transitional-	None	30	1.0		
	(72%) in 1965–68; data from 7995		cell carcinomas	Any Spirits (g/day)	18	1.2 (0.7–2.3)		
	men used; incident			0	30	1.0		
	cancer cases			<2	15	0.95 (0.5-1.8)		
	identified via the Hawaii Cancer Registry; follow-up to May 1991			>2	29	1.7 (0.98–2.8)		

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Case definition (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Zeegers <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (2001),	58 279 men and 62 573 women from	Self- administered	Analysis based on 594	Total alcohol intake (g/day)		Men	Age, smoking	
Netherlands,	204 municipal	questionnaire;	cancer cases	0	62	1.0	(status,	
Netherlands	population	consumption	(517 men, 77	<5	108	1.5 (1.0-2.2)	amount and	
Cohort	registries, aged	of beer, red	women) of	5-<15	136	1.5 (1.0-2.2)	duration)	
Study	55-69 years in	and white	bladder, renal	15-<30	109	1.2 (0.8–1.7)		
(1986–92)	1986; follow-up, 6.3 years via record	wine, sherry and other	pelvis, ureter, urethra	≥30 Beer (g/day)	102	1.6 (1.1–2.5)		
	linkage with cancer	fortified	and 3170	0	62	1.0		
	registries and the	wines, liqueur	sub-cohort	<5	174	1.4 (0.9–2.0)		
	Dutch database of	and liquor	members	5-<15	89	1.4 (1.0-2.2)		
	pathology reports	noted	(1591 men,	15-<30	22	1.7 (0.9-3.2)		
			1579 women)	≥30	10	1.1 (0.5-2.6)		

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Case definition (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Zeegers et				Wine (g/day)				
al. (2001)				0	62	1.0		
(contd)				<5	151	1.5 (1.1-2.2)		
				5-<15	67	1.2 (0.8–1.9)		
				15-<30	25	1.1 (0.7-2.0)		
				≥30	11	1.7 (0.7-4.1)		
				Liquor (g/day)				
				0	62	1.0		
				<5	114	1.4 (1.0-2.1)		
				5-<15	89	1.4 (0.9–2.1)		
				15-<30	70	1.3 (0.8–1.9)		
				≥30	50	1.9 (1.2–3.2)		
				Total intake (g/		. ,		
				day)		Women		
				0	25	1.0		
				<5	29	0.97 (0.56-1.69)		
				≥ 5	33	0.75 (0.41–1.37)		

Reference, location, name of study	Cohort description	Exposure assessment	Case definition (ICD code)	Exposure categories	No. of cases/ deaths	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Djoussé <i>et</i>	Population-based;	Biennial	133	Total intake (g/			Age/sex,	
al. (2004),	nested case-control	examinations,	confirmed	day)			cohort,	
USA,	study within the	asking about	incident	0	14	1.0	smoking	
Framingham	cohort started in	alcoholic	cases of	0.1-6.0	43	0.9 (0.5-1.8)	status,	
Heart Study	1948 with 5209	beverage	bladder	6.1-12.0	21	0.9 (0.4–1.9)	pack-years	
	persons; of these,	intake,	cancer	12.1-24.0	14	0.6 (0.3-1.3)	of smoking;	
	205 excluded	smoking		24.1-48.0	22	0.9 (0.5-1.9)	beverage-	
	because alcohol			>48	8	0.5 (0.2–1.2)	specific	
	data missing; in			Beer (drinks/			data also	
	1971, the children			week)			controlled	
	of the original			0	48	1.0	for the other	
	cohort and their			<1	20	0.6 (0.3-1.2)	two types	
	spouses were			1–4	23	0.7 (0.4–1.3)		
	invited to join the			>4	31	0.5 (0.1–0.8)		
	Offspring Study;					p trend = 0.03		
	of the 5124 subjects			Wine (drinks/				
	in this cohort, 3			week)				
	were excluded			0	49	1.0		
	(missing alcohol			<1	42	0.9 (0.5-1.6)		
	data); mean age of			1-4	17	0.6 (0.3-1.2)		
	10 125 participants,			>4	14	0.8 (0.4–1.7)		
	40.3 years (range,			Spirits (drinks/				
	5-70 years); 9821			week)				
	subjects included;			0	21	1.0		
	average follow-up,			<1	20	1.0 (0.5-2.0)		
	27.3 years			1–4	28	1.4 (0.4–2.9)		
				>4	53	1.6 (0.9-3.1)		

CI, confidence interval; ICD, International Classification of Diseases

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Mommsen et al. (1983), Denmark, 1977–79/80	212 (165 men, 47 women), mean age, 66.1 years (range, 42–85 years); newly diagnosed over 2 (men) or 3 years (women)	259 (165 men, 94 women) selected from the same area; matched with cases on sex, age, degree of urbanization, geographic area	Questionnaire and interview with physician on job history, use of alcohol, tobacco, coffee, sugar substitutes	Bladder	Alcohol drinking	193	2.3 (1.3–3.9)	Matching factors	
Thomas <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1983), USA, 1978–79	2982 newly diagnosed identified over a 1-year period from cancer registries in 10 areas in the USA; 100% histologically confirmed; participation	Population in same areas selected by random-digit dialling (2469; aged 21–64 years) and from files of Health Care Finance Administration (3313; aged	At-home interview with standardized questionnaire on job/ residential history, use of sweeteners and coffee, tobacco products; number of	Bladder	Servings per week All alcohol 0 <3 4-6 7-13 14-27 28-41 ≥42	835/426 216/92 228/75 335/62 359/59 139/9 114/2	Men/women 1.0 (1.0) 0.94 (0.80) 0.86 (0.93) 0.98 (0.77) 0.88 (0.97) 1.13 (0.87) 0.99 (0.66)	Age, sex, race, smoking status, hazardous occupational exposure	[No CIs provided]
	rate, 73%	(5515, aged 65–84 years); stratified on age, sex, geographic distribution; response rates, 84% (21–64 years) and 82% (65–84 years)	alcoholic servings in a typical winter week 1 year before		Beer 0 <3 4-6 7-13 14-27 28-41 ≥42	1261 275 223 154 161 43 46	Men + women 1.0 0.89 0.98 0.92 1.01 1.16 0.93	Age, race, smoking status, hazardous occupational exposure	

Table 2.66 Case-control studies of alcoholic beverage consumption and cancer of the urinary bladder

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Thomas et					Wine				[No CIs
al. (1983)					0	1261	1.0		provided]
(contd)					<3	370	0.94		
					4-6	175	0.86		
					7-13	128	0.81		
					14-27	89	1.00		
					≥28	15	0.60		
					Spirits				
					0	1261	1.0		
					<3	294	0.78		
					4-6	259	0.91		
					7-13	255	0.95		
					14-27	235	0.99		
					28-41	53	1.04		
					≥42	51	1.14		

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Claude <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1986), Germany, 1977–82	431 patients (340 men, 91 women) in three hospitals in Lower Saxony; mean age, 68.6 (men) and 69.7 years (women); refusal rate, 2%	Patients in the same hospitals; mean age, 69.7 (men) and 70.9 (women) years; matched 1:1 to cases by age (±5 years), sex; due to a lack of suitable patients >65 years, 21% recruited from homes for the elderly; about 70% of the men had prostate adenoma and infections	Interviews with a questionnaire on smoking, use of alcohol, coffee, drugs, medical history, radiation, urination habits, use of hair dyes, job history and exposures	Lower urinary tract (90% bladder); 89% transitional- cell carcinoma	Beer (L/day) 0.1-0.5 0.6-1.0 >1 Wine (L/ day) 0.1-0.3 >0.30 Spirits (L/ week) 0.1-0.5 >0.5 Ever Beer Wine Spirits	NR NR NR	Men 1.16 2.14 (p<0.05) 2.77 (p<0.05) 0.97 0.82 1.46 2.71 (p<0.05) Women 1.42 1.88 1.21	Smoking	Beer drinkers consumed ≥1 glass of beer (0.3 L) per day for ≥5 years; odds ratio for all beer drinkers, 1.6; odds ratio for nonsmokers among them, 0.8; odds ratio for beer drinkers who smoke, 1.7; also seen for spirits, not for wine; information on histology available

ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Kunze <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1986), Germany, 1977–82	340 patients from three hospitals in Lower Saxony; cancers of the bladder (309), pelvis	Patients in the same hospitals without any tumour primarily from urological departments;	Interviews at the hospital, about smoking, drinking, medical history, drug use, urinary	Lower urinary tract (91% bladder, 4.4% pelvis, 1.2% ureter, 3.3% multi-	Beer (L/day) <0.5 0.6-1.0 >1 Wine (L/ day) <0.3	NR NR	1.16 2.14 (<i>p</i> <0.05) 2.77 (<i>p</i> <0.05) 0.97	Smoking	[Numerical data identical to Claude <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1986)]
	 (15), ureter (4), urethra (1) or multifocal tumours (11); 100% histologically confirmed; refusal rate, 2% 	matched with cases on age, sex, hospital	habits, use of hair dyes.	focal)	>0.30 Spirits (L/ week) <0.5 >0.5 Beer drinkers Smoker Nonsmoker	NR	0.82 1.46 2.71 (<i>p</i> <0.05) 1.6 (<i>p</i> <0.05) 1.7 (<i>p</i> <0.05) 0.8		
Slattery <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1988), Utah, USA, 1977–82	419 patients identified via Utah Cancer Registry (all white); aged 20– 84 years; 100% histologically confirmed carcinomas; completion rate, 76.3%	889 population- based selected by random-digit dialling (aged 21–64 years) or via Health Care Finance records (aged 65–84 years); matched 2:1 to cases by 5-year	Personal interviews on smoking, drinking, use of sweeteners, medical history, job history, demographics; intake of fluid noted for a typical	Bladder (ICD-0, 188)	Alcohol (oz/ week) 0 1-30 \geq 31 0 1-30 \geq 31 Alcohol (oz/ week)	110 14 7 159 59 66	Never smokers 1.0 1.2 (0.6–2.2) 2.1 (0.8–5.4) Ever smokers 4.1 (2.5–6.7) 2.8 (2.1–3.9) 2.9 (2.0–4.4) Never smokers	Age, sex, diabetes, bladder infections	
		age group, sex; completion rate, 81.5%	winter week 1 year prior to interview		$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.1-3.64 \\ \ge 3.65 \\ 0 \\ 0.1-3.64 \\ \ge 3.65 \end{array}$	110 11 10 159 51 74	1.0 1.0 2.4 (1.1–5.4) Ever smokers 3.8 (2.4–6.2) 2.8 (2.1–3.9) 3.0 (2.0–4.4)		

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Nomura <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1989), Hawaii, USA, 1979–86	261 patients of Caucasian or Japanese ancestry in 7 large hospitals on Oahu, Hawaii; 261 participated (195 men, 66 women), aged 30–93 years; 100% histologically confirmed; overall reponse rate 73%; 31 cases diagnosed in 1977–79	522 population- based identified from lists of the Health Surveillance Program; matched 2:1 for age (±5 years), sex, race, current residency on Oahu; 89% of those eligible	Interviews on smoking history, alcohol intake 1 year before the interview, job history, use of hair dyes	Lower urinary tract (90% bladder)	Alcohol intake Drinks/week Men Non-drinker 1–14 >15 Women Non-drinker Drinker 1–7 >8	46 149 78 71 33 33 22 11	1.0 1.2 (0.8–1.9) 1.1 (0.7–1.8) 1.3 (0.8–2.2) 1.0 0.9 (0.5–1.6) 0.7 (0.4–1.4) 1.5 (0.6–3.8)	Cigarette smoking (pack–years)	

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Akdaş <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1990), Turkey,	194 patients (168 men, 26 women)	194 patients in the same hospitals	Interview on past and present residence,	Bladder	No		<i>Case control ratio</i> 0.67		Risk for bladder cancer
1980–87	admitted to 2 hospitals, aged 24–80 years	with no gross haematuria or cancer history;	job history, socio-economic status, drinking		drinking* Ever drinking		1.67		increased with intensity
	(mean age, 60 years); 100% histologically confirmed	91% had IVU done, showing a normal bladder; 57%	habits (tea, alcohol, Turkish coffee), smoking		Daily drinker Drinking duration		<i>p</i> <0.001		and duration of alcohol drinking * read from
		had cystoscopy, showing	habits, medical history, use of		11–20 years >20 years		<i>p</i> <0.01 <i>p</i> <0.001		graph
		absence of tumour; matched on age, sex	fertilizers or insecticides		>175 mL liquor/day		<i>p</i> <0.01 <i>p</i> <0.05	Unadjusted Smoking	

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Momas <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1994), France, 1987–89	219 men living in the Hérault district for >5 years diagnosed with primary bladder carcinoma, checked with the Hérault Cancer Registry; mean age, 67.8 years; papillomas and polyps excluded; 100% histologically confirmed; participation rate, 81% (53 died)	928 men living in Hérault region for >5 years, randomly selected from electoral rolls; aged >50 years; 558 of 692 in the telephone book agreed to be interviewed (80.6%); 236 of 329 not in phone book replied by mail (71.7%).	Interviews (direct or by phone) on past and present residence, level of education, jobs of >1 year, smoking/ drinking habits, intake of spiced food, sweeteners	Bladder (188)	<i>Lifelong</i> <i>intake of</i> <i>pure alcohol</i> (<i>kg</i>) <15 15–600 >600–1200 >1200	7 47 57 50	1.0 2.2 (0.9–5.6) 1.7 (0.7–4.3) 3.1 (1.2–8.2)		Stepwise logistic regression, using the largest possible data set in the regression model, i.e. with the set of persons having no missing values for any of the model variables
Nakata <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1995), Gunma Prefecture, China	303 men; mean age, 70.1 years	303 men from the general population from 15 areas of the Gunma prefecture; mean age, 70.2 years; age-matched (± 1 year)	Not reported	Bladder	History of drinking (yes/no)	191 190	1.0 (0.7–1.5) 0.9 (0.7–1.4)	Age Smoking	

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Bruemmer <i>et al.</i> (1997), USA,	427 Caucasian patients with invasive or non-	535 identified via random- digit dialling;	Telephone interviews on demographics,	Bladder (188)	Alcoholic drinks (per day)		Men		
1987–90	invasive (in-situ	matched to	history of		0	33	1.0		
	or papillary)	cases by sex,	cancer,		≤0.5	49	1.4 (0.7–2.7)		
	bladder cancer	county of	smoking;		>0.5-2.0	57	1.2 (0.6-2.2)		
	living in Washington State with no	residence; 405 interviewed (79% of those	fluid intake over a 10-year period before		>2	63	1.1 (0.6–2.1) Women		
	prior bladder	eligible and	reference date		0	19	1.0		
	cancer history;	selected)	(2 years before		≤0.5	22	0.4(0.2-0.8)		
	aged 45-65	,	diagnosis)		>0.5-2.0	10	0.6 (0.2–1.6)		
	years; 262 completed the interview; response rate, 62.4%		2 /		>2	9	0.5 (0.2–1.3)		

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Donato <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1997), Brescia, Italy, 1990–92	172 patients (135 men, 37 women) diagnosed in a large hospital in Brescia; all but one histologically confirmed	578 patients (398 men, 180 women) in the same and two other hospitals with prostate adenoma, urolithiasis or obstructive uropathy; men age-matched (± 5 years) with cases; this could not be achieved for women	Questionnaire on education, history of smoking, coffee/alcohol drinking	Bladder (188)	Alcohol drinking (g/day) Non-drinker Former drinker Current drinker 1–20 21–40 41–60 >61 Non-drinker Current drinker 1–20 221	10 16 109 18 33 36 22 12 25 14 11	Men 1.0 1.0 (0.4–2.7) 2.1 (1.0–4.8) 1.7 (0.6–4.7) 1.6 (0.6–3.8) 4.3 (1.7–11.0) 4.6 (1.6–13.4) Women 1.0 3.4 (1.2–9.7) 3.1 (1.0–9.3) 3.9 (1.1–13.7)	Age, place of residence, education, date of interview, smoking, coffee consumption	People who drank alcohol less than daily were considered non-drinkers
Probert <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (1998), United Kingdom	116 patients with transitional- cell carcinoma recruited from haematuria clinics in two Bristol hospitals; tumours staged and graded by a clinical pathologist; 100% histologically confirmed	91 patients from the same clinics with benign haematuria or no bladder disease	Personal interview by the same person on job history, smoking history and status, coffee and alcohol use, place of residence	Bladder (188)	Alcohol consumption Wine Quantity/ week Started drinking Beer Quantity/ week 0 1–20 >20 p for trend	34% 66% 62 37 15	Cases/controls [odds ratio] [1.59] 3.9/3.5 units 54.1/39.9 years [1.85] 11.9/9.6 units	Crude Crude	No relative risks given

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Pohlabeln et	300 patients	300 patients	Questionnaire	Lower	Alcohol			Adjusted	1 bottle of
al. (1999),	(239 men, 61	from the same	and interview	urinary	intake			for smoking	beer = 2
Hessen,	women) newly	hospitals with	on job history,	tract	Total intake		Men	categories:	glasses of
Germany,	diagnosed in	non-neoplastic	active smoking		Not daily	102	1.0	none,	wine $= 20$ g
1989–92	4 hospitals	diseases of the	history,		1-20 g/day	74	1.10 (0.70-1.73)	1−≤20,	alcohol
	in Hessen;	lower urinary	dietary habits		21-40 g/day	35	0.83 (0.46-1.47)	20−≤40,	
	89.6% bladder	tract; matched	(foods/drinks)		>41 g/day	28	1.71 (0.78-3.73)	>40 pack-	
	cancer; 100%	1:1 on age (± 5	10-15 years				Women	years, cigar,	
	histologically	year), sex, area	previously		Not daily	52	1.0	pipe	
	confirmed;	of residence;			Daily	9	2.84 (0.69-11.68)		
	98.7%	response rate,							
	carcinomas;	98%			Beer		Men		
	response rate,				Not daily	119	1.0		
	92.6%				1-2 bottles/	96	1.05 (0.70-1.59)		
					day				
					\geq 3 bottles/	24	1.82 (0.79-4.21)		
					day				
							Women		
					Not daily	58	1.0		
					≥1 bottle/	3	4.53 (0.32-65.24)		
					day				
					Wine		Men		
					Not daily	211	1.0		
					1-2 glasses/	24	1.18 (0.60-2.33)		
					day				
					≥3 glasses/ day	4	2.48 (0.41–14.89)		
							Women		
					Not daily	55	1.0		
					≥ 1 glass/day	6	2.29 (0.44–11.92)		

Table 2.66 (continued)
--------------	------------

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
van Dijk <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (2001), Netherlands, 1997–2000	120 patients (86% men) recruited at the Nijmegen University Medical Centre; 100% histologically confirmed; <i>ADH3</i> genotyping on 115 patients	133 patients (89% men) with benign prostatic hyperplasia and visitors to the urology ward; <i>ADH3</i> genotyping on 131 patients	Self- administered questionnaire on demographics, smoking/ drinking/ dietary habits, jobs, familiality of cancer, disease history	Bladder	Alcohol intake Moderate High ADH3 genotype $\gamma_1\gamma_2$ and $\gamma_2\gamma_2$ Moderate High ADH3 genotype $\gamma_1\gamma_1$ Moderate High	NR	1.0 1.2 (0.6–2.4) 1.0 2.0 (0.9–4.5) 3.3 (1.3–8.8) 2.2 (0.8–5.8)	Adjustment unclear; moderate drinkers taken as reference	Moderate = 1–14 glasses per week; high = >14 glasses per week

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Pelucchi <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (2002a), Italy, 1985–92	727 patients with invasive transitional cell cancer (617 men, 110 women) in various hospitals in the Milan area and the Pordenone region; aged 27–79 years (median, 63 years); 100% histologically confirmed; refusal rate, 2.6%	1067 patients (769 men, 298 women) in the same hospitals, admitted for acute, non- neoplastic, non-urological or genital tract diseases; aged 27–79 years (median, 60 years); refusal rate, 2.2%	Questionnaire on smoking habits, intake of coffee and tea, medical history, family history of urological cancer, alcohol use, relevant occupational exposures	Bladder (188)	Total intake (drinks/day) Non-drinker Ever drinker <3 $3-<6 \ge 6$ Wine (drinks/day) Non-drinker Ever drinker <3 $3-<5 \ge 5$ Beer Never Ever Spirits Never Ever Years of drinking Never drinker 1-24 25-39 ≥ 40	117 607 192 193 222 126 599 207 175 217 608 118 538 189 117 65 199 342	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 0.8 \ (0.6-1.1)\\ 0.8 \ (0.6-1.1)\\ 0.8 \ (0.5-1.1)\\ 0.8 \ (0.5-1.1)\\ 0.8 \ (0.6-1.2)\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 0.9 \ (0.6-1.2)\\ 0.9 \ (0.7-1.3)\\ 0.8 \ (0.6-1.1)\\ 0.9 \ (0.6-1.2)\\ 1.0\\ 0.7 \ (0.5-0.9)\\ 1.0\\ 0.9 \ (0.7-0.9)\\ 1.0\\ 0.7 \ (0.5-1.1)\\ 0.7 \ (0.5-1.0)\\ 1.0 \ (0.7-1.4)\\ \end{array}$	Age, sex, study centre, education, smoking, tea or coffee consumption, green vegetable intake, occupation 'at risk'	

Table 2.66	(continued)
------------	-------------

Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Band <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (2005), British Columbia, Canada, 1983–90	25 726 male patients aged ≥20 years listed in the British Columbia Cancer Registry, detailed questionnaire returned by 15 463 (60.1%); of these, 1129 bladder cancer patients responded (64.7%); 1125 cases had at least one matching control	8492 patients with cancer at all other sites, except lung (2998) and 'unknown sites' (708); matched on age, year of diagnosis	Questionnaire on lifetime job history (usual occupation/ industry, ever occupation), smoking/ drinking habits.	Bladder (188)	Alcohol intake Never Ever Unknown	119 858 148	1.0 0.9 (0.7–1.1) 1.2 (0.9–1.5)		Focus on identifying occupationa cancer risks similar alcohol use between cases and controls

1 ADIC 2.0	6 (continued)			1				1	
Reference, study location, period	Characteristics of cases	Characteristics of controls	Exposure assessment	Organ site (ICD code)	Exposure categories	Exposed cases	Relative risk (95% CI)	Adjustment factors	Comments
Lu <i>et al.</i> (2005), Taiwan, China, 1997–98	103 (66 men, 37 women) patients in Kaohsiung; upper tract metastases or recurrent urinary neoplasm not eligible; 100% histologically confirmed; all genotyped for <i>N</i> -acetyl- transferase (<i>NAT2</i>);	103 (68 men, 35 women) ophthalmic patients with non-neoplastic and non- urological diseases, and normal renal and liver function; all genotyped for <i>NAT2</i> ; response rate, 100%	Interview with questionnaire on demographics, socioeconomic, dietary factors, jobs, smoking, betel quid use, alcohol use,	Bladder	Alcohol drinking No Yes NAT2 genotype* Rapid Slow Interaction alcohol use NAT2 genotype No/Rapid No/Slow Yes/Rapid	98 5 52 24 12	Odds ratio 1.0 2.7 (1.3–5.9) 1.0 1.5 (0.8–2.8) 1.0 1.1 (0.5–2.1) 1.4 (0.6–3.5)	*Adjusted for blackfoot disease- endemic area, alcohol drinking	
Baena <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> (2006), Spain	response rate, 100% 74 men admitted to the Department of Urology of the University Hospital of Cordoba over 1 year; mean age, 67.1 years	89 male patients in the same department, with non- malignant urological disease; mean age, 58.7 years	Interview with questionnaire on smoking/ drinking habits, diet and chronic diseases	Bladder	Yes/Slow Alcohol drinking	15 60	18.0 (2.3–142.8) [2.38] (<i>p</i> =0.036 in uni- variate analysis)	Crude	In multi- variate analysis, alcohol was not an independent risk factor for bladder cancer, but no point estimates we given; unclea whether current or ev drinker.

CI, confidence interval; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; IVU, intravenous urography; NR, not reported

Given the likelihood of residual confounding and the absence of an association in large studies, there is no clear pattern of association between total alcoholic beverage consumption or consumption of various types of alcoholic beverage and the risk for cancer of the urinary bladder.